

The CLASSICAL QUARTERLY and CLASSICAL REVIEW are the Organs of the Classical Association. The QUARTERLY is published in January, April, July, and October; the REVIEW in the other eight months.

THE CLASSICAL QUARTERLY

EDITED BY

PROFESSOR E. V. ARNOLD, Litt.D., Bryn Seiriol, Bangor, N. Wales;
F. W. HALL, M.A., St. John's College, Oxford.

Board of Management:

J. W. MACKAIL, M.A., LL.D., *Chairman.*

Prof. R. S. CONWAY, Litt.D., *Hon. Treasurer.*

E. HARRISON, M.A., *Hon. Secretary.*

Prof. F. HAVERFIELD, LL.D. } *With the Chairman, Treasurer,*
W. E. P. FANTIN, M.A. } *and Secretary, representing the*
 } *Council of the Classical Association.*

H. RICHARDS, M.A., } *Representing the Oxford Philological Society.*
Prof. W. RIDGEWAY, LL.D., Litt.D., Sc.D. } *Representing the Cambridge Philological Society.*

With the co-operation of Prof. WM. GARDNER HALE, University of Chicago; Principal W. PETERSON, LL.D., C.M.G., McGill University, Montreal; Prof. T. G. TUCKER, Litt.D., University of Melbourne; Prof. JOHN WILLIAMS WHITE, LL.D., Litt.D., Harvard University.

Vol. V

JULY, 1911

No. 3

CONTENTS

	PAGE
A COLLATION OF <i>CODEX LOVANIENSIS</i>	T. RICE HOLMES 137
THE AUTHORSHIP OF THE <i>CULEX</i>	ELIZABETH S. JACKSON 163
TEXTUAL NOTES	J. U. POWELL 175
OPQ MENOZ HNEOTEAN.	J. E. HARRY 178
NOTES ON LVCRETIVS	H. WILLIAMSON 179
SOME 'VEXED PASSAGES' IN LATIN POETRY	W. B. ANDERSON 181
THE LATIN DATIVE	EDWIN W. FAY 185
SUMMARIES OF PERIODICALS:	
LITERATURE AND GENERAL	196
LANGUAGE	206
THE CLASSICAL ASSOCIATION	208

LONDON: JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET, W.
BOSTON, MASS.: GINN & COMPANY, 29 BEACON STREET

Entered at the Post-office at Boston, Mass., as second-class matter.

Price for single numbers, 3/- net, or 3/2 post free; U.S.A., 90 cents net.
Yearly Subscription, 12/6 post free; U.S.A., \$8.50 net

EAGLE INSURANCE COMPANY.

ESTABLISHED 1807.

LIVES. ANNUITIES.



New Series of Policies,

COMMENCING 1st SEPT., 1910,

INCLUDING A POLICY
SPECIALLY DESIGNED FOR

PROFESSIONAL & BUSINESS MEN.

ADVANCES UPON LIFE INTERESTS, REVERSIONS, AND PERSONAL SECURITY.

Head Office: 79, PALL MALL, LONDON, S.W.

FROM MR. MURRAY'S LIST

LIMEN. A First Latin Book.

By W. C. FLAMSTEAD WALTERS, M.A., and R. S. CONWAY, Litt.D.

Professor of Classical Literature in King's College, London.

Professor of Latin in the University of Manchester

Part I, 1s. 6d.; Part II, 1s. 6d.; Complete, 2s. 6d.; Appendix, on the Oral Method, 6d.

The KEY TO LIMEN is now ready, and may be obtained by teachers direct from the publisher, price 2s. 8d., post free.

NOW READY

ANTE LIMEN

A new Latin Book for Younger Beginners,
based upon *Limen*. Compiled under the
guidance of Professors WALTERS and CONWAY

By R. H. REES, B.A.,

Assistant Mistress at Ladybarn House School.

Ante Limen has been written in response to the requests of many teachers who approved of the methods of *Limen*, but desired a book on similar lines for younger beginners. The lines of the parent book have been closely followed, though the arrangement is different: the Reading Lessons, Exercises and Vocabularies have been arranged in three parallel divisions. The ground covered is, roughly speaking, up to the end of the regular declensions, and the active verb without the subjunctive.

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET, LONDON, W.

E.

T

D.

chester

1, 6d.

in the

red of
lines
ading
The
active

T

(Ad
coll
R. I
Afr
ava
to p
sich
had
'a t
as I
The
The
tari
Afr
mar

the
ma
ben
the
por
mal
one
the
mis
sec
all
(i,
ado
con

THE CLASSICAL QUARTERLY

JULY 1911.

A COLLATION OF *CODEX LOVANIENSIS*.

Codex Louaniensis, or *L*, the best MS. of Caesar in the British Museum (Add. MSS. 10,084), which is assigned to the eleventh century, has been collated by Alfred Holder for his edition of the *Bellum ciuile* and by Mr. R. L. A. Du Pontet for his edition of the *Bellum Alexandrinum* and the *Bellum Africanum*; but for the *Bellum Gallicum* no collation has hitherto been available.¹ Last year I made one, which I sent to Dr. Meusel. He urged me to publish it, remarking that it would be very useful, as 'wir haben nun ein sichereres Urteil über die Familie ϕ .' Relying upon Holder's collation, he had described *L* in his own edition of the *Bellum ciuile* (1906, p. viii) as 'a twin-brother of *Ashburnhamianus*' (S); and so it is in the *Bellum ciuile*, but, as he acknowledged after reading my collation, not in the *Bellum Gallicum*. The first folio, comprising i, 1-6, § 3 (. . . *bono animo in*), is by a later hand. The MS. is described in the Catalogue thus: 'C. JVLII CAESARIS commentariorum libri. Desinit cum verbis "res eis suppeteret" in libro de Bello Africano, cap. 33. Codex membranaceus, sec. XI., sed folium primum a manu recentiori suppletum est. Folio [10,084].'

My collation was made with Dr. Meusel's critical edition of 1894, some of the readings of which he altered in the reissue (1908) of his school edition. I made the collation, without any thought of publishing it, principally for his benefit; and I thought that it would be most useful to him if I recorded on the margin of my copy of his edition every variation, even the most unimportant. But in its present form it will be not less useful if I save space by making a great many omissions, which may be classified as follows:—First, with one or two exceptions, the reasons for which will be obvious, I have omitted the very numerous instances in which the scribe (or the corrector) corrected mistakes which he had himself made, principally in the order of words; secondly, all erasures the words written on which agree with the readings of all the MSS.; thirdly, mere blunders, which are very few, such as *omni omni* (i, 11, § 3); fourthly, differences of spelling,² such as *accerso* for *arcesso*, *adolescens* for *adulescens*, *aggredior* for *adgredior*, *anchora* for *ancora*, *colloco* for *conloco*, *ditio* and *conditio* for *dicio* and *condicio*, *optineo* for *obtaineo*, *prona* for

¹ See Oudendorp's preface.

² Except a few which are noted in Meusel's *apparatus criticus*.

prone, *prouintia* for *prouincia*, *quandiu* for *quamdiu*, *spacium* for *spatium*, etc.; fifthly, every instance in which a proper name is written in full, as is usual in the *a* MSS., instead of being denoted by the initial, for instance, *titus* instead of *T*; sixthly, every instance in which, though all the MSS. agree, Meusel introduces a conjectural addition, for example <*ab*> (i, 25, § 6); seventhly, variations in the spelling of the following proper names, which occur often: *L* has *aduatuci* for *Atuatuci* everywhere except in ii, 4, § 9 (*catuacos*), *casiuellaunus* for *Cassiellaunus*, *induciomarus* for *Indutiomarus*, *sueui* for *Sueui*, and *treuiri* for *Treuiri* invariably, and *diuiciacus* for *Diuiciacus* everywhere except in i, 32, §§ 1, 3 (*diuiciaco*, *diuiciacus*) and i, 40, § 19 (*deuiciacum*): it also has *cesar* for *Caesar* occasionally and *grecus* for *Graecus* in the four passages in which the word occurs. Lastly, I have omitted every instance in which a numeral, not occurring in a variant, is expressed differently from the corresponding numeral in Meusel's text. In *L*, as in the *a* MSS. generally, words denoting numbers are nearly always written, either in full, as *sex* (i, 19, § 2), or, much more often, in an abbreviated form, as ^{ci}*xv.*, ^{ti}*xx.* (i, 31, § 5), etc. In ii, 3, § 2 ⁱ*popli romani* occurs instead of the usual ⁱ*P R.* Most of the marginal readings and corrections appear to be not much later than the date of the MS.

In every column the figures on the left denote chapter and section; the figures in brackets denote the pages of Meusel's edition and the lines of each chapter. Where *L* agrees with another MS. or group of MSS. I have given the symbols in brackets after the various readings. It may be useful to reproduce Meusel's explanation of his *apparatus criticus*, which I have followed:—

'*B*¹ *h*¹ significat primae manus scripturam.

*B*² *h*² significat secundae manus scripturam.

B^a *h*^a significat id quod prima manu scriptum fuit (ubi idem librarius aliquid mutavit).

B^b *h*^b significat id quod primae manus correctioni debetur.

B^m *h*^m significat id quod in margine (m. 2) scriptum est.'

The *subscriptiones* are as follows (the words which I have underlined being crossed out in the MS.):—at the end of the First Commentary, C. IVLII CAESARIS HISTORIAE BELLI GALLICI A SE CONFECTI LIBER PRIMVS EXIT. IVLIVS CELSVS CONSTANTINVS VIR CLARISSIMVS LEGI; at the end of the Second, C. IVLII CAESARIS BELLI GALLICI A SE CONFECTI LIBER SECVNDVS EXIT. IVLIVS CELSVS CONSTANTINVS VC LEGI. FLAVIVS LICERIVS FIRMINVS LVPICINVS LEGI. INCIPIT LIBER TERTIVS; at the end of the Third, GAI IVLII CAESARIS HISTORIAE BELLI GALLICI A SE CONFECTI LIBER TERTIVS EXPLICIT. IVLIVS CELSVS CONSTANTINVS VC LEGI. INCIPIT LIBER QVARTVS; at the end of the Fourth, C. IVLII CAESARIS BELLI GALLICI A SE CONFECTI LIBER IIII. EXIT. IVLIVS CELSVS CONSTANTINVS VC. LEGI. INCIPIT LIBER QVINTVS; at the end of the Fifth, C. IVLII CAESARIS BELLI GALLICI A SE CONFECTI LIBER V. EXPLICIT. IVLIVS CELSVS CONSTANTINVS VC. LEGI.

INCIPIT LIBER VI; at the end of the Sixth, c. IVLII CAESARIS HISTORIAE BELLI GALLICI LIBER VI. EXIT. IVLIVS CELSVS CONSTANTINVS VIR CLARISSIMVS LEGI. INCIPIT LIBER SEPTIMVS; at the end of the Seventh, c. IVLII CAESARIS BELLI GALLICI A SE CONFECTI LIBER VII. EXPLICIT. IVL. CELSVS. VC. LEGI COMMENTARIOS CAESARIS. PROLOGVS Q. HIRTII PANSAE; at the end of the Praefatio of the Eighth, Q. HIRTII PANSAE LIB. VIII. INCIPIT DE BELLO GALLICO; at the end of the Eighth, c. CAESARIS PONTIFICIS MAXIMI EPHEMERIS RERVVM GESTARVM BELLI GALLICI LIB. VIII. EXPLICIT FELICITER. IVL. CELSVS CONSTANTINVS. VC. LEGI TANTVM. INCIPIT LIBER NONVS.

I wish to express my gratitude to Mr. D. T. B. Wood of the British Museum, whom I consulted whenever I was in doubt.

I.		MRUSEL		Lov.	
MEUSEL					
7, 1 (5, 4) Genavam	.	genuam (X)	16, 1 (10, 1) Haeduos	.	heduos
7, 2 (5, 7) Genavam	.	genuam (X)	16, 3 (10, 6) Arari	.	arare (X)
7, 3 (5, 9) Nammeius	.	nammeus	" (10, 7) uti minus	.	minus uti
" (5, 10) principem	.	principum (ap)	" (") Arari	.	arare (X)
8, 2 (5, 8) posset	.	possent	16, 4 (10, 9) Haedui	.	hedui
9, 2 (6, 3) possent	.	poterant (X)	16, 5 (10, 13) praeerat	.	praeerant (X)
9, 3 (6, 9) suo	.	sub	16, 6 (10, 16) possit	.	posset (X)
9, 4 (6, 12) perficit	.	perfecit (MCβ)	17, 1 (11, 2) antea	.	ante
10, 1 (6, 1) nuntiatur	.	renuntiatur (XC)	17, 2 (11, 6) debeant praes-	.	praestare debeant
10, 2 (6, 5) intellegebat	.	intellegat	" tare	.	(X)
" (6, 6) ut homines	.	homines ut	17, 3 (11, 8) possint	.	possent (X)
10, 3 (6, 9) praefecit	.	praefecit (X)	" (11, 9) debere	.	debeant (X)
" (6, 13) his	.	iis (ah)	17, 5 (11, 11) isdem	.	iisdem (Mah)
10, 5 (7, 16) his	.	iis (ah)	" (") nostra	.	noua
" (7, 19) Segusianos	.	sebusianos (X)	17, 6 (11, 13) necessariam	.	necessario
11, 6 (7, 16) Santonos	.	santones	" (11, 14) id cum	.	om.
12, 2 (7, 5) est	.	om.			quae corr.
" (8, 8) legionibus tribus	.	tribus legionibus	18, 1 (11, 4) ea quae	.	ea
12, 7 (8, 20) soceri	.	om.	18, 3 (11, 8) complures	.	compluris (X)
13, 1 (8, 2) Arari	.	arare (X)	" (11, 9) omnia	.	om.
13, 2 (8, 5) fecisse	.	om.	" (11, 10) liceri	.	licere (a)
13, 3 (8, 8) egit	.	agit	18, 6 (12, 15) Biturigibus	.	uiturigibus (ad[1])
		(agit.) legati ad	18, 9 (12, 25) habeat	.	habebat
		caesarem ab hel-	18, 10 (12, 26) in quaerendo	.	inquiendo (π)
		uetiis missi pa-	19, 1 (12, 7) animadvertere	.	aduertere
		cem petitum.	19, 2 (12, 8) Diviciaci fratris	.	fratris diuitiaci
		cum denuntia-	" (12, 9) Romanum	.	om.
		tione terroris (ap)	19, 3 (13, 14) Troucillum	.	troaucillum (ap)
13, 6 (9, 17) contenderent	.	quam dolo con-	20, 2 (13, 4) gratia plurimum	.	plurimum gratia
quam dolo	.	tenderent (X)	" (13, 6) crevisset	.	creuisse
13, 7 (9, 18) committeret	.	committerent	20, 5 (13, 15) finem orandi	.	faciat finem orandi
" (9, 20) exercitus	.	om.	faciat	.	
14, 1 (9, 4) accidissent	.	accidisset (φβ)	20, 6 (13, 18) reprehendat	.	reprehendit (Mβ)
14, 3 (9, 10) Haeduos	.	heduos	21, 1 (14, 3) qualis	.	quales (?) (BMA[1])
" (9, 11) memoriam	.	memoria (φβ)	" (14, 4) cognoscerent	.	cognosceret (X)
14, 4 (9, 12) tam diu se	.	se tam diu	21, 2 (14, 6) iis	.	his (X)
" (9, 13) intulisse	.	tulisse (X)	21, 4 (14, 10) P. (Considius)	.	om.
14, 6 (9, 18) ab iis sibi	.	sibi ab iis	" (14, 11) Sullae	.	sillae
" (9, 20) intulerint	.	intulerant	22, 2 (14, 6) a	.	om.
" (9, 21) esse	.	om.	22, 4 (14, 16) renuntiavisse	.	renuntiasse
15, 1 (10, 3) Haeduis	.	heduis	23, 1 (15, 6) ac	.	om.
" (10, 5) hostes iter	.	iter hostes	24, 1 (15, 2) subduxit	.	subducit (X)
15, 3 (10, 9) propulerant	.	propulerunt	24, 2 (15, 4) veteranarum	.	ueteranorum (X)
			24, 3 (15, 8) iis	.	his (X)

MEUSEL	LOV.
25, 2 (15, 5) destrictis .	districtis
25, 3 (15, 8) inflexisset .	inflexisset (X)
25, 5 (16, 12) aberat .	suberat (X)
" (") passus .	passuum (X)
25, 6 (16, 14) Boi .	boii (X)
" (") circiter .	om.
" (16, 16) circumvenire .	circumuenere (all MSS. except Qh ¹)
25, 7 (16, 19) conversa .	om.
" (") bipertito .	bipertra (bipertito marg.)
26, 1 (16, 2) sustinere nos- trorum impe- tus	nostrorum impe- tus sustinere
26, 3 (16, 6) aversum .	aduersum (X)
" (16, 9) raedas .	rotas (X)
27, 2 (17, 4) tum .	tunc (π)
27, 4 (17, 8) hominum milia	milia sex homi- num
28, 3 (17, 9) iis .	his (X)
28, 4 (18, 13) < ex > .	e (M ² ; om. X)
28, 5 (18, 18) parem .	partem (X)
" (") condicionem .	conditione (X)
29, 2 (18, 6) milium .	milia (X)
" (18, 7) milium .	milia (X)
29, 3 (18, 10) domum .	domo (ap)
30, 4 (19, 12) indicere .	indiceret
31, 3 (19, 9) est .	om.
31, 8 (20, 27) se .	om.
" (20, 29) se ex civitate .	ex ciuitate se
31, 12 (20, 44) ad Magetobri- gam	admagetrobiae
" (20, 46) poscere .	petere
" (20, 48) facta .	factum
31, 13 (20, 50) sustineri .	sustinere (all MSS. except SB ²)
31, 14 (") nisi .	nisi si (all MSS. except A ¹ M ² β)
31, 16 (21, 59) traducatur .	reducatur (Mρ)
32, 1 (21, 1) ab .	a
32, 2 (21, 3) unos .	unus (X)
32, 5 (21, 14) fugae .	om.
33, 2 (21, 8) a .	ab
" (21, 9) in .	om.
" (21, 11) et .	ac
" (22, 12) esse .	om.
33, 4 (22, 15) temperaturos .	obtemperaturos (X)
" (22, 16) ante .	ante marg.
34, 1 (22, 2) uti .	ut
34, 3 (22, 9) molimento .	emolumento (X)
34, 4 (22, 1) omnino .	om.
35, 1 (22, 2) relatis .	legatis
35, 2 (22, 7) descendum .	dicendum (X)
35, 3 (22, 9) ne quam .	neque
" (23, 10) Galliam .	Gallia
35, 4 (23, 16) M. (Pisone) .	l
36, 1 (23, 2) iis .	his (X)
" (23, 3) item .	idem (X)
36, 5 (23, 14) iis .	his (X)
37, 1 (24, 1) Haec .	haec autem
37, 5 (24, 12) celerrime .	celeberrime
38, 1 (24, 5) processisse .	profecisse (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
38, 3 (24, 8) facultas .	difficultas (X)
38, 4 (") idemque .	idque (X)
" (24, 9) daret facultatem	facultatem / face- ret
38, 5 (24, 13) eius .	om. (a)
39, 2 (25, 11) Caesarem secuti .	secuti caesarem
39, 3 (25, 14) discedere .	discederet (an at- tempt has been made to erase t)
39, 5 (25, 20) ii .	hi (X)
" (25, 21) quique .	ut quisque (a)
39, 6 (25, 24) et .	om. (a)
39, 7 (25, 27) nuntiabant .	nuntiarant (a)
" (") ac .	aut
40, 1 (26, 2) consilio .	concilio (B ² β)
40, 5 (26, 16) meritis .	meritus esse
" (26, 18) quam .	quae (a)
40, 6 (26, 19) posse .	posset (X)
" (26, 20) inermes .	inermos (a)
40, 7 (26, 22) Germanos .	om. (ρ)
40, 8 (26, 26) hos .	hoc (a)
" (") reperire .	reperiri
40, 9 (27, 32) hac .	ac (X)
40, 10 (27, 34) conferrent .	conferret (απ)
40, 12 (27, 40) se ea re .	ea re se
" (27, 43) convictam .	conuinctam (X)
40, 13 (27, 44) perpetua vita .	perpetua uitae (X) (a uit is written on an erasure)
" (") felicitatem .	felicitate (απ)
40, 14 (27, 48) plus .	om. (a)
41, 3 (28, 8) neque .	nec (a)
41, 4 (28, 11) Gallis .	aliis (X)
41, 5 (28, 15) certior .	certior marg.
" (28, 16) milia .	milibus (X)
42, 1 (28, 4) existimaret .	existimare (a)
42, 4 (28, 10) cum legati ultro citroque	ultro citraque cum legati (a)
" (28, 11) ne quem .	neque
42, 5 (29, 16) equitatu .	equitibus
42, 6 (29, 22) Caesarem .	caesarem ei (a)
43, 1 (29, 2) aequum .	aequo (aa)
" (") spatium .	spatio (aa)
" (") a .	ab (a)
43, 2 (29, 4) devexerat .	uexerat (a)
43, 3 (29, 7) denos .	denos ut (a)
" (29, 8) adducerent .	adduceret (a)
43, 4 (29, 11) amplissima .	amplissime (a)
43, 7 (30, 17) quotiens quam- que	quotienscunque
" (30, 18) in eos facta .	facta in eos
43, 8 (30, 21) sui .	suis (a)
" (") nihil .	marg.
" (30, 22) auctiores .	auctos
" (") vellet .	uelit (X)
" (30, 23) iis .	his (ad)
43, 9 (30, 24) deinde .	om. (a)
" (30, 25) Haeduis .	heduis
44, 2 (30, 3) sese .	om. (π)
44, 4 (30, 11) pulsas ac .	om.
44, 5 (30, 16) atque .	idque (X)
44, 6 (31, 20) oppugnandae .	impugnandae (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
44, 6 (,) hac . . .	ea (φ)
" (31, 17) dediticii . .	deditio
44, 8 (31, 29) quod . .	qui
44, 9 (31, 30) a senatu . .	om. (a)
" (,) se tam barbarum	tam barbarum se
" (31, 33) ipsos . .	ipsis (ap) in ras.
44, 10 (31, 36) quem . .	quod (X)
44, 11 (31, 38) pro hoste . .	hoste (a)
44, 13 (31, 43) decessisset . .	discessisset (a)
" (,) possessionem	sibi possessionem
Galliae sibi	Galliae
45, 1 (32, 1) a . .	ab (a)
" (32, 2) non . .	om.
" (,) posset . .	posset et (a)
" (32, 4) uti . .	uti (i was added by a later hand)
" (,) meritos . .	merentes (a)
45, 3 (32, 9) quodque . .	quoque (φ)
46, 3 (32, 7) ullo . .	om.
46, 4 (32, 13) diremisset . .	ut diremisset (a)
47, 1 (33, 1) misit . .	mittit (af)
" (33, 2) iis . .	his (all MSS. except h)
" (33, 4) ex . .	e (a)
" (33, 5) aliquem . .	om.
47, 2 (33, 7) potuerant . .	poterant (X)
" (,) tela in nostros . .	in nostros tela (a)
47, 3 (33, 8) ex . .	e (a)
47, 4 (33, 10) C. Valerii . .	conualeri (B ³ MQ [a])
" (33, 11) Caburi . .	cabuli
" (33, 16) una . .	om. (a)
" (,) M. Metium . .	marium titium (a)
48, 1 (33, 2) Caesaris castris	castris caesaris
48, 3 (34, 7) pro castris . .	om.
48, 7 (34, 19) sublevati equorum	equorum subleuati
rum	(X)
49, 3 (34, 8) hominum . .	hominum numero
" (34, 9) terrerent . .	deterrent
49, 4 (34, 11) constituerat . .	constituerant
50, 1 (35, 1) ex . .	e (a)
" (35, 3) hostibusque . .	hostibus (a)
50, 2 (35, 4) eos . .	om.
" (,) meridie . .	meridiem (X)
51, 1 (35, 1) praesidio . .	praesidium (a)
" (35, 2) alarios omnes . .	omnis alarios (a)
" (35, 3) quod . .	quo (X)
51, 2 (35, 8) constituerunt . .	constiterunt
" (35, 9) Tribocos . .	triboces (a)
" (35, 10) raedis . .	redis
51, 3 (36, 12) ad . .	in (a)
" (,) milites . .	om. (af)
" (36, 13) manibus . .	crinibus
52, 5 (36, 10) nostri . .	nostri milites (a)
" (36, 11) phalanga . .	phalanges
52, 6 (36, 13) coniecta . .	conuersa (a)
52, 7 (36, 16) ii . .	hi (X)
53, 1 (36, 2) nec . .	neque
" (36, 4) pervenerunt . .	pervenerint (a)
53, 2 (36, 5) sibi salutem . .	salutem sibi re-
reppererunt	pererunt

MEUSEL	LOV.
53, 3 (37, 7) consecuti equi-	equitatu consecuti
tes	(a)
53, 4 (37, 11) utraque . .	utraque (a)
" (,) perit . .	perierunt (a)
53, 5 (37, 15) insequentem . .	persequentem (a)
53, 6 (37, 18) ex . .	e (a)
" (,) videbat . .	uiderat (a)
" (37, 20) deminuerat . .	diminuerat (φ)
53, 7 (37, 21) ter sortibus . .	tergoribus (a)
" (37, 23) se esse . .	esse se
54, 1 (37, 3) Ubii . .	ubi (X)
" (37, 4) iis . .	his (X)

II.

I, 1 (38, 2) supra . .	superius
I, 3 (38, 9) ut . .	om. (all MSS. except B ³ SQ)
" (38, 11) moleste . .	om.
I, 4 (38, 13) iis . .	his (X)
" (38, 15) imperio . .	in imperio (BMQ ^b)
" (,) nostro . .	non
2, 1 (38, 2) ulteriorem . .	interiorem (a)
2, 3 (38, 7) cognoscant . .	agnoscant
2, 6 (39, 11) provisa . .	comparata (a)
3, 1 (39, 2) omnium . .	omni (X)
" (39, 3) Iccium . .	siccium (X)
" (39, 4) Andebrogium . .	andocumborium
(ap)	
3, 2 (39, 5) potestatem . .	in potestatem (a)
" (39, 6) reliquis Belgis . .	belgis reliquis (a)
3, 5 (39, 14) isdem . .	iisdem
4, 1 (39, 1) iis . .	his (X)
" (39, 3) a . .	ab (a)
4, 2 (39, 7) suos fines . .	fines suos (a)
4, 5 (40, 16) milia . .	om. (a)
4, 7 (40, 18) fines . .	om. (a)
" (40, 19) Diviciacum . .	deuiciacum (a)
" (40, 21) Britanniae . .	britanniae
" (40, 23) summam totius	suam totius belli
belli	summam (a)
4, 9 (40, 27) Caletos X milia,	uelocasses et uero-
Veliocasses et	manduos totidem
Viromanduos	caletos x̄.
totidem	
" (40, 29) Atuaticos XVIII	catuacos xix
milia	
4, 10 (,) Condrusos . .	condrusos (a)
" (,) Caerosos . .	caeroesos (a)
" (40, 30) Caemanos . .	paemanos (a)
5, 3 (41, 10) a . .	ab (φ)
5, 4 (41, 12) abesse . .	om.
" (,) iis . .	his (X)
5, 5 (41, 17) erant . .	essent (a)
" (41, 19) possent . .	posset (a)
5, 6 (41, 21) altitudinem . .	altitudine (X)
6, 1 (41, 23) muniri . .	munire (X)
6, 2 (41, 4) est haec: ubi . .	est. Haec ubi (φ)
" (41, 5) undique . .	om.
" (41, 6) in murum lap-	lapides in murum
ides	
" (41, 7) succedunt . .	succedunt (X)
6, 3 (42, 9) coicerent . .	coniecerunt

MEUSEL	LOV.
6, 4 (42, 12) praeerat .	prae fuerat <i>in ras.</i> (X)
" (") unus .	unum (X)
" (") ex iis .	om.
" (42, 13) nuntios .	nuntium (X)
7, 1 (42, 1) Caesar .	caesari
7, 3 (42, 8) quo .	quot
" (") potuerant .	u <i>corr.</i> poterant (pote- rant β)
" (") omnibus .	cum omnibus
" (42, 9) a .	ab (α)
8, 2 (43, 10) in fronte .	in frontem (α)
" (43, 11) fastigatus .	castigatus cas- tratus (α)
" (") redibat .	rediebat (α)
8, 4 (43, 15) ab .	a
" (") pugnantes suos .	suos pugnantes
8, 5 (43, 20) instruxerunt .	instruxerant (X)
9, 2 (43, 6) transeundi ini- tium	initium transeundi
9, 5 (43, 13) si .	sin
" (") potuissent .	possent
10, 1 (43, 2) ponte .	pontem (X)
10, 3 (44, 7) primosque .	primos (α) s <i>corr.</i>
10, 4 (44, 12) concilio .	concilio
11, 4 (44, 14) agmine .	ordine
11, 5 (45, 7) sibi praesidium	praesidium sibi
11, 6 (45, 21) occasum .	occasumque (α)
" (") sequi .	om. (α)
13, 1 (45, 4) accipit .	accepit (X)
13, 2 (45, 5) Bratuspantium .	bratuspantium
" (45, 6) milia .	om. (α)
14, 3 (46, 5) a .	ab
14, 4 (46, 9) Britanniam .	britanniam
14, 5 (46, 11) his .	iis (φ)
14, 6 (46, 14) sustentare .	sustentari
15, 1 (46, 3) et .	om. (α)
" (46, 4) magna .	magna et
15, 2 (46, 6) conlatis .	collocatis
15, 4 (46, 10) esse aditum .	'esse 'aditum (α)
" (46, 11) ad luxuriam pertinentium	om. (α)
" (") his .	iis (α)
16, 1 (47, 2) a .	ab (α)
16, 2 (47, 5) Atrebatibus .	atrebatis (α)
" (") Viromanduis .	ueromanduis (α)
16, 4 (47, 7) iis .	his (X)
17, 1 (47, 2) castris idoneum	idoneum castris (α)
17, 2 (47, 3) Caesarem .	caesarem <i>marg.</i>
" (47, 5) itineris .	om.
" (47, 6) his .	iis (φ)
" (47, 8) esse .	sese
" (47, 9) castra .	castris
17, 4 (47, 12) adiuuabat .	adiuuabant (α)
" (48, 14) cum .	om. (α)
" (48, 18) inflexis cre- brisque	om. (α)
" (48, 20) munimentum .	munimenta
" (") non intrari .	intrari (α ² P, ed. 1)
" (48, 21) posset .	possit (α)
17, 5 (48, 22) sibi .	om. (α)

MEUSEL	LOV.
17, 5 (48, 23) existimauerunt .	estimaauerunt
18, 1 (48, 2) locum .	om.
" (") nostri castris .	castris nostri
19, 2 (48, 4) hostibus .	hostis (α)
19, 6 (49, 18) ordinesque .	ordinemque
" (") constituerant .	ita constituerant(α)
20, 2 (49, 7) incursus .	successus (α)
21, 3 (50, 7) adigi .	adici (α)
21, 5 (50, 12) scutisque .	scutis
" (50, 13) detrahenda .	detrudenda (XSM ²)
22, 1 (50, 1) deiectusque .	delectusque (X)
" (50, 3) diversae legi- ones	diuersis legionibus (X)
23, 1 (51, 4) his .	iis (φβ)
23, 2 (51, 7) flumen .	flumen <i>marg.</i>
23, 3 (51, 11) Viromanduis .	ueromanduis
" (") congressu .	congressi (X)
23, 4 (51, 13) at totis .	Attonitis (α)
" (") castris .	om. (α)
" (") et a .	et ab (α)
" (51, 14) cum .	castris quo (α)
" (51, 18) castrorum locum	locum castrorum
24, 1 (51, 4) aliam in .	in aliam
24, 2 (51, 5) ab .	om. (α)
" (51, 9) fugae sese .	sese fugae
24, 4 (52, 13) a .	ab (α)
" (52, 14) missi ad Caesar- em	ad caesarem missi
" (52, 15) castra nostra compleri	castra compleri, nostras (α)
" (52, 16) dispersos .	diuersos (X)
25, 1 (52, 3) confertos milites	milites confertos
" (52, 4) esse impedi- mento	impedimento esse
" (52, 5) signifero .	signiferoque (α)
" (52, 8) primipilo .	primipili
" (52, 10) deserto .	desertos (<i>all M/S.S.</i> <i>except A</i>)
25, 3 (53, 21) in .	om. (α)
26, 2 (53, 5) aliis .	alius (α)
" (") ferrent .	ferret (α)
26, 4 (53, 12) gererentur .	gereretur
27, 1 (53, 2) est facta .	facta est
" (53, 4) calones .	tum calones (α)
" (") armatis .	armati
" (53, 5) occurrerent .	occurrerunt (α)
27, 2 (53, 6) pugnando .	pugnabant quo
27, 5 (54, 13) deberet .	deberent (X)
" (") esse .	sese
28, 1 (54, 4) coniectos .	collectos (X)
29, 1 (54, 1) diximus .	scripsimus (α)
29, 3 (54, 5) in circuitu par- tibus	partibus in circu- itu
" (54, 6) deiectusque .	despectusque (X)
" (54, 7) latitudinem .	altitudinem (α)
" (") pedum CC .	ducentorum pe- dum (α)
29, 4 (55, 15) reliquerant .	reliquerunt (X)
29, 5 (55, 18) delegerant .	delegerunt (X)
30, 2 (55, 4) XII .	om. (α)
30, 3 (55, 6) procul constitui	procul <i>corr.</i> constitui

30, 3
30, 4
31, 1
31, 2
32, 3
33, 1
33, 2
33, 3
33, 4
33, 5
33, 7
34
35, 1
35, 2
35, 3
35, 4
I, 1
I, 2
I, 4
I, 5
I, 6
2, 1
2, 2
3,
3,
4,
4,
5,
5,
6,

MEUSEL	LOV.
30, 3 (55, 8) a . . .	ab (a)
30, 4 (55, 12) muro . . .	muros (a)
31, 1 (55, 1) muris . . .	moenibus (a)
" (") atque . . .	et
31, 2 (55, 3) se . . .	om. (a)
" (55, 4) deorum . . .	diuina (a)
32, 3 (56, 7) renuntiata . . .	nuntiata (X)
33, 1 (56, 3) a . . .	ab (a)
33, 2 (56, 6) iis . . .	his (X)
" (57, 10) repente . . .	repentino (a)
33, 3 (57, 12) imperaverat . . .	imperarat (a)
33, 4 (57, 16) spes . . .	spes salutis (a)
33, 5 (57, 17) milibus IIII . . .	milis quattuor
" (") oppidum . . .	oppido
33, 7 (57, 20) iis . . .	his (X)
34 (57, 2) Venellos . . .	unellos (X)
" (") Coriosolitas . . .	curiosolitas (φ)
" (") Esuvios . . .	sesuuios (X)
" (57, 3) Redones . . .	rhedones (a ah)
" (57, 5) dicionem . . .	deditionem (af)
35, 1 (57, 2) iis . . .	his (X)
" (57, 3) legati ad Caesarem mitterentur . . .	mitterentur legati ad caesarem (a)
" (57, 4) qui . . .	quae
35, 2 (57, 5) Illyricumque . . .	illyricumque (χβ)
35, 3 (58, 7) Turonos quaeque . . .	turonisque quae (φπ)
" (") propinque iis . . .	his locis propin-
" (") locis erant . . .	quae erant
" (58, 9) hiberna . . .	hibernacula (a)
" (") (cula in marg.) . . .	
35, 4 (58, 10) dierum . . .	dies (X)

III.

1, 1 (58, 2) Veragros Sedunosque . . .	sedunos corr. ueragrosque
" (58, 3) a . . .	ab (φ)
1, 2 (58, 6) consuauerant . . .	consueuerant (π)
" (58, 8) uti . . .	ut
1, 4 (58, 10) undique . . .	om.
" (58, 14) Octodurus . . .	octodorus (SB ² β) simis corr.
1, 5 (58, 15) altissimis . . .	altis
" (") undique . . .	om.
1, 6 (59, 16) hic . . .	hinc (aa)
" (") flumini . . .	flumen (a)
2, 3 (59, 9) eam . . .	tam (a)
" (59, 11) absentibus . . .	om. (a)
2, 4 (59, 14) impetum suum posse . . .	posse impetum suum (a)
" (") sustineri . . .	sustinere (φ)
3, 1 (59, 2) plene essent . . .	essent plene
3, 3 (60, 11) eius . . .	huius (a)
" (60, 12) isdem . . .	iisdem
4, 1 (60, 1) iis . . .	om. (a)
" (60, 3) gaesaque . . .	caesaque (a)
4, 2 (60, 5) propugnare . . .	repugnare (a)
" (60, 6) et quaecumque . . .	ut quaeque (a)
5, 1 (60, 3) nostros . . .	nostris (X)
5, 3 (61, 14) dato signo . . .	signo dato
6, 3 (61, 11) intra munitiones . . .	in castra munitionesque (a)

7, 1 (62, 4) Illyricum . . .	illyricum (χβ)
" (62, 5) regiones . . .	om.
7, 2 (62, 6) eius belli haec fuit causa . . .	om.
" (62, 7) mare . . .	mari
" (") Oceanum . . .	oceanum
" (62, 8) hiemabat . . .	hiemauerat (SB ² M ^b)
7, 4 (62, 11) Esuvios . . .	esubios in ras.
" (62, 12) Coriosolitas . . .	curiosolitas (a)
" (") Silio . . .	sillio (X)
8, 1 (62, 1) civitatis longe amplissima . . .	amplissimae civitatis longe
" (62, 3) Veneti plurimas . . .	plurimas ueneti
" (62, 4) rerum nauticarum . . .	nauticarum reliquos (a)
" (62, 6) aperti . . .	aperto (X)
8, 2 (62, 8) fit . . .	fuit
" (") Silii . . .	sillii (X)
" (") Velanii . . .	uellanii (φ)
8, 3 (62, 14) omnes . . .	omnis (X)
8, 4 (62, 16) acceperint . . .	acceperant (a)
" (63, 17) malint . . .	mallent (a) (the II is written on an erasure).
8, 5 (63, 18) celeriter ad suam sententiam . . .	ad suam sententiam celeriter
" (63, 19) recuperare . . .	recipere (a)
9, 1 (63, 1) a . . .	ab (a)
" (63, 3) Ligeri . . .	ligere (a)
9, 3 (63, 11) ab . . .	a
" (") vincula . . .	uincula (φQh ²)
9, 6 (63, 18) acciderent . . .	acciderant (φA)
9, 7 (63, 23) atque apertissimo . . .	om. (χ) (in the margin is written, by a later hand, with reference to uastissimo, aut apertissimo)
9, 9 (64, 26) gesturum . . .	esse gesturum
9, 10 (64, 27) Osismos . . .	ossismos (φ)
" (64, 28) Lexovios . . .	lexobios (a)
" (") Diablintes . . .	diablintes (a)
10, 1 (64, 2) tamen multa . . .	multa tamen
10, 2 (64, 3) iniuria . . .	iniuriae (a)
" (64, 6) sibi idem . . .	idem sibi
11, 1 (64, 2) flumini Rheno . . .	Rheno flumini
11, 2 (64, 4) Gallis . . .	belgis (a)
11, 4 (64, 9) Sabinum . . .	om.
" (64, 10) Venellos . . .	unellos (X)
" (") Coriosolitas . . .	curiosolites (a)
" (65, 11) Lexovios . . .	lexobios (a)
11, 5 (65, 13) Pictonibus . . .	pectonibus (X)
" (65, 14) possit . . .	posset (X)
" (65, 15) copiis contendit . . .	contendit copiis
12, 3 (65, 7) operis . . .	om.
" (65, 9) desperare fortunis suis . . .	suis fortunis desperare (a)
" (") numero navium . . .	navium numero
" (65, 11) recipiebant . . .	reiciebant
12, 4 (65, 12) isdem . . .	iisdem
12, 5 (65, 15) magnis . . .	magno (φ)

MEUSEL	LOV.
13, 4 (65, 8) ex . . .	om. (a)
13, 6 (66, 10) alutae . . .	salute (a)
„ (66, 11) inopiam lini . . .	lini inopiam (a)
„ (66, 13) sustineri . . .	sustinere (a)
„ (66, 14) onera . . .	opera (a)
13, 8 (66, 18) iis . . .	his (af)
„ (66, 19) iis . . .	his (X)
„ (66, 20) adigebatur . . .	adiciebatur (a[a]f)
„ (66, 21) copulis . . .	scopulis (X)
13, 9 (66, 22) saevire . . .	se saevire (a)
„ („) et se . . .	om. (a)
„ (66, 24) relictæ . . .	rei relictæ (a)
„ („) cotes . . .	cautes (a)
14, 1 (66, 3) iis . . .	his (ß)
„ („) noceri . . .	nocere
14, 3 (67, 10) quam rationem . . .	qua ratione
14, 4 (67, 14) adigi . . .	adici (X)
„ („) a . . .	ab (a)
14, 5 (67, 15) magno . . .	magna
„ (67, 16) longuriis . . .	longuris (X)
„ (67, 17) muralium . . .	moralium
„ (67, 18) destinabant . . .	distinebant (ahf?)
14, 7 (67, 21) consisteret . . .	esset
14, 8 (67, 26) paulo . . .	om.
14, 9 (67, 27) erat . . .	om.
15, 1 (67, 1) Deiectis . . .	disiectis (X)
15, 3 (67, 8) malacia . . .	malina(?) (ina corr.)
„ (67, 9) movere . . .	commouere (a)
15, 5 (68, 11) consecrati . . .	consecuti
„ (68, 12) pervenirent . . .	peruenerint (a)
16, 4 (68, 8) Caesari . . .	caesari marg.
17, 1 (68, 3) Venellorum . . .	unellorum (X)
17, 2 („) his . . .	Is
17, 3 (68, 6) his . . .	iis (a)
17, 4 (68, 10) convenerat . . .	conuenerant (MQ')
„ (68, 12) sevocabat . . .	reuocabat (X)
17, 5 (68, 13) duorum . . .	duum (a)
„ (69, 16) Sabinus . . .	sabinus marg.
„ (69, 17) vocibus . . .	om.
17, 7 (69, 19) de causa faciebat . . .	faciebat de causa
18, 1 (69, 1) Hac . . .	ac (a)
„ (69, 3) iis . . .	his (X)
18, 6 (69, 15) iis . . .	his (X)
18, 7 (69, 18) concilio . . .	consilio
„ („) iis . . .	his (X)
19, 3 (70, 9) primum . . .	unum (X)
19, 4 (70, 11) quos . . .	quos impeditos (a)
19, 5 (70, 15) est certior . . .	certior est
19, 6 (70, 18) ferendas . . .	preferendas (a)
20, 1 (70, 3) est tertia pars . . .	ex tertia parte (X)
„ (70, 4) iis . . .	his (a)
„ (70, 7) Manlius . . .	mallius (a)
20, 2 (71, 11) et Carcasone et Narbone . . .	et marg. narbona
„ (71, 13) Sotiatium . . .	sontiatium (a)
20, 3 (71, 14) Sotiates . . .	sontiates (aπ)
„ (71, 15) agmen nostrum . . .	nostrum agnen
20, 4 (71, 18) hi . . .	Ii (BM)
21, 1 (71, 1) Pugnatum . . .	pugnatumque
„ (71, 2) atque . . .	om.
„ („) Sotiates . . .	sontiates (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
21, 1 (71, 3) putarent . . .	putarent marg.
„ (71, 4) nostri . . .	nihil
„ (71, 6) tandem . . .	tamen (X)
„ („) verterunt . . .	uertere (a)
21, 2 (71, 8) Sotiatium . . .	sontiatium (a)
21, 3 (71, 9) alias . . .	om.
„ (71, 13) nihil his rebus . . .	his rebus nihil
22, 1 (71, 2) eam rem . . .	ea re (X)
„ (71, 3) Adiatunus . . .	adiatunus
22, 2 (72, 5) iis . . .	his (X)
„ (72, 6) his . . .	iis (a)
„ (72, 7) per vim . . .	per vim marg.
22, 3 (72, 10) mortem . . .	mori (a)
„ („) Adiatunus . . .	adiatunus
23, 1 (72, 1) Vocatium . . .	uocaturum (ap)
23, 2 (72, 4) erat . . .	erant
„ (72, 5) quoque versus . . .	quaqua uersum
„ (72, 6) inter se dare . . .	dare inter se
23, 4 (72, 9) [cum] hominum multitudine . . .	hominum cum multitudine
23, 5 (72, 11) ii . . .	hi (φß)
23, 6 (72, 13) hi . . .	In
23, 7 (72, 16) diduci . . .	deduci (X)
24, 1 (73, 2) instituta . . .	instructa
„ („) coniectis . . .	collectis (af)
24, 2 (73, 6) sine . . .	sine ullo (a)
24, 3 (73, 9) infirmiores . . .	infirmiore (a)
24, 5 (73, 12) timoris . . .	timidiores (X)
25, 1 (73, 1) multis telis coniectis . . .	multis corr. coniectis
„ (73, 8) acciderent . . .	accederent (a)
25, 2 (73, 9) esse . . .	om.
26, 2 (74, 3) devecis . . .	evuctis (X)
„ (74, 6) ad pugnam . . .	ad pugnam marg.
26, 3 (74, 8) prorutis . . .	proruptis (X)
„ (74, 9) his . . .	iis (φ)
26, 5 (74, 14) contenderunt . . .	intenderunt (X)
26, 6 (74, 16) convenisse . . .	uenisse
27, 1 (74, 4) Elusates . . .	flustates (X)
„ („) Sibusates . . .	sibutates (BM)
27, 2 (74, 6) id . . .	hoc (a)
28, 1 (74, 4) de pace . . .	om.
„ (75, 5) duxit . . .	adduxit (X)
28, 4 (75, 16) ex . . .	de
29, 1 (75, 5) utrumque . . .	utrimque
29, 2 (75, 7) a . . .	ab (a)
29, 3 (75, 12) reduxit . . .	perduxit (a)
„ (75, 13) Lexoviis . . .	lexobiis (a)
„ (75, 14) proxime . . .	maxime (a)

IV.

1, 1 (76, 3) Tencteri . . .	tanctheri (φ)
1, 7 (76, 15) colendi . . .	incolendi
1, 9 (76, 20) faciunt . . .	faciant (X)
1, 10 (77, 24) pars aperta . . .	aperta pars
„ („) lavarentur . . .	lauantur (X)
2, 1 (77, 2) est . . .	est ad eos
2, 2 (77, 6) importatis . . .	importatis his (a)
„ („) parva . . .	praua (a)
„ (77, 8) sint . . .	om.
2, 4 (77, 12) ephippiis . . .	ephippiis in ras. (etphiapiis [a] marg.)

MEUSEL	LOV.
2, 6 (77, 14) omnino ad se . . .	ad se omnino (a)
„ („) patiuntur . . .	sinunt (a)
3, 1 (77, 2) esse laudem . . .	laudem esse
„ (77, 3) significari . . .	significare
3, 2 (77, 5) C . . .	dc (a)
3, 3 (77, 7) ii . . .	et (X)
„ („) quamquam . . .	quam (X)
„ (77, 8) sunt . . .	et (X)
4, 1 (78, 1) Tencteri . . .	tentheri (X)
„ (78, 2) complures . . .	cum plures (a)
4, 2 (78, 5) hi . . .	et (a)
4, 3 (78, 7) iis . . .	his (X)
„ (78, 8) habuerant . . .	habebant
„ („) demigraverant . . .	demigrauerunt (X)
4, 4 (78, 12) suas sedes . . .	sedes suas
4, 5 (78, 14) omni . . .	om.
„ („) equitatu confecto . . .	confecto equitatu
5, 2 (79, 4) enim . . .	autem (Mf) (the is apparently written on an erasure)
„ (79, 5) quid . . .	quod (X)
„ (79, 6) re . . .	re marg.
„ (79, 8) ibi res . . .	res ibi
„ (79, 9) cogat . . .	cogant (a)
5, 3 (79, 10) ineunt . . .	ineant
6, 4 (79, 8) iam . . .	om.
„ (79, 9) Condrusorum . . .	condrusonum (a)
6, 5 (79, 10) evocatis . . .	uocatis (a)
„ (79, 11) permulsis et . . .	om.
7, 2 (79, 4) iis . . .	his (X)
7, 4 (80, 11) utiles esse . . .	esse utiles
7, 5 (80, 12) pares . . .	om.
8, 1 (80, 1) Caesar quae visum est . . .	quae uisum est caesar (a)
„ (80, 2) iis . . .	his (a f)
8, 3 (80, 7) velint . . .	uellent
„ (80, 9) se Ubiis . . .	sueuis (S)
9, 1 (80, 2) re deliberata . . .	deliberata re
„ (80, 3) ad Caesarem . . .	om.
9, 3 (80, 6) iis . . .	his (X)
„ (80, 7) Ambivaritos . . .	ambiuaritos
10, 1 (80, 3) Vacalus . . .	ualus
„ („) insulam . . .	insulamque (X)
10, 2 (81, 4) inde . . .	ab oceano (X)
„ (81, 5) Oceanum . . .	rhenum (X)
10, 3 (81, 6) Nantuatium . . .	nantuantium ([n a u t. M; nont. S] φ)
„ (81, 7) Tribocorum . . .	tribocorum (a)
10, 4 (81, 8) adpropinquavit . . .	appropinquat (SM ² ah)
„ (81, 9) diffluit . . .	defluit (a)
10, 5 (81, 11) sunt . . .	om.
„ („) atque ovis . . .	ouisque
11, 1 (81, 1) milibus abesset . . .	abesset milibus
„ (81, 3) magnopere . . .	om.
11, 2 (81, 5) equites . . .	om.
„ („) praetermitteret . . .	praetermitteret
11, 3 (81, 8) iurando . . .	faciendo
„ („) fecisset . . .	fecissent (M ² Q ²)

MEUSEL	LOV.
11, 4 (81, 12) abessent . . .	aberant
12, 1 (82, 5) timentibus nos- tris . . .	nostris timentibus
„ (82, 6) erat . . .	dictus erat
12, 2 (82, 8) his . . .	om. (a)
„ (82, 9) subfossisque . . .	suffossis
„ (82, 12) venissent . . .	uenirent
12, 4 (82, 15) a . . .	ab (ABM)
12, 5 (82, 17) illum ex periculo . . .	ex periculo illum
„ („) ipse . . .	ipso
13, 1 (82, 2) iis . . .	his (XSB)
13, 4 (83, 10) praetermitteret . . .	intermitteret
„ (83, 11) oportunissima . . .	oportunissime
„ (83, 12) simulatione et . . .	perfidia et simul- atione (a)
„ (83, 13) frequentes . . .	frequentibus
13, 5 (83, 15) purgandi sui . . .	sui purgandi (a)
13, 6 (83, 18) gavisus . . .	gravius (a)
„ („) illos . . .	om.
14, 2 (83, 8) petere . . .	petere marg.
14, 4 (83, 10) quo loco . . .	quo in loco
15, 2 (84, 6) vi . . .	et ui
15, 4 (84, 10) iis . . .	his (X)
16, 1 (84, 1) Germanico . . .	Germano
„ (84, 2) Rhenum esse . . .	esse rhenum
16, 2 (84, 7) Tencterorum . . .	tentherorum
„ (84, 8) commemoravi . . .	memoravi
„ (84, 10) Sugambrorum . . .	sigambrorum
„ (84, 11) his . . .	iis (ABM)
16, 5 (84, 19) orabant . . .	postulabant
„ („) ab Suebis . . .	om. i i
16, 6 (84, 20) rei publicae . . .	P.R.
„ (85, 22) satis . . .	om.
16, 7 (85, 23) Ariovisto . . .	ariouisti
„ (85, 26) possent . . .	possint (X)
17, 4 (85, 12) festucis . . .	fistulis
17, 5 (85, 14) iis . . .	his (φβ)
17, 10 (86, 29) causa . . .	om. (a)
„ („) immissae . . .	missae (X)
18, 2 (86, 4) Sugambrorum . . .	sigambrorum (X)
18, 3 (86, 6) respondet . . .	respondit (a)
18, 4 (86, 7) at Sugambri . . .	Sigambri (a)
„ (86, 9) Tenctheris . . .	tentheris
19, 1 (86, 3) succisis . . .	succisis in ras.
„ (86, 4) his . . .	iis (a)
„ („) a . . .	ab (a)
19, 2 (86, 5) postea . . .	post
„ (86, 8) silvis . . .	siluas
19, 3 (87, 12) ibidem . . .	ibi (X)
19, 4 (87, 13) iis . . .	his (β)
„ (87, 15) Sugambros . . .	sigambros (A ² M ² a)
20, 1 (87, 2) septentriones . . .	septemtrionem (φ)
„ (87, 3) Britanniam . . .	britanniam
20, 2 (87, 7) adisset . . .	adisset et (a)
20, 3 (87, 10) adit . . .	adiit (a)
„ („) his . . .	iis (Aφp)
20, 4 (87, 12) vocatis . . .	conuocatis
„ (87, 16) maiorem . . .	maiorum (X)
„ („) portus . . .	om.
21, 2 (88, 4) ad se quam pri- mum . . .	quam primum ad se
21, 3 (88, 6) Britanniam . . .	britaniam

MEUSEL	LOV.	MEUSEL	LOV.
21, 4 (88, 8) fecerat . . .	effecerat (a)	30, 2 (94, 12) et . . .	aut (a)
21, 7 (88, 14) remittit . . .	remisit	31, 2 (94, 6) cotidie in castra	in castra cotidie
„ (88, 17) magni . . .	magna (<i>Mf</i> ²)	„ (94, 9) comparari . . .	comportari (a)
21, 8 (88, 19) ut . . .	om. (φ)	32, 2 (94, 11) succedere . . .	succedere cohortes
21, 9 (88, 21) regionibus . . .	regionibus omni- bus (a)	32, 3 (94, 13) suos . . .	et suos
„ („) ei . . .	et	32, 5 (95, 18) depositis . . .	dispositis
„ („) facultatis . . .	facultas (<i>AS</i>)	33, 1 (95, 4) insinuaerunt . . .	insinuaerint (<i>Q^bB²M²p²</i>)
22, 1 (88, 2) ad eum legati . . .	legati ad eum	34, 2 (95, 4) hostem . . .	om. (a)
„ (88, 6) pollicerentur . . .	pollicentur (a)	„ („) et . . .	et ad (a)
22, 2 (89, 9) tantularum . . .	tantarum (a)	34, 4 (95, 8) continuos . . .	continuo
22, 3 (89, 12) recipit . . .	recepit (a)	36, 2 (96, 5) hiemi . . .	hiemis
„ („) LXXX . . .	dccc/lxxx. (a)	„ („) subiciendam . . .	subeundam
„ (89, 13) quot . . .	quod (<i>X</i>)	36, 4 (96, 8) portus quos re- liquae . . .	quos reliquae por- tus
„ (89, 14) transportandas legiones	legiones transpor- tandas	37, 3 (97, 10) horis . . .	horas
22, 4 (89, 16) a . . .	ab (a)	38, 2 (97, 5) perfugio superi- ore anno erant	superiore anno semper fuerant
„ (89, 18) venire . . .	peruenire	„ (97, 6) venerunt . . .	peruenerunt (a)
22, 5 (89, 19) Titurio . . .	Q. titurio	38, 3 (97, 9) se omnes . . .	omnes se
„ („) Aurunculeio . . .	l. aurunculeio		
„ (89, 20) a . . .	ab (a)		V.
„ (89, 21) ducendum . . .	marg.	I, 1 (97, 1) L. Domitio . . .	Domitio
22, 6 (89, 21) Sulpicium . . .	P. sulpicium	„ (98, 4) possint hieme . . .	hieme possent (<i>X</i>)
23, 2 (89, 5) diei circiter . . .	circiter diei (a)	„ (98, 5) curent . . .	curentur (a)
„ (89, 7) copias . . .	turmas	I, 2 (98, 6) subductionisque . . .	subductionesque (<i>X</i>)
23, 3 (89, 8) anguste . . .	angustis (<i>X</i>)	„ (98, 8) crebras . . .	om.
23, 5 (90, 13) cognovisset . . .	cognosset (a)	„ (98, 10) ac . . .	ad (a)
„ (90, 15) maritimae . . .	mare tum hae	I, 3 (98, 12) multum humi- litas	humilitas multum
„ („) quae . . .	quam	I, 5 (98, 15) Illyricum . . .	illyricum
23, 6 (90, 20) aperto . . .	om. (a)	I, 6 (98, 17) eo cum venisset . . .	om.
24, 1 (90, 4) navibus egredi . . .	egredi nauibus	„ civitatibus mi- lites imperat	certumque in locum con- venire iubet
24, 2 (90, 8) pressis . . .	oppressis (a)	I, 7 (98, 20) demonstrent . . .	demonstrant (<i>X</i>)
24, 3 (90, 11) expeditis . . .	expediti (φ)	2, 1 (98, 1) His . . .	Iis (a)
24, 4 (90, 15) consueverant . . .	consueverant (ue is underlined in paler ink)	2, 2 (98, 3) circumitis . . .	circuitis (a)
25, 1 (90, 3) erat . . .	om. (a)	„ (99, 6) instructas . . .	constructas
25, 2 (91, 9) etiam . . .	modo (<i>X</i>)	„ (99, 7) possint . . .	possent
25, 3 (91, 11) obtestatus . . .	contestatus (a)	2, 3 (99, 9) velit . . .	uellet (<i>SM</i> ²)
„ (91, 13) commilitones . . .	milites (a)	„ (99, 13) relinquit . . .	reliquit (<i>X</i>)
25, 4 (91, 15) voce magna . . .	magna uoce	3, 1 (99, 3) demonstravimus . . .	diximus (demon- stravimus marg.)
25, 6 (91, 19) adpropinquave- runt . . .	appropinquarunt (<i>X</i>)	3, 2 (99, 5) ex . . .	E (a)
26, 5 (91, 15) potuerant . . .	potuerunt (<i>X</i>)	3, 4 (99, 10) iisque . . .	iisque (the first i has been altered into e)
27, 1 (92, 4) sese . . .	esse (a)	3, 5 (99, 15) auctoritate . . .	familiaritate (a)
27, 3 (92, 8) vincula . . .	uincla (β)	„ (99, 17) privatis . . .	priuatim (a)
27, 4 (92, 9) tum . . .	tunc	„ (99, 18) possent . . .	posset (<i>X</i>)
„ („) et . . .	om. (a)	„ (100, 19) legatos . . .	induciomarus lega- tos (a)
„ (92, 10) contulerunt . . .	coniecerunt (a)	3, 7 (100, 22) civitatem in sua potestate	esse ciuitatem in sua potestate (a)
27, 5 (92, 13) imprudentiae . . .	prudentiae de corr.	„ (100, 23) seque . . .	seseque (φ[a?])
28, 1 (92, 3) demonstratum . . .	monstratum	„ (100, 24) et . . .	om. (a)
28, 2 (92, 5) viderentur . . .	uideretur	4, 1 (100, 2) quaeque . . .	quaecunque
„ („) subito . . .	om.		
„ (92, 7) referrentur . . .	reuerterentur		
„ (93, 9) suo . . .	sui (<i>X</i>)		
29, 2 (93, 5) quas Caesar . . .	quasque (<i>X</i>)		
„ (93, 6) complebat . . .	compleuerat (a)		
29, 4 (93, 15) hiemari . . .	hiemare (a)		
„ („) in his . . .	his in (a[iis S])		
30, 1 (93, 3) et . . .	om. (a)		
30, 2 (93, 9) his . . .	iis (a <i>h</i>)		

MEUSEL	LOV.
4, 1 (100, 4) rebus ad Britannicum bellum	ad britannicum bellum rebus (a)
4, 2 (100, 8) maneret	permaneret
4, 3 (100, 10) ab	a (a)
4, 4 (100, 13) id factum graviter tulit	id tulit factum graviter (a)
„ (100, 15) ante	om.
„ („) fuisset	esset
5, 3 (101, 7) milia	milium (a)
6, 1 (101, 2) a	ab (a)
„ („) habere	ducere
6, 4 (101, 14) sevocare	reuocare (<i>χMS</i>)
„ (101, 15) uti	ut (<i>Mß</i>)
6, 5 (101, 17) consilium Caesaris	caesaris consilium
7, 2 (102, 5) prospiciendum	perspiciendum (a)
7, 3 (102, 6) dies circiter XXV	circiter xxv. diebus
„ (102, 8) his locis	iis (a)
„ („) flare consuevit	consuevit sufflare
7, 4 (102, 12) naves	in naues
7, 5 („) omnium impeditis animis	impeditis omnium animis
„ (102, 13) Haeduorum	heduorum
7, 8 (102, 19) autem	enim (<i>X</i>)
8, 1 (102, 1) Labieno in continenti cum III legionibus et equitum milibus duobus	labieno cum tribus legionibus et equitum milibus duobus in continentem
„ (102, 3) rei frumentariae	rem frumentariam (<i>M</i>)
„ (103, 5) re	se
8, 2 („) V legionibus	legionibus <i>v</i> .
„ (103, 6) relinquebat	reliquerat (a)
8, 6 (103, 17) Caesar ex captivis cognovit	ex captivis cognovit caesar
„ (103, 20) causa fecerat	fecerant
„ (103, 21) visae	om.
9, 1 (103, 7) ei	et
„ („) navibusque	naubus
10, 2 (104, 7) litus	litore (a)
„ (104, 8) sustinerent	subsisterent (<i>X</i>)
11, 2 (104, 4) [litteris]	litterisque (a)
„ (104, 5) XL	xl <i>corr.</i>
11, 4 (104, 8) possit	posset (a)
„ (105, 9) sint apud eum	apud eum sunt (sunt <i>X</i>)
11, 6 (105, 13) ne	nec
11, 7 (105, 15) relinquit	reliquit (<i>aa</i>)
12, 1 (105, 2) ipsa	ipsi (<i>all MSS. except a</i>)
12, 2 (105, 3) qui	om.
„ (105, 4) transierunt	transierant (a)
„ („) isdem	iis (a)
„ (105, 5) remanserunt	permanserunt (a)
12, 3 (105, 8) pecoris	pecorum (a)
12, 4 (105, 9) taleis	anulis
12, 5 (105, 10) ibi	om.
13, 1 (106, 1) triquetra	utrique
„ (106, 5) latus tenet	pertinet (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
13, 2 (106, 7) insula	om. (a)
13, 3 (106, 10) obiectae	subiectae (a)
„ (106, 12) brumam	bruma (<i>X</i>)
13, 6 (106, 17) alter	lateris (<i>X</i>)
„ (106, 18) milium	milias (<i>a fß</i>)
14, 1 (106, 1) his	iis (a)
„ (107, 3) a	om. (a)
14, 2 (107, 6) Britanni	britannia
„ („) vitro	ultro (a)
„ (107, 7) horribiliores	horridiores (a)
14, 5 (107, 11) qui	si qui (<i>BM</i>)
15, 1 (107, 1) essedarii	essedarii
„ („) proelio	praelium (a)
„ (107, 2) ita	om. (a)
„ (107, 3) eos	omnes (<i>φ</i>)
15, 4 (107, 10) his	iis (<i>ah</i>)
„ („) legionum duarum	duarum legionum
„ („) eae	hae (<i>BM</i>)
„ („) perexiguo intermisso	intermisso perexiguo
16, 2 (108, 7) plerumque	plerumque <i>marg.</i>
17, 2 (108, 4) causa	gratia
„ (108, 6) partibus	om. (a)
17, 3 (108, 9) quoad	quo (<i>φa</i>)
„ (108, 10) se	om. (a)
18, 1 (108, 3) omnino loco	loco omnino
18, 2 (109, 4) animadvertit	animus aduertit (a)
„ (109, 5) esse copias hostium	hostium esse copias
18, 3 (109, 6) praefixisque	praefixis (<i>φ</i>)
18, 4 (109, 8) his	iis (a)
18, 5 (109, 12) equitum	equitatum
19, 1 (109, 7) ex agris	om.
19, 2 (109, 8) effunderet	eiecerat (a)
„ (109, 10) magno cum	cum magno
„ („) his	iis (a)
20, 1 (109, 1) Trinovantes	trenobantes
„ (109, 3) [Galliam]	om.
20, 4 (110, 9) his	iis (a)
21, 1 (110, 1) Trinovantibus	trinobantibus
„ (110, 3) Bibroci, Cassi	bibrocicassi
21, 2 (110, 4) his	iis (a)
„ (110, 6) magnus hominum	hominum magnus
21, 5 (110, 13) impetum	impetum <i>marg.</i>
22, 1 (110, 1) Dum	Cum (a)
„ („) his	iis (a)
„ (110, 4) his	iis (a)
„ (111, 5) uti	ut
22, 2 (111, 6) hi	li
22, 4 (111, 15) quid	quot
22, 5 (111, 17) Mandubratio	mandubratio
„ (111, 18) Trinovantibus	trenobantibus
23, 2 (111, 2) his	iis (a)
„ (111, 4) exercitum reportare	reportare exercitum
23, 4 (111, 11) reicerentur	reficerentur (a)
23, 6 (112, 15) consecuta	secuta
24, 1 (112, 2) Gallia	gallias
„ (112, 3) aliter	om.
24, 2 (112, 7) Esvios	essuos

MEUSEL	LOV.	MEUSEL	LOV.
24, 3 (112, 9) Bellovacis .	belgis (a)	33, 3 (117, 10) non facile .	minus facere (a)
" (") his .	Iis (a)	" (") per se omnia .	omnia per se (a)
" (") Crassum .	crassum quantum (a)	" (118, 11) possent .	non possent
" (112, 10) Munatium .	minacium	33, 4 (118, 14) cecidit .	accidit (X)
24, 4 (112, 13) ac .	ac <i>in ras.</i> (et [β] <i>was written originally</i>)	33, 5 (118, 15) pugnam .	pugnandum (φ)
" (112, 14) Catuvolci .	catuolci (X)	34, 1 (118, 2) pronuntiari .	pronuntiare (a)
24, 5 (112, 15) Cottam .	cottam <i>marg.</i>	" (118, 5) existimarent .	sperarent
24, 7 (112, 20) C continebantur c.	continebantur c. ^{tū}	34, 2 (118, 7) salutis .	om.
24, 8 (112, 21) quoad .	quod	35, 2 (118, 4) recipere .	recipi (a)
25, 1 (112, 2) cuius .	Huius (a)	35, 3 (119, 5) progressi .	egressi (a)
25, 3 (113, 6) inimici .	inimicis iam (a)	" (119, 12) indignum .	dignum
" (") palam multis .	multis palam (a)	35, 6 (119, 13) Balventio .	baluentio
" (") auctoribus .	et iis auctoribus eum (a)	36, 1 (119, 3) Cn. .	cg.
25, 4 (113, 10) cognoverit .	cognouerat (a)	36, 2 (119, 5) respondet .	respondit (X)
26, 1 (113, 1) Diebus .	*ies	" (119, 8) suam .	suamque
26, 2 (113, 5) comportavissent .	comportassent	36, 3 (119, 10) se .	om. (a)
" (113, 7) oppugnanda .	oppugnatum (a)	37, 5 (120, 13) proicit .	proiecit (X)
27, 1 (114, 2) Arpineius .	carpineus	37, 6 (120, 15) nocte .	noctu (a)
27, 2 (114, 6) confiteri .	confidere	" (") omnes .	om.
" (114, 8) ei .	om.	37, 7 (120, 17) elapsi .	lapsi (a)
" (") a .	ab (a)	38, 1 (120, 3) noctem neque diem	diem neque noc- tem
" (114, 9) remissi .	missi (AM8)	" (") se .	sese (a)
27, 3 (114, 13) in se .	in se <i>marg.</i>	38, 2 (120, 6) iis .	his (X)
27, 5 (114, 21) alteri .	alterae (a)	" (120, 7) acceperint .	acceperant (Sπ)
27, 7 (114, 24) pro pietate .	proprietate (ABMQ ² ah ¹ , l)	38, 3 (120, 8) duos .	om.
27, 10 (115, 33) se .	om. (a)	" (") demonstrat .	demonstrant (<i>the last two letters are written on an erasure, ap- parently of uit</i>)
" (") suos .	om. (a)	38, 4 (120, 9) negotii .	negotio
28, 1 (115, 1) Arpineius .	carpineus	39, 1 (120, 2) Pleumoxios .	pleumoximos (φ)
" (") audierant .	audierunt (a) <i>u corr.</i>	" (120, 3) possunt manus .	manus possunt (a)
28, 3 (115, 11) existimabant .	existimabant (exis- timabant a)	39, 2 (121, 5) huic .	Huc
28, 4 (") copias etiam .	etiam copias (X)	39, 3 (121, 8) his .	Iis (a)
28, 6 (115, 16) esse .	esset (a)	" (121, 9) Nervii, Atua- tuci	aduatuuci (a) neruii
29, 1 (115, 3) aut .	et	40, 1 (121, 2) a .	ab (a)
29, 2 (115, 7) si ille adesset .	om.	40, 3 (121, 7) coactis copiis .	copiis coactis
" (115, 8) venturos .	uenturos esse (X)	40, 4 (121, 8) a nostris eadem ratione qua	eadem ratione qua pridie ab nostris
29, 3 (") sese .	om.	" (121, 9) reliquis dein- ceps	reliquis deinceps reliquis
" (") spectare .	expectare	41, 1 (121, 1) Tum .	Tunc (a)
29, 5 (116, 13) spe .	re (a)	41, 5 (122, 8) quicquam .	quicquam praesidii
29, 6 (116, 15) utramque par- tem	utramque parte (a)	" (") iis .	his (X)
" (116, 16) proximam .	primam	" (122, 9) praesidii .	om.
29, 7 (116, 19) habere .	haberet (X)	" (122, 12) nolint .	uelint
" (") non praesens periculum .	praesens pericu- lum non (a)	41, 6 (") per se incolu- mibus .	incolumbibus per se (a)
30, 1 (116, 1) utramque par- tem	utramque parte (a)	" (122, 13) in .	om. (a)
" (") disputatione habita	habita disputa- tione	41, 7 (122, 14) respondet .	respondit (X)
30, 3 (116, 9) a .	ab (a)	41, 8 (122, 17) se .	om. (a)
31, 2 (116, 5) dissensione .	dissensionem (a)	" (122, 2) X .	xi.
31, 5 (117, 12) languore .	languor	42, 2 (122, 3) a .	ab (a)
32, 2 (117, 6) demisisset .	dimisisset (X)	" (122, 4) nacti .	habebant (a)
" (117, 9) nostris .	nostrorum (a)	" (122, 5) his .	iis (a)
33, 1 (117, 3) omnia .	omnia <i>marg.</i>	42, 3 (122, 6) essent .	esset (a)
" (117, 4) consuevit .	solet	" (122, 7) cogeantur .	uidebantur (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
42, 4 (122, 9) milium passuum	uallum pedum
42, 5 (123, 10) reliquis . .	reliquisque (a)
„ (123, 12) docuerant parare ac facere	facere <i>in ras.</i> docuerant <i>marg.</i> ac parare
43, 1 (123, 2) fusilis . .	fusili (X)
43, 2 (123, 4) hae . .	Haec (β)
43, 4 (123, 8) at . .	Ac (X)
„ (123, 10) undique . .	ubique (a)
„ (123, 12) conflagrare . .	conflagrari
„ (123, 13) de vallo . .	om.
„ („) decederet . .	diceret
44, 1 (123, 2) iam . .	om. (a)
44, 2 (124, 3) uter alteri . .	quinam (a)
„ (124, 4) loco . .	locis (a)
44, 3 (124, 5) Pullo . .	cum Pullo
„ (124, 7) tuae probandae	(<i>pro</i> tuae laude (a))
„ („) expectas . .	spectas (a)
„ (124, 8) dies . .	om.
44, 4 („) cum . .	dum (a)
„ (124, 9) extra . .	ex castris (a)
„ („) pars . .	parti (a)
44, 5 (124, 10) sese tum vallo	uallo sese (a)
44, 6 (124, 12) mediocri . .	Tum mediocri (a)
„ (124, 14) hostes in illum	in hostem
	[<i>corr.</i> uel te (?)
„ (124, 15) progrediendi . .	regrediendi (a)
44, 7 (124, 16) transfigitur . .	transigitur
44, 8 (124, 17) hic . .	huic (a)
„ (124, 18) conanti . .	conantis
44, 10 (124, 20) se . .	om.
„ (124, 21) veruto transfixum arbitrantur	uero obscurantur occisum (a)
44, 12 (124, 24) concidit . .	occidit
44, 13 (125, 25) subsidium fert	fert subsidium (a)
45, 3 (125, 8) hic . .	Huius
„ (125, 9) magnisque . .	magnis
46, 1 (125, 2) Crassum . .	crassum quaestorem (a)
„ („) mittit . .	misit
46, 3 (125, 6) Atrebatium . .	atrebatum
„ (125, 7) sciebat . .	om. (a)
46, 4 (125, 8) possit . .	posset (ap)
„ (126, 11) cogit . .	colligit (a)
47, 1 (126, 3) progreditur . .	procedit (a)
47, 2 („) praeficit . .	praefecit (Mπ)
„ (126, 4) ei . .	om. (a)
47, 4 (126, 10) si . .	om. (a)
„ (126, 11) fecisset . .	fecisset ut (a)
47, 5 (126, 13) remittit . .	dimittit (a)
„ (126, 15) equitatus peditatusque . .	tusque (φ2)
48, 1 (126, 2) reciderat . .	redierat (X)
„ (126, 3) communi salutis	communis salutis (a)
48, 5 (126, 11) munitiones . .	munitionem (a)
48, 8 (127, 15) a . .	ab (a)
49, 1 (127, 2) haec . .	Hae (φπ)
„ (127, 3) armata . .	armatae (X)
49, 2 (127, 5) repetit . .	reperit (repperit X)

MEUSEL	LOV.
49, 5 (127, 11) multitudinem	hostium multitudinem
49, 6 (127, 15) consedit . .	consedit (X)
49, 7 (127, 16) potest loco . .	loco potest (a)
49, 8 (128, 22) vallem . .	ualles ([uallis S] a)
51, 2 (128, 2) de . .	om.
51, 4 (128, 9) ea . .	eas (a)
51, 5 (128, 11) tum . .	Tunc
„ (128, 14) iis . .	eis (φ)
52, 1 (128, 2) illorum . .	illum (SM ²)
„ (129, 3) incolumibus . .	incolumibus copiis (a)
52, 2 (129, 5) producta legione . .	Legione producta (a)
52, 3 (129, 7) his . .	iis (ABM)
„ (129, 8) virtute . .	cum uirtute (a)
52, 6 (129, 15) ferundum . .	ferendum (β)
„ (129, 17) laetitia . .	laetatio (a)
53, 1 (129, 5) oreretur . .	oriretur (Sx ² M ² a)
53, 3 (129, 9) Fabium cum sua legione . .	cum sua legione fabium
„ (130, 13) ipse ad exercitum	ad exercitum ipse
53, 5 (130, 19) sine sollicitudine Caesaris	intercessit sine sollicitudine caesaris
53, 6 (130, 21) Roscio . .	roscio quaestore (a)
„ (130, 23) Aremoricae . .	armoricae (X)
53, 7 (130, 24) milibus . .	milia (M ²)
54, 1 (130, 2) alios . .	alias (X)
„ („) denuntiaret, alios cohortando	alias cohortando denuntiaret (a)
54, 3 (130, 4) faciendi . .	faciendi (φ)
54, 4 (131, 13) aliquos reperi- tos	reperitos aliquos
„ (131, 18) Gallici belli . .	belli gallici
54, 5 (131, 20) quod . .	quod ei (a)
„ (131, 22) populi Romani	a populo romano (a)
55, 1 (131, 4) minorem . .	mino <i>marg.</i> rem
55, 2 (131, 7) Tencterorum . .	tenchterorum
„ (131, 8) amplius fortunam	fortunam amplius
55, 3 (131, 9) cogere . .	agere
56, 2 (132, 6) belli . .	bellorum
„ (132, 7) puberes . .	puberes et (a)
„ („) coguntur . .	consuerunt (a)
„ (132, 8) venit . .	conuenit (a)
56, 5 (132, 17) praecipit . .	praecepit (a)
57, 2 (132, 7) certam . .	certum (a')
58, 1 (133, 4) custodiis intra castra continuit	intra castra continuit custodiis
58, 2 (133, 8) cum . .	om. (Mavf)
58, 4 (133, 13) unum omnes petant	omnes (peterent unum (peterent a))
„ (133, 15) interfectum uiderit	(uideret interfectum (MB ² β))
„ (133, 16) spatium nactum illum	illum spatium nactum
58, 5 (133, 17) iis . .	his (a//)

VI.

MEUSEL	Lov.	MEUSEL	Lov.
1, 1 (133, 2) Sextium . .	sestium	10, 5 (140, 18) Sueborum .	sueuis (πh)
1, 2 (134, 6) consul . .	consulis (X)	" (") Cheruscorum .	cheruscis (X)
" (") rogasset, ad	ad signa conueni-	" (140, 19) incursionibus-	incursionibus
signa con-	re rogauisset	que	que <i>corr.</i>
venire	(rogauisset a)	" (") silvae initium	initium siluae (a)
1, 3 (134, 7) etiam . .	etiam <i>marg.</i>	11, 2 (140, 5) in . .	om. (a)
" (134, 10) sarciri . .	resarciri (a)	11, 4 (140, 9) idque . .	Itaque (a)
1, 4 (134, 17) opes . .	opus	" (140, 11) quisque op-	opprimi quisque
2, 2 (134, 5) ciuitatibus .	ciuitates	primi	
" (") iure iurando in-	inter se iureiuran-	" (140, 12) habeat . .	habet (X)
ter se	do	11, 5 (140, 14) in . .	om.
2, 3 (134, 9) Menapios . .	ac menapios (a)	" (") partes . .	om.
3, 1 (134, 2) coactis legioni-	legionibus coactis	12, 1 (140, 2) Haedui . .	hedui
bus		12, 2 (140, 3) Haeduis . .	heduis
3, 3 (135, 7) rursus in hiber-	legiones in hiberna	12, 4 (140, 9) Haeduis . .	heduis
na legiones		" (140, 11) se . .	esse
3, 5 (135, 12) erant hi . .	hi erant	12, 5 (141, 14) infecta . .	imperfecta (a)
" (135, 14) afuisse . .	afuisse <i>rec. in marg.</i>	12, 6 (141, 16) Haeduis . .	heduis
3, 6 (135, 15) pronuntiata .	nuntiata	" (141, 17) ii . .	hi (X)
4, 1 (135, 2) Acco . .	accico	12, 7 (141, 22) ii . .	hi (χa)
4, 2 (135, 6) adeunt . .	ad eum (a)	" (141, 23) Haeduis . .	heduis
4, 3 (135, 8) aestiuvum . .	aestiuvum	12, 9 (141, 26) tamen . .	tum (αp)
" (") tempus instan-	tempore instante	" (141, 27) Haedui . .	hedui
tis		13, 1 (141, 3) audet . .	habet (ϕ)
" (135, 9) arbitrabatur .	arbitratur (a)	" (") nulli . .	nullo (a)
5, 1 (135, 2) Cavarinum . .	Cauironum	13, 4 (141, 10) eos . .	hos (X)
5, 3 (136, 6) contenturum .	concertaturum (X)	13, 5 (142, 15) decernunt .	discernunt (αp)
5, 6 (136, 16) ad eum legio-	legiones ad eum	13, 7 (142, 20) eorum . .	om. (a)
nes	(ϕ)	" (") ne quid . .	neque
6, 1 (136, 3) adit . .	adiit (a)	" (") contagione . .	cogitatione (a)
7, 2 (136, 3) hiemabat . .	hiemauerat (a)	" (142, 21) iis . .	his (X)
7, 5 (137, 12) flumen . .	flumen <i>marg.</i>	13, 9 (142, 26) armis de prin-	de principatu ar-
7, 6 (137, 15) consulto . .	in consilio (X)	cipatu . .	mis
" (137, 17) non . .	non <i>corr.</i>	13, 10 (142, 30) decretis iudi-	iudicis decretis-
7, 7 (137, 19) non nullos .	non nullos gallos	cisique . .	que
(a)		13, 11 (142, 31) Britannia .	britannia
7, 8 (137, 20) nocte . .	noctu (a)	" (") translata . .	translata esse (a)
" (137, 23) populi Romani	priorum	14, 2 (142, 5) parentibus	propinquis paren-
" (137, 25) efficit . .	effecit (a)	propinquis-	tibusque
8, 1 (137, 3) longum . .	locum	que	
" (137, 4) expectare . .	expectari	14, 3 (142, 7) annos non	nonnulli annos xx.
" (137, 7) committere	proelium commit-	nulli XX	
proelium . .	tere	14, 4 (143, 11) vulgus . .	uulgum (X)
8, 6 (138, 21) nostrorum .	modo (X)	16, 1 (143, 1) omnis . .	omnium (a)
8, 7 (138, 26) contulerunt .	recepunt (a)	16, 3 (143, 6) hominis . .	hominis non (a)
8, 8 (138, 28) excesserunt .	excesserant (a)	" (") hominis vita . .	uita hominis (ϕ)
9, 3 (138, 5) paulo . .	paulum (αa ?)	" (143, 7) arbitrantur .	arbitrabantur
" (") ante . .	antea	16, 4 (144, 11) exanimantur .	eximantur
9, 5 (139, 9) oreretur . .	oriretur ($\phi \pi$)	16, 5 (144, 12) in furto aut	in latrocinio aut
9, 6 (139, 12) auxilia ex sua	ex sua ciuitate	latrocinio . .	in furto
civitate . .	auxilia (β)	" (") noxia . .	noxia ($B M \rho$)
9, 7 (139, 16) velit dari .	uellet dare (a)	" (") comprehensi .	deprehensi
9, 8 (139, 17) Ubiorum . .	Ubi horum (X)	" (144, 13) gratiora . .	grauiora
" (139, 18) accipit . .	accepit (X)	" (") diis . .	diis ($M^2 a^2 f$)
10, 1 (139, 3) iis . .	his (X)	17, 1 (144, 1) Deorum . .	Deum (X)
" (139, 4) sint . .	sunt ($S \beta$)	17, 2 (144, 9) regere . .	gerere ($S M^2 h$)
10, 4 (139, 13) venerint .	uenerant	17, 3 (") cum . .	si
" (139, 15) se . .	sese	" (144, 11) cum . .	quae (X)
10, 5 (139, 16) appelletur .	appellatur (X)	" (") superauerunt .	superauerint (αf)
" (139, 17) hanc . .	ac (a)	" (144, 12) reliquas . .	reliquasque (a)
" (140, 18) ab . .	a (β)	17, 4 (144, 13) cumulos .	tumulos (X)
		17, 5 (144, 14) neque . .	neque ut

MEUSEL	LOV.
18, 3 (145, 6) ab . . .	á
„ (145, 7) adoleverunt .	adoleuerint
„ (145, 9) puerili . .	in puerili
19, 2 (145, 5) superavit .	superarit (a)
19, 3 (145, 9) conveniunt .	ueniunt
19, 4 (145, 13) omniaque, quae	omnia quaeque (a)
„ (145, 15) iis . . .	his (af)
„ (145, 16) funebribus .	funeribus (X)
20, 1 (145, 2) existimantur .	existimant
„ (145, 3) quid . . .	om.
„ („) ac . . .	aut (a)
„ (145, 4) uti ad magis- tratam defe- rat neve cum quo alio com- municet	om.
20, 3 (145, 8) quae . . .	quaeque (a)
„ („) esse ex usu .	ex usu esse
„ (146, 9) concilium .	consilium
21, 2 (146, 4) aperte opibus	opibus aperte
„ (146, 5) Lunam . .	solem
21, 3 (146, 7) a parvis .	ab paruulis
21, 4 (146, 9) ali staturam, ali . . .	alii staturam, alii (a)
21, 5 (146, 13) renorum .	renorum (AM)
„ („) tegimentis .	tegumentis (φ)
22, 1 (146, 5) quique . .	qui cum (a)
22, 2 (146, 6) et . . .	ei (a)
22, 3 (146, 10) potentiores atque	potentioresque (X)
„ (146, 11) ne qua . .	neque (Mπ)
„ (146, 12) dissension- esque	diuisionesque
22, 4 (146, 13) aequitate .	aequalitate
23, 2 (147, 4) se . . .	om. (a)
23, 4 (147, 7) et . . .	ut (X)
23, 7 (147, 14) dixit se .	se dixit
„ (147, 15) ii . . .	hi (φf)
„ (147, 16) a . . .	ab (a)
23, 8 (147, 18) his rerum .	rerum his
23, 9 (147, 20) quacumque .	quaque (a)
„ (147, 21) sanctosque .	sanctos (a)
24, 1 (147, 2) virtute supra- rent	superarent uirtute
24, 2 (147, 5) Germaniae sunt	sunt germaniae
„ („) Hercyniam .	herciniam
„ (147, 6) Eratostheni .	eratosteni
„ (147, 7) Orcyniam .	orciniam (pf)
„ („) Volcae . . .	uulgo (Mβ)
„ („) Tectosages .	tectosages (AM)
25, 1 (148, 1) Hercyniae .	herciniae
25, 2 (148, 4) Rauracorum .	tauriacorum (a)
„ (148, 5) Danuvii . .	danubii (X)
25, 3 (148, 6) a . . .	ab (a)
„ (148, 7) multarumque .	multarum
25, 5 (148, 13) a . . .	ab (a)
26, 1 (148, 2) inter aures .	om.
26, 2 (148, 3) summo . .	summa
27, 1 (149, 1) alces . . .	altes (a)
27, 2 (149, 5) si . . .	om.

MEUSEL	LOV.
27, 2 (149, 5) ac . . .	aut (a)
27, 4 (149, 10) aut ab radici- bus	ab radicibus
28, 2 (149, 4) est . . .	om.
28, 3 (149, 8) interfecerunt .	interfecerint
28, 4 (149, 10) mansueferi .	mansueti fieri (φ)
28, 5 (149, 11) a . . .	om. (a)
29, 1 (149, 3) hominis . .	omnes (X)
29, 3 (150, 11) Volcacium .	uulcatium (AS)
29, 4 (150, 17) Basilum . .	basilium (X)
29, 5 (150, 19) in castris fieri	fieri in castris
30, 1 (150, 1) Basilus . .	Basilus (X)
„ („) contraque . .	contra
„ (150, 3) deprehendit .	inuenit (deprehen- dit marg.)
30, 2 (150, 6) casu . . .	usu
30, 3 (150, 11) hoc . . .	hoc quoque (a)
„ (151, 14) ac . . .	atque (φ)
31, 1 (151, 1) non . . .	om.
„ (151, 2) existimaret .	existimauit
31, 3 (151, 8) insulis . .	in siluis (φ)
31, 5 (151, 10) Catuulcus .	catauolcus
32, 1 (151, 1) Condrusique .	condrusi
„ (151, 5) unam esse cau- sam	causam esse unam
„ (151, 6) cogitavisse .	cogitasse
32, 2 (151, 8) reducerentur .	reducerent
32, 3 (152, 11) Atuatuca .	aduatuca
32, 4 (152, 12) atque . .	atque corr.
32, 5 (152, 14) probabat .	probaret
32, 6 (152, 19) praefecit .	praefecit (a)
„ („) ei . . .	om. (a)
33, 2 (152, 4) Atuatuca .	aduatuca (SQA ²)
33, 3 (152, 5) cum reliquis III ad flumen † Scaldim	ad flumen scaldem (a) cum reliquis tribus
33, 4 (152, 10) frumentum de- beri	deberi frumentum (a)
33, 5 (152, 12) eam . . .	eum (a)
„ (152, 14) possint . .	possent (a)
34, 2 (153, 4) ubi cuique .	ubicumque (af)
„ („) valles . . .	uallis (SM ² X ² f)
34, 3 (153, 10) quae . .	Qua
34, 4 (153, 12) sevocabat .	euocabat (X)
34, 5 (153, 14) vellet . .	uellent (X)
„ (153, 15) diducendi .	deducendi
„ (153, 16) vellet . .	uellent (a)
34, 8 (153, 24) evocat . .	ad se uocat (a)
„ (153, 27) pro tali faci- nore	om.
35, 4 (154, 7) trans Rhenum	Transrheno
35, 5 (154, 10) Tencteros .	thenctheros (a)
35, 6 (154, 11) transeunt .	transeunt
35, 7 (154, 17) paludes . .	palus in (X)
35, 8 (154, 22) licet iam .	iam licet
„ („) Atuatuca . .	ad uatuca
35, 9 (154, 24) ne murus .	numerus (ap)
35, 10 (154, 26) oblata . .	obiecta
„ (154, 27) Atuatuca .	ad uatuca
„ (154, 28) duce . . .	om.
36, 1 (154, 2) summa . .	cum summa (a)
„ (154, 3) egredi . . .	om.

MEUSEL	LOV.
9, 1 (164, 3) causam .	causa
9, 2 (164, 7) a .	ab (a)
9, 4 (164, 9) equitatum .	equitatum <i>marg.</i>
9, 5 (164, 15) omnes in unum locum	in unum locum omnes
9, 6 (164, 19) victos .	uictor (X)
10, 1 (165, 3) uno loco legiones	uno in loco
„ (165, 5) positum videret	uideretur (M ²) positum esse (a)
10, 4 (165, 13) Agedinci .	agendici
11, 1 (165, 3) quo .	quod
„ (165, 4) eoque .	Idque (a)
11, 3 (165, 7) relinquit .	reliquit
„ (165, 8) conficeret .	faceret (a)
„ („) Cenabum .	genabum (X)
11, 4 (165, 9) tum .	tunc
„ (165, 11) Cenabi .	genabi (X)
„ („) eo .	in eo
11, 6 (165, 15) Cenabum .	genabum (X)
„ („) contingebat .	continebat (a)
11, 7 (166, 17) Cenabenses .	Genabenses (X)
11, 8 (166, 19) Caesar .	caesari (a)
„ (166, 23) multitudini .	multitudinis (a)
11, 9 (166, 24) diripit .	diruit
„ (166, 25) Ligerim .	ligerem (a)
12, 1 (166, 2) desistit .	destitit (a)
12, 2 (166, 3) Biturigum positum in via	om. (a, which also omits Nouiodunum)
12, 3 (166, 4) ad eum .	om.
12, 6 (166, 16) districtis .	districtis (χM ² f)
13, 1 (166, 2) proeliumque .	praelium (a)
13, 3 (167, 10) rebus .	om. (a)
14, 1 (167, 2) Cenabi .	genabi (aa)
14, 2 (167, 5) et .	aut (a)
14, 3 (167, 6) quod .	ut
14, 4 (167, 9) deleri .	diligi (a)
14, 5 („) causa .	om.
„ (167, 11) quoque .	quoquo (πh)
14, 7 (167, 15) cum .	om. (af)
„ („) a .	ab (X)
14, 8 (167, 16) < an > impedimentis	impedimentis (β)
14, 9 (168, 19) suis .	usui
14, 10 (168, 22) aestimari debere	aestimare (a)
15, 1 (168, 2) sententia .	om.
„ (168, 3) fit .	om. (a)
15, 2 (168, 6) se prope .	om. (a)
15, 3 (168, 7) deliberatur .	dicebatur (a)
„ (168, 8) concilio .	consilio
„ („) placeat .	placeret (a)
15, 4 (168, 9) omnibus Gallis	gallis omnibus
„ (168, 10) et .	om. (a)
„ (168, 11) succendere .	incendere
„ („) cogantur .	cogerentur (X)
16, 2 (168, 4) tempora .	opera
„ (168, 5) gererentur .	agerentur (a)
16, 3 (169, 10) itineribus .	itineribusque
17, 1 (169, 2) palude .	a paludibus (a)
17, 2 (169, 5) Haeduos .	heduos

NO. III. VOL. V.

MEUSEL	LOV.
17, 3 (169, 12) frumento milites	milites frumento
„ (169, 13) sustentarint .	sustentarent (X)
17, 5 (169, 20) numquam .	nusquam (a)
„ („) infecta .	incepta (a)
17, 7 (169, 21) praestare .	praestaret (a)
„ (169, 23) Cenabi .	genabi (a)
18, 1 (170, 1) turres adpropinquassent	adpropinquassent turres
„ (170, 5) insidiandi .	insidiarum (a)
„ („) eo .	esse
19, 1 (170, 1) leniter .	breuiter
19, 2 (170, 4) distributi .	distributis (φ)
19, 4 (170, 12) ferre .	perferre (a)
19, 5 (170, 15) videat .	uideret (a)
„ (171, 17) laude sua .	sua salute (a)
19, 6 (171, 18) consolatus .	consolatus
„ („) reducit in castra	in castra reducit
„ („) reliquaque quae	reliqua quaeque (a)
20, 2 (171, 5) fortuito .	fortuito (A ² φ ²)
20, 3 (171, 11) ipse sine .	ipsum (X)
20, 4 (171, 13) illic .	illis (a)
„ („) utilem .	utile
20, 6 (171, 17) intervenerint .	intervenirent (a)
20, 7 (171, 21) a .	ab (a)
„ (171, 24) remittere .	remitteret (X)
20, 8 (172, 26) a me .	om.
20, 10 (172, 30) atque .	et (a)
20, 11 (172, 35) profecisset .	profecissent (S)
20, 12 (172, 39) paene .	om. (a)
„ („) hac .	om. (a)
21, 1 (172, 3) adprobant .	probant
21, 2 (172, 6) copiis .	locis (a)
„ („) submittantur .	mittantur (a)
21, 3 (172, 8) paene in eo .	penes eos (X)
22, 1 (172, 2) genus .	gens
„ (172, 3) a .	ab (a)
„ (172, 4) aptissimum .	aptissima
22, 2 (173, 7) sunt .	om.
22, 5 (173, 14) et apertos cuniculos praesta et praecuta materia et pice fervefacta et maximi ponderis saxi morabantur moenibusque adpropinquare prohibebant	om. (φ)
23, 2 (173, 4) introrsus .	extrorsus (ah ² b)
„ (173, 6) effarciuntur .	effarciuntur (aa)
23, 3 (173, 7) coagmentatis .	coagminatis et coagmentatis
„ (173, 8) contingant .	coniungant
„ (173, 9) intermissis .	intermissae
„ (173, 10) arte .	apte
23, 5 (174, 16) pedum quadragenum	pedes quadragenos (X)

L

MEUSEL	LOV.
24, 1 (174, 2) tardarentur .	terrerentur
24, 2 (174, 6) et . . .	om. (a)
24, 4 (174, 12) materiam .	materiem (a)
„ (174, 14) occurreretur .	curreretur (a)
24, 5 (174, 16) duae semper .	semper duae (a)
25, 1 (174, 5) ipsi . . .	om.
25, 2 (175, 10) sebi . . .	seui [sa(e)ui aa]
„ („) traditas . . .	traditis
„ (175, 11) e regione tur-	proiciebat e regi-
ris proiciebat	one turreis
25, 3 (175, 14) altero . . .	alteri (a)
25, 4 (175, 15) est a propug-	a propugnatoribus
natoribus	uacuu relicto
vacuus relic-	est
tus	
„ (175, 16) omni . . .	omni ea (a)
26, 2 (175, 7) perpetua, quae	quae perpetua
26, 3 (175, 8) hoc . . .	haec (X)
„ (175, 12) naturae . . .	natura
27, 1 (175, 2) imbri . . .	imbre (a)
„ (175, 3) arbitratus .	arbitratus est (a)
„ (176, 5) suos quoque .	suosque (a)
27, 2 (176, 6) intra vineas .	extra castra uine-
	asque
„ (176, 8) iis . . .	his (X)
27, 3 (176, 11) compleverunt	complerunt
28, 2 (176, 5) demittere .	demittere (X)
28, 4 (176, 11) Cenabensi .	genabi (a)
28, 5 (176, 15) eiecerant .	eiecerunt (a)
28, 6 (176, 18) oreretur . .	oriretur
	(SM ² Q ² π)
„ („) [ut] . . .	et
„ (176, 19) deducendosque	deducendos
„ (177, 20) curavit . . .	curaret (X)
„ (177, 21) ab initio . . .	om.
29, 1 (177, 2) demitterent .	demitterent (Spf)
„ („) neve . . .	ne (a)
29, 7 (177, 14) interea . . .	itaque
„ (177, 15) iis . . .	his (a)
„ (177, 17) sustinere pos-	sustinerent (a)
sent	
30, 2 (177, 5) existimabatur .	uidebatur
„ („) quod . . .	quam
30, 4 (178, 12) erant . . .	sunt (X)
31, 1 (178, 3) earum prin-	eas bonis pollicita-
cipes donis	tionibus (a)
pollicitationi-	
busque	
31, 2 (178, 6) capere posset .	posset capere (ca-
	pere is an addi-
	tion)
31, 4 (178, 7) diminutae .	diminutae (a)
„ (178, 11) in Gallia nu-	numerus in gallia
merus	(a)
31, 5 (178, 15) numero equi-	equitum suorum
tum suorum	numero (a)
32, 1 (178, 1) Avarici . . .	avarico (a)
„ (178, 3) refecit . . .	refecit (φ)
32, 3 (179, 12) creatum .	creatum esse (a)
„ („) Convictolita-	convictolitauen
vem	
33, 1 (179, 1) a . . .	om. (β)
„ (179, 5) descenderet .	discederet (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
33, 1 (179, 6) sibi . . .	om.
33, 2 (179, 8) iis . . .	his (X)
„ (179, 9) excedere ex	finibus excedere
finibus	
„ (179, 10) de legibus	legibus
eorum	
„ (179, 12) ad se Dece-	etiam ad se
tiam	
33, 3 (179, 15) a . . .	et (φ)
„ („) duo . . .	duos
„ (179, 18) coegit . . .	cogit
34, 1 (180, 3) eaque . . .	ea (aφ)
35, 1 (180, 2) fereque . . .	fere
„ („) Caesaris . . .	om.
„ („) ponebat . . .	ponebant (a)
„ (180, 4) Caesari . . .	caesaris
35, 2 (180, 7) castris posit	sitis (a)
35, 3 (180, 10) consueverat .	consueverat (X)
„ (180, 11) distractis .	captis (X)
„ („) ut . . .	uti (a)
35, 4 (180, 12) progredi .	egredi (a)
„ (180, 13) caperet . . .	caeperat (cepe-
	rat a)
„ (180, 14) isdem . . .	iisdem
35, 5 (181, 16) traductis .	om. (a)
36, 1 (181, 2) eo die proelio	praelio eo die
„ (181, 4) de oppugna-	om.
tionem desper-	
avit	
„ (181, 5) obsessione .	expugnatione (a)
36, 2 (181, 7) in monte .	om. (a)
„ (181, 8) civitatum .	ciuitatum (a)
„ (181, 9) dispici . . .	despici (X)
36, 3 (181, 10) civitatum .	ciuitatum (a)
36, 4 (181, 15) quoque . . .	quoquo
„ (181, 16) periclitaretur .	perspiceretur (a)
36, 6 (181, 20) infirmo . . .	nimis firmo (X)
36, 7 (181, 25) ab repentino	ad repentinum hos-
hostium in-	tium incursum
cursu	
37, 1 (182, 2) Haeduus . .	heduus
„ (182, 5) Litavicus . .	litaivus
37, 2 (182, 7) imperio . .	in imperio
37, 3 (182, 9) distineat .	destineat (destin-
	eat Q ²)
37, 5 (182, 14) disceptatorem	disceptaturi
„ (182, 15) Haeduos . .	heduos
38, 1 (182, 2) a . . .	ab (X)
38, 2 (182, 4) omnis nobilitas	nobilitas omnis
„ (182, 6) causa . . .	re
38, 3 („) his . . .	ipsis (a)
„ (182, 7) effugerunt .	fugerunt (X)
„ (182, 8) propinquis in-	propinquis marg-
terfectis	inter marg. fectis
38, 4 (183, 9) ii . . .	hi (φβ)
38, 5 (183, 11) omnes . . .	multos (a)
„ (183, 14) effugisse . .	fugisse (X)
38, 6 („) Haedui . . .	hedui
38, 8 (183, 18) iam . . .	una
38, 9 (183, 22) continuo .	om. (a)
38, 10 (183, 24) Haeduorum .	heduorum
39, 1 (183, 3) genere dis-	dispari genere
pari	

MEUSEL		Lov.
39, 3 (184, 12)	quod . . .	quid
„ (184, 13)	si se . . .	ne
„ („)	milia . . .	milia se
„ (183, 15)	possit . . .	posset (X)
40, 2 (184, 6)	posita in cele- ritate	in celeritate posita
40, 3 („)	C. . .	om. (a)
„ (184, 9)	profugisse . . .	fugisse (a)
40, 4 (184, 11)	conspicatur . . .	conspicatus (a)
40, 5 (184, 14)	Eporedorigem . . .	eporidorigem (a)
40, 6 (184, 16)	Haedui . . .	hedui
„ (184, 17)	et . . .	om. (a)
41, 1 (184, 5)	movet . . .	mouit (a)
41, 2 (185, 9)	isdem . . .	iisdem
41, 3 (185, 10)	omni genere . . .	omnis generis (a)
„ (185, 12)	fuisse . . .	om.
41, 4 (185, 13)	relictis portis . . .	portis relictis
41, 5 (185, 14)	his . . .	Iis (a)
42, 5 (185, 10)	legiones . . .	legionem (X)
42, 6 (185, 15)	ad arma . . .	armatorum (a)
43, 2 (186, 5)	purgandi sui . . .	sui purgandi (a)
43, 3 (186, 6)	et . . .	om. (a)
43, 4 (186, 13)	Haeduos . . .	heduos
43, 5 (186, 18)	similisque . . .	similis (a)
44, 1 (186, 1)	gerendae rei . . .	rei gerendae (a)
„ (186, 2)	in minora . . .	minora in
„ (186, 4)	prae multitu- dine	om.
44, 3 (186, 9)	sed silvestre . . .	sed hunc silues- trem (a)
44, 5 (187, 14)	locum . . .	om. (a)
45, 1 (187, 2)	eo de . . .	Eisdem (a)
„ (187, 3)	pervagentur . . .	uagarentur (a)
45, 2 (187, 5)	mulorumque produci de- que his stra- menta	om.
„ (187, 6)	mulionesque . . .	muliones
45, 3 (187, 8)	vagentur . . .	uagarentur (a)
45, 5 (187, 12)	X. . .	unam (X)
„ („)	luce . . .	iugo
„ (187, 13)	constituit loco . . .	loco constituit
45, 6 (187, 14)	ad munitio- nem	munitio-num (a)
45, 7 (187, 17)	ne . . .	qui (a)
45, 8 (187, 19)	velit . . .	uellet (φ)
45, 9 (187, 22)	vitari . . .	mutari (a)
45, 10 (187, 24)	Haeduos . . .	ad haeduos (φ)
46, 1 (187, 1)	Murus oppidi . . .	Oppidi murus
„ („)	a . . .	ab (φ)
46, 2 (188, 3)	huc . . .	huic (X)
46, 3 (188, 5)	longitudinem . . .	longitudine (a)
„ (188, 6)	nostrorum . . .	nostrum (X)
46, 4 (188, 9)	dato signo . . .	signo dato
46, 5 (188, 12)	Teutomatus . . .	uotomatus (φ)
„ (188, 13)	parte corporis nuda	corporis parte nu- data (a)
47, 1 (188, 2)	legionisque . . .	legionique (a)
„ (188, 3)	continuo . . .	contionatus (X)
„ („)	constiterunt . . .	constituit (a)
47, 2 (188, 4)	legionum mi- lites	milites legionum
„ („)	audito . . .	exaudito (a)

MEUSEL		Lov.
47, 2 (188, 5)	valles . . .	uallis (SM ²)
47, 3 (188, 8)	adeo arduum . . .	arduum adeo
„ („)	sibi existima- bant	sibi esse existim- auerunt (a)
„ (188, 9)	finem prius . . .	prius finem
47, 4 (188, 13)	se . . .	sese (a)
47, 6 (189, 18)	muro . . .	muris (a)
„ („)	demissae . . .	dimissae (a/f)
„ („)	sese militibus tradebant	om.
47, 7 (189, 19)	L . . .	om.
48, 1 (189, 1)	ii . . .	hi (X)
„ (189, 5)	cursu . . .	concurso (a)
48, 4 (189, 11)	loco nec nu- mero	numero nec loco
49, 1 (189, 1)	copias augeri . . .	augeri copias (a)
„ (189, 3)	misit . . .	mittit
49, 2 (189, 5)	loco depulsos . . .	depulsos loco
50, 1 (190, 2)	pugnaretur . . .	om. (a)
„ („)	hostes loco . . .	loco hostes
„ (190, 4)	dextra parte . . .	latere dextro
50, 2 (190, 8)	pactum . . .	pacatum (X)
50, 3 (190, 11)	de . . .	om. (a)
50, 4 (190, 13)	sibi . . .	sui (a)
50, 5 (190, 18)	in medios hos- tes inrupit	irrupit in medios hostes
51, 3 (191, 8)	hostem . . .	hostes (a)
„ („)	constiterunt . . .	constiterant
51, 4 (191, 10)	paulo . . .	paulum (a)
52, 2 (191, 6)	quod . . .	quid (X)
52, 3 (191, 10)	quos . . .	quod
„ (191, 12)	arrogantiamque . . .	arrogantiam
52, 4 (191, 14)	a . . .	ab (a)
53, 1 (191, 1)	et ad extremum [oratione]	om.
„ (191, 3)	neu . . .	neu id
„ (191, 4)	id . . .	om.
53, 2 (192, 6)	cum . . .	Tum
„ (192, 7)	magis . . .	minus (X)
„ („)	descenderet . . .	descendit et
„ (192, 8)	eo . . .	om. (a)
53, 4 (192, 13)	pontem . . .	pontes (a)
„ („)	refecit . . .	reficit (a)
„ („)	exercitumque . . .	atque exercitum
„ („)	traduxit . . .	traducit (a)
54, 1 (192, 2)	Eporedorige . . .	eporidorige
54, 2 (192, 6)	maturari . . .	adaturari (a)
„ (192, 7)	eos retinendos . . .	retinendos eos
„ (192, 8)	censuit . . .	constituit (ap)
„ („)	daret . . .	dare (a)
54, 3 (192, 9)	iis . . .	his (X)
„ (192, 10)	exposuit . . .	exponit
54, 4 (192, 11)	compulsos . . .	et compulsos
„ (192, 12)	sociis . . .	copiis (a)
„ (192, 14)	duxisset . . .	deduxisset (a)
55, 3 (193, 6)	coemptum . . .	coemptorum
55, 4 (193, 8)	Bibracte . . .	bibracti (a)
55, 7 (193, 17)	cui . . .	quo (a)
„ (193, 18)	frumenti . . .	frumentum (S)
56, 1 (193, 4)	coactae copiae . . .	copiae coactae
56, 2 („)	ne . . .	ut (X)
„ (193, 5)	< non > nemo tum quidem	ne metu quidem (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
56, 2 (194, 7) Cebenna .	ceunnae (φ)
" (194, 8) abiuncto .	adiuncto (ap)
56, 4 (194, 13) umeri .	humeri (X)
57, 1 (194, 4) positum .	quod positum est (a)
58, 2 (195, 5) Metiosedum .	meledunum
" (195, 6) insula .	insula silua (ABM)
58, 3 (") Sequanae .	sequana (φβ)
58, 4 (195, 8) impositis .	iniectis (a)
58, 6 (195, 13) iis .	his (φβ)
" (195, 14) Metiosedo .	a metlodone (<i>the l is writtn on an erasure, ap- parently of d</i>)
" (") profugerant .	fugerant (a)
" (195, 15) profecti a .	prospecta (a)
" (") in ripa .	ad (a) ripas (X)
59, 2 (195, 6) qui iam .	quia (BM)
59, 3 (195, 8) tum .	Cum (a)
59, 4 (195, 11) Agedincum .	agendicum
59, 5 (196, 14) instabant .	instabat (φpf)
" (196, 15) tum .	cum (a)
60, 1 (196, 1) Itaque .	om. (a)
" (196, 3) Metiosedo .	a mellodone
" (196, 6) expectare .	expectari (X)
60, 2 (196, 8) relinquit .	reliquit (π)
60, 4 (196, 10) lintres .	lyntres (luntres a)
" (196, 11) sonitu remor- um	remorum sonitu
61, 1 (196, 2) ut .	om.
" (196, 3) erat .	om. (BMS ²)
" (196, 4) a .	ab (a)
61, 5 (197, 14) e regione .	regionē
" (") manu Metiose- dum	manu. et tosedum
" (197, 15) progredieretur .	progrediat (a)
62, 2 (197, 3) tot .	om. (a)
" (197, 5) adesse .	esse
62, 4 (197, 8) XII. .	xv. (BM)
" (197, 9) pilis .	telis (a)
62, 5 (197, 12) cohortabatur .	cohortatus (BMS ²)
62, 6 (") at .	om. (BMS ²)
" (197, 13) etiam nunc .	nunc etiam (BM)
" (") VII. legionis .	a vii. legionis (a)
62, 7 (197, 16) ne .	nec
62, 8 (197, 18) ii .	hi (hi[i]φβ)
" (") in .	om. (X)
" (") castra Labieni .	labienum (a)
" (197, 21) victorum .	victorumque (a)
62, 10 (198, 24) Agedincum .	ad agedincum
" (198, 25) inde .	in diem (indiem AB)
63, 1 (198, 1) legationes .	legiones (φ)
" (198, 3) utuntur .	nituntur (X)
63, 4 (198, 6) ut .	om. (MS ^b)
" (") belli gerendi .	belligerandi (π)
63, 5 (198, 8) re .	rem (a)
" (") controversiam .	controversia
" (") deducta .	deduci (a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
63, 6 (198, 9) conveniunt .	Eodem conueniunt (a)
" (198, 10) frequentes mul- titudinis	frequentes multi- tudines (X)
63, 7 (198, 12) concilio .	consilio (<i>the s is written on an erased c</i>)
" (198, 13) Romanorum .	om.
" (198, 14) a .	ab (a)
" (198, 15) toto .	tanto
63, 8 (198, 16) ferunt .	fuerunt (A ³ φ)
" (198, 17) in se indul- gentiam	indulgentiam in se (a)
63, 9 (198, 19) spei .	rei
64, 1 (198, 1) Ille .	Ipse (a)
64, 2 (199, 3) habuerit .	habuerat (a ^h)
64, 3 (199, 9) se .	om. (a)
" (199, 10) videant .	uideantur
64, 5 (199, 12) Eporedorigis .	eporedigeris (χ)
" (199, 13) inferre .	inferri (a)
64, 6 (199, 14) Gabalos .	gaballos (φQ)
" (199, 15) Rutenos Cad- urcosque	rutenosque cadur- cos
" (") Volcarum Are- comicorum	uolgorum acremi- corum
64, 7 (199, 18) resedisce .	redisse
65, 1 (199, 2) coacta .	om. (φ)
" (") ab .	a
65, 2 (199, 4) pelluntur .	om.
" (199, 6) murosque .	ac muros (a)
65, 3 (199, 8) et .	ac
65, 4 (200, 14) consuevant .	consueuerant (π)
66, 2 (200, 3) horum .	eorum (S)
" (200, 5) ferre .	ferri (a)
66, 6 (200, 18) ne ipsos qui- dem	et ipsos quidem non (a)
66, 7 (201, 23) ne .	om. (X)
" (201, 24) perequitarit .	perequittasset (a)
67, 1 (201, 1) ad ius iuran- dum	iure iurando (a)
" (201, 2) postero .	postera (?)
" (201, 3) una a .	una (a)
67, 2 (201, 5) divisum .	diuersum
" (201, 6) omnibus in .	in omnibus (β)
67, 3 (") consistit .	constitit (a)
67, 4 (201, 9) Caesar .	caesar marg.
" (") converti .	constitui (a)
67, 5 (201, 11) ab .	ex
67, 6 (201, 15) circumveni- rentur	circumirentur (φA)
67, 7 (201, 18) Convictolitave	conuictolitau (h[?])
68, 1 (202, 1) suas .	om. (a)
68, 2 (202, 5) ductis .	deductis (X)
" (202, 6) hostes .	om. (a)
" (202, 7) milibus .	milibus hostium (a)
68, 3 (202, 9) qua maxime parte exerci- tus confide- bant	quo maxima parte exercitus con- fidebat (a)
" (202, 11) Alesiam .	om. (a)
69, 1 (202, 2) oppidum .	oppidum alesia (a)
69, 2 (202, 4) subleabant .	subleuabant

MEUSEL	LOV.
69, 3 (202, 5) ante oppidum	ante id oppidum (a)
" (") longitudinem.	longitudine
69, 5 (202, 8) muro, quae	muroque (Mß)
" (") solem specta-	spectabat solem
bat	
" (202, 10) maceriam	materiam (a)
" (") in altitudinem	sex in altitudine
VI	
69, 7 (202, 13) VIII castella-	ibique castella
que XXIII	xxxiii. (ibique
	castella xxiii.
	[X])
" (202, 14) quibus in	in quibus
" (") ne qua subito	om.
. . . tene-	
bantur	
70, 1 (203, 2) proelium	bellum in ras.
" (203, 3) longitudinem	longitudine
70, 3 (203, 9) coartantur	coaceruati. Tum
	(a)
70, 4 (") acrius	acriter
" (203, 10) munitiones	munitionem
70, 5 (203, 11) maceriam	materiam (a)
70, 6 (203, 14) Galli pertur-	perturbabantur.
bantur	Galli
" (") veniri	uenire (a)
71, 1 (203, 3) noctu	noctu marg.
71, 2 (203, 5) possint	possent (a)
71, 4 (204, 10) frumentum se	exigit dierum xxx
exigue die-	frumentum (S)
rum XXX	(uel ita. Ratione
habere	inita exiguo die-
	rum se habere
	xxx m. frumen-
	torum rec. in
	marg.)
" (204, 11) etiam	om.
" (204, 12) tolerari	etiam tolerari (ap-
	parently the
	scribe wrote to-
	lerati: this was
	corrected by an
	early marginal
	r; and ri was
	written on the
	ti of tolerati by
	a later hand)
71, 5 (") his	His corr. rec.
" (") erat nostrum	opus erat (a)
opus	
" (204, 14) dimittit	emittit
71, 7 (204, 16) a Mandubiis	ab manduuiis (a)
71, 8 (204, 18) recipit	recepit (a ¹)
71, 9 (204, 19) administrare	parat administrare
parat	(a)
72, 1 (204, 4) summa	summae fossae (a)
72, 2 (204, 6) spatium	spatio (a)
" (204, 7) nec	ne
" (") opus	corpus (a)
" (") corona mili-	militum corona
tum	
" (204, 8) multitudo hos-	hostium multitudo
tium	(a)

MEUSEL	LOV.
72, 3 (204, 12) demissis	dimissis (ap)
" ") derivata	diriuata (a ^π)
72, 4 (205, 14) pinnae	pennasque
" (") eminentibus	emanentibus
" (205, 15) aggeris	aggeres (a)
" (205, 16) opere	operi
73, 1 (205, 1) eodem	eo (a)
" (205, 3) a castris	ab (a) nostris
" (205, 4) ac	et
73, 2 (205, 6) rursus opera	opera rursus
73, 3 (205, 11) demissi	dimissi (a ^π)
73, 4 (205, 12) erant	om. (a)
" (205, 13) implicati	impliciti
" (205, 14) inducunt	indu. ebant (the
	scribe wrote in-
	ducebant and
	imperfectly
	rubbed out (?) c.
	The vellum is
	not scraped)
73, 5 (205, 15) ante hos	quos (a)
" (205, 16) in altitudinem	tres in altitudinem
	trium pedum
73, 6 (205, 18) demittebantur	dimittebantur (X)
" (205, 19) digitis IIII	or
	iiii. digitis
73, 7 (205, 22) virgultis	uinculis (a)
" (") intuebatur	impediebatur
	(BM)
73, 8 (205, 23) ducti	iuncti (a)
73, 9 (206, 25) taleae	talia
" (") infixis	infixae (ap)
" (206, 26) mediocribus-	mediocribus
que	
" (206, 27) stimulos	famulos
74, 1 (206, 1) quam potuit	om.
" (206, 2) milia	milium
" (206, 3) pares	pari (a)
" (206, 5) accidat	accederet
74, 2 (206, 6) ne autem	aut (a ^π)
75, 1 (206, 1) ad	apud (a)
" (206, 2) omnes	omnes hos (a)
" (206, 4) civitati	ex ciuitate (a)
" (206, 5) frumentandi	frumentationem (a)
rationem	
75, 2 (206, 7) Ambivaretis	ambluaretis (X)
" (206, 10) consuerunt	censuerunt
75, 3 (") Sequanis, Se-	Senonis, sequanis
nonibus	
" (206, 12) X	x (ß)
" (206, 13) sena Andibus	Senonibus
" (207, 15) Cenomanis	cenomannis (X)
" (207, 16) Velicassis	Baiocassiis
" (") [Lexoviis]	luxouiis
" (207, 17) Bois bina	bois trina
75, 4 (") X	xxx. (a)
" (207, 19) Aremoricae	armoricae (X)
" (207, 20) Redones	rodones
" (") Caletes	cadetes (X)
" (") Osismi	ossismi, lemouices
" (") Veneti	om. (a)
" (207, 21) Lexovii	lemouices (X)
" (") Venelli	unelli (X)

MEUSEL	LOV.
75, 5 (207, 21) Bellovaci .	bellouici
" (207, 22) contulerunt .	compleuerunt (a)
" (207, 23) dicerent .	dicebant (a)
" (207, 24) a .	ab (a)
" (207, 25) duo milia .	xxi.
76, 1 (207, 3) pro quibus .	Quibus ille pro (a)
" (207, 5) atque ipsi .	ipsique
76, 2 (") tanta tamen .	tamen tanta (a) fuit
" (207, 6) fuit .	om.
" (207, 8) moveretur .	mouerentur (X)
76, 3 (207, 10) CCL. .	ccxl.
" (208, 12) Eporedorigi .	eporedigi
" (208, 13) Vercassivel- launo	uercassianeuno (a)
76, 6 (208, 18) sustineri .	sustinere
" (208, 20) cernerentur .	cerneretur
77, 1 (208, 1) ii .	hi (X)
77, 4 (208, 12) est .	sit (X)
77, 5 (208, 15) mollitia .	molestia (a)
" (208, 16) reperiantur .	reperiantur (a)
77, 8 (209, 21) hominum .	in hominum (a)
77, 10 (209, 29) Romanos .	romanorum ani- mos (a)
" (209, 30) animine .	sine (a)
77, 12 (209, 35) Cimbrorum .	cymbrorum
77, 13 (209, 39) si exemplum .	exemplum si
77, 15 (210, 46) bello .	om.
" (210, 48) umquam .	ulla (a)
78, 1 (210, 2) ii .	hi (a)
" (210, 3) sint .	sunt (a)
" (") experiantur .	expediantur (a)
" (210, 4) ad .	ab (a)
" (") sententiam .	sententia (a)
" (") descendant .	discedant [(desci- dant β].X)
78, 2 (") tamen .	tamen tempore (a)
78, 4 (210, 9) orabant .	orant (a)
78, 5 (210, 11) custodiis .	custodibus (a) in ras.
79, 1 (210, 3) longius .	longe (a)
" (210, 4) a .	ab (a)
79, 2 (") postero .	postera
" (210, 6) milia passuum	iii. passuum (a)
III	
" (210, 7) pedestresque .	pedites, tresque
" (") abductas .	abditas [(additas φ].X)
79, 4 (211, 11) consistunt .	considunt (X)
" (211, 12) aggere .	aggerem
80, 3 (211, 7) interiecerant .	interiecerunt
" (211, 9) complures de improviso vulnerati	complures uul- nerati de in- prouiso
	galli corr. rec.
80, 4 (211, 10) suos .	suos
" (211, 11) Galli .	om.
" (") multitudine premi	premi multitudine
" (211, 12) ii .	hi (β)
" (211, 13) ii .	hi (φβ)
	actum corr. rec.
80, 5 (211, 15) recte .	recte

MEUSEL	LOV.
80, 5 (211, 15) aut .	ac (απ)
" (") factum .	om. (a)
" (") poterat .	poterat marg.
" (211, 17) excitabat .	excitabant (B ¹ Mf)
80, 6 (211, 19) propulerunt .	pepulerunt
80, 7 (211, 20) interfectique .	interfecti
80, 8 (211, 22) ad .	in (a)
80, 9 (211, 23) ii .	hi (β)
" (") Alesia .	ab alesia (S)
81, 1 (212, 2) spatio .	spatio corr. rec.
" (") harpagonum .	arpagonum (X)
81, 2 (212, 5) obsidebantur .	obsistebant
" (212, 7) proturbare .	perturbare (MSa)
" (") reliquaque quae	reliqua quaeque (a)
81, 4 (212, 11) suis cuique .	unicuique
81, 5 (212, 13) ac .	om. (a)
" (") glandibus Gallos	gallos glandibus (a)
81, 6 (212, 16) Trebonius .	tebronius
" (212, 17) nostros premi	premi nostros
82, 1 (212, 1) a munitione .	ad munitionem (a)
" (212, 5) interibant .	interiebant (a)
82, 2 (212, 6) adpeteret .	appareret
82, 3 (212, 9) praeparata erant	praeparauerant (X)
	suos corr.
82, 4 (213, 11) suos discessisse	abscessisse
83, 2 (213, 4) erat a .	Ex his in
" (213, 5) potuerant .	potuerunt
" (213, 6) que .	om. (a)
" (") leniter .	leuiter (ap)
" (") fecerant .	fecerunt (a)
83, 3 (213, 7) C. (Caninius)	L. (a)
" (") Rebilus .	reuilus (X)
83, 4 (213, 9) regionibus .	legionibus (a)
" (") hostium .	hostibus
" (") milia .	om. (a)
83, 5 (213, 11) quoque .	quoquo (απ)
" (213, 13) meridies .	meridie (X)
84, 1 (213, 3) crates .	castris (a)
" (") reliquaque quae	reliqua quaeque (BMQ)
" (214, 4) paraverat .	paraauerant (a)
84, 4 (214, 9) existit .	extitit (a)
" (") virtute con- stare	salute (a) consis- tere
85, 1 (214, 2) quaque in .	qua ex (a)
" (214, 3) < subsidium >	auxilium
85, 3 (214, 5) perfringerint .	perfringerent
85, 4 (214, 8) missum .	om.
86, 2 (214, 3) possit .	posset
" (214, 4) pugnet .	pugnaret (a)
" (") ne .	non
86, 3 (214, 5) cohortatur .	cohortatus (φ)
87, 1 (215, 1) primum .	primo (φφ)
87, 4 (215, 6) se .	om. (a)
" (215, 7) a .	ab (a)
87, 5 (215, 9) XI .	una xl (φ)
88, 1 (215, 3) consuerrat .	consueuerant.
" (215, 5) proelium com- mittunt	committunt prae- lium
88, 2 (215, 6) excipit .	excipitur

88, 2 (215, 6) excipit .
88, 3 (215, 6) excipit .
88, 4 (215, 6) excipit .
89, 4 (215, 6) excipit .
89, 5 (215, 6) excipit .
90, 2 (215, 6) excipit .
90, 4 (215, 6) excipit .
90, 5 (215, 6) excipit .
90, 6 (215, 6) excipit .
90, 7 (215, 6) excipit .
Praef., 2
Praef., 5
Praef., 8
Praef., 9
1, 1 (215, 6) excipit .
2, 1 (215, 6) excipit .
2, 2 (215, 6) excipit .
3, 1 (215, 6) excipit .
3, 2 (215, 6) excipit .
3, 3-4 (215, 6) excipit .
3, 4 (215, 6) excipit .
4, 1 (215, 6) excipit .
4, 2 (215, 6) excipit .
4, 3 (215, 6) excipit .
5, 1 (215, 6) excipit .
5, 2 (215, 6) excipit .
5, 3 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 1 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 2 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 3 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 4 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 5 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 6 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 7 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 8 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 9 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 10 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 11 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 12 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 13 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 14 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 15 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 16 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 17 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 18 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 19 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 20 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 21 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 22 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 23 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 24 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 25 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 26 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 27 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 28 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 29 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 30 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 31 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 32 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 33 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 34 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 35 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 36 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 37 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 38 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 39 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 40 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 41 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 42 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 43 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 44 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 45 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 46 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 47 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 48 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 49 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 50 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 51 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 52 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 53 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 54 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 55 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 56 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 57 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 58 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 59 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 60 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 61 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 62 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 63 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 64 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 65 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 66 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 67 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 68 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 69 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 70 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 71 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 72 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 73 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 74 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 75 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 76 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 77 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 78 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 79 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 80 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 81 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 82 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 83 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 84 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 85 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 86 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 87 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 88 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 89 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 90 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 91 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 92 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 93 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 94 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 95 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 96 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 97 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 98 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 99 (215, 6) excipit .
6, 100 (215, 6) excipit .

MEUSEL	LOV.
88. 2 (215, 6) omnibus	ex omnibus.
88. 3 (215, 7) emissis	omissis (a)
„ (215, 9) adpropinquant	appropinquabant (a)
„ („) vertunt	uerterunt (ap)
88. 4 (215, 10) Sedulius	Asedullus (a)
„ (215, 11) Lemovicum	remorum
89. 4 (216, 7) consedit	consedit (X)
89. 5 (216, 10) toti	toto (X)
90. 2 (216, 3) se facturos	facturos se
90. 4 (216, 7) Rutilum	rutilum (ap)
90. 5 („) legatum	om. (β)
„ (217, 8) Minucium	minutium (απ)
„ (217, 9) a	ab (φ [a?])
90. 6 (217, 10) Ambivaretos	ambibaretos (X)
„ (217, 11) Rebilum	reuilum
„ („) Rutenos	rotenos
90. 7 (217, 13) Cavilloni	cabillonni (a)

VIII.

Praef., 2 (217, 5) competentibus	comparantibus (X)
„ („) eius scriptis	scriptis eius
„ (217, 7) confeci	confeci <i>marg.</i>
Praef., 5 (218, 15) deeset	desit (a)
Praef., 8 (218, 26) pro	om.
Praef., 9 (218, 28) ipso	ipsum (a)
1. 1 (218, 2) militesque	et milites
2. 1 (219, 3) cum	om. (a)
„ (219, 4) XIII.	xii. (a)
2. 2 (219, 10) potuerant	potuerunt (MQ)
3. 1 (219, 2) disiectisque	deiectisque (X?)
3. 2 (219, 6) consuevit	cognouit
„ (219, 8) deficeretur	deficeret
3. 3-4 (219, 12) confugerant.	confugerant frustra.
frustra: nam	Nam (Sβ [X?])
3. 4 (219, 15) fideles	faciles
4. 1 (220, 4) nummum	nummorum
„ (220, 6) recipit	recepit (φβ)
„ („) XXXX.	xxx. (φ)
4. 2 (220, 8) petitum	petunt
4. 3 (220, 9) XVIII.	xviii.
„ (220, 11) Arari	arare (a)
„ („) educit	ducit (X)
„ („) conlocatas	collectas
5. 1 (220, 2) docti	ducti (X)
„ („) desertis vicis	uicis desertis
„ (220, 5) amiserant	dimiserant
5. 2 (220, 8) Cenabo	caenabo (c[a]enabo απ)
„ („) partim quae	partimque
„ („) conlatis	coniectis (X)
„ (220, 10) compegit	conteggit (X)
6. 1 (221, 6) Cenabi	caenabi
„ („) conlocavit	collocat (f)
6. 2 (221, 9) his	iis (BMφ)
„ („) Correo	corbeo (a)
„ (221, 11) Remis erant attributi	remanserant a tributis liberi

MEUSEL	LOV.
6. 3 (221, 15) evocat rursus XI.	mā xi. euocat rursus
„ (221, 17) ab	a (ρ)
7. 3 (222, 9) esset	om.
„ (222, 10) quodve	quodque
7. 4 (222, 13) Atrebates	atrabatas
„ (222, 16) Corbeo	coribeo
„ (222, 17) esse odio	odio esse
„ („) populi Romani	principis
7. 6 (222, 23) se offerre	offerrent se (a)
7. 7 (222, 25) in	et in (a)
„ (222, 26) permanere	permaneret (a)
„ (222, 27) disiecta	deiecta
8. 1 (222, 1) pluribus	plurimis
„ („) cognosset	cognouisset (Sβ)
„ (222, 5) sua	suorum (XB ²)
8. 3 (223, 13) legiones	legio. (X)
„ (223, 14) irent	iret (a)
„ (223, 17) maioris	minoris
8. 4 (223, 19) conspectum	conspectu (β)
„ (223, 20) adducit	adduxit
9. 1 (223, 2) viderent	uiderunt (a)
„ (223, 4) sive	seu (a)
9. 2 (223, 8) altitudinem	altitudine
9. 3 (223, 10) muniri	munire (af)
„ (223, 11) quinum denum	denum quinum (a)
9. 4 (223, 14) lorica	luricula (X)
„ (224, 16) quo tutior	quattuor
„ (224, 18) qui	quo (X)
„ („) in ipso	om. (φ)
10. 1 (224, 2) magnitudinem	munitionem
„ (224, 3) adlaturum	illaturum
10. 2 (224, 8) nostra	nostrum
„ („) auxilia [aut]	aut auxilia
„ (224, 10) eandem	eodem (a)
„ („) transgressi	transgredi
„ (224, 11) summovebant	promovebant
10. 3 (224, 13) ex	om.
„ („) pabulum	pabulatio
„ („) impeditis	impedimentis
„ (224, 14) dispersi	dispersis (a)
10. 4 (224, 15) adferebat	afferebant
„ (224, 20) inflabantur	nitebantur (a)
11. 1-12. 2 (225, 5-7 [bis]) nisi	om. (a)
a maiore ... circumventos adgrederentur	
12. 3 (225, 10) a	om. (a)
12. 4-5 (225, 13-4) amisso Ver-	amisso uertisco ...
tisco ... uti,	uti tamen <i>rec. in</i>
tamen	<i>marg.</i> [rec. cusatione corr.]
12. 5 (225, 15) excusatione	ex consuetudine
12. 6 (225, 17) inflantur	inflammantur (a)
13. 1 (226, 1) intermittunt	intermittuntur (M ²)
13. 2 (226, 6) resistentibus	in resistendo
„ (226, 8) ii	hi (β)
„ (226, 9) consueverant	consueverant (φ)
13. 3 (226, 10) refugerunt	fugerunt

MEUSEL	LOV.
28, 3 (235, 9) subsistentes .	subsistentibus
29, 1 (235, 2) Dumnacus .	deunacus
" (") esset .	esse
" (236, 3) tum .	cum (a)
" (236, 4) conspectum .	conspectu
29, 2 (236, 5) perterrita .	perterritae (a)
29, 3 (236, 9) sublato .	soluto
29, 4 (236, 12) milibus .	milibus passuum (a)
" (236, 13) timore .	eo tempore (a)
30, 1 (236, 2) perditis .	perditis <i>corr. rec.</i> (peditis seems to have been written origin- ally. The bar in the abbrevia- tion of per [p] has been added by a recent hand, and the e of course erased)
" (236, 4) ascitis .	om. (BM)
" (") latronibus .	latrociniiis (BM)
" (236, 6) duobus mili- bus	milibus v. (φ)
" (") ex fuga .	om.
30, 2 (236, 10) duabus legioni- bus	legionibus duabus (χBM)
31, 1 (236, 2) Dumnaco .	deunaco
" (237, 3) accisas .	occisas
31, 2 (237, 6) Dumnaco .	deunaco
31, 4 (237, 11) Aremoricae .	armoricae (X)
31, 5 (237, 13) Dumnacus .	Deunacus
32, 1 (237, 4) iam .	tam
" (237, 5) consistunt .	construunt
33, 1 (237, 3) quo .	quod (a)
" (238, 8) excelsissimo .	celssissimo (a)
34, 1 (238, 4) fortunae .	fortitudine
34, 4 (238, 16) possit .	posset (a)
35, 1 (238, 1) considunt .	considet
" (238, 2) longius .	longe
35, 2 (238, 5) resistit .	restitit (X)
35, 4 (239, 9) gererentur .	agerentur
" (239, 12) facit .	fecit (a)
36, 1 (239, 3) non .	non longe (a)
" (239, 4) perterreri .	perterritos (a)
" (239, 5) et .	om. (a)
" (239, 6) neminem .	nemine
36, 2 (239, 10) in trina .	intra (a)
36, 3 (239, 14) esse fluminis .	fluminis esse (Sβ)
" (239, 16) que .	om.
36, 4 (239, 18) omnibus ex .	ex omnibus
36, 5 (240, 21) omnibusque .	omnibus
37, 2 (240, 2) externoque .	Hesterno
" (240, 3) et .	ex
38, 1 (240, 2) Bellovacis .	belgis (BM)
38, 2-3 (240, 4-5) timentes . .	om. venisset
38, 3 (240, 10) belli .	om.
" (") Cotuatium .	gutuatium
" (") deposcit .	depoposcit (BM)

MEUSEL	LOV.
38, 5 (240, 13) contra natu- ram suam Caesar maxi- mo militum concurso	Caesar contra nat- uram suam con- curso maximo militum
" (240, 14) ei .	om. (BM)
39, 2 (241, 5) sibi .	ibi
39, 3 (241, 9) si .	om.
" (241, 10) potuissent .	possent
39, 4 (241, 11) Calenum .	om. (a blank space is left)
" (") duabus .	om. (BM)
" (241, 12) se .	om. (BM)
40, 1 (241, 1) Caesar .	om. (BM)
" (241, 2) omnium .	omnium caesar (BM)
" (241, 3) posse, magna autem	posse autem
" (241, 5) abundare .	om.
40, 3 (241, 9) flumen .	Hoc (a)
" (241, 10) nullam in par- tem	nulla ex parte
40, 4 (242, 14) recipere .	recipi
41, 1 (242, 1) omnis postea .	postea omnis
" (") aequatum in .	equitorum
" (242, 2) unum .	unum in (a)
41, 2 (242, 7) extruere .	instruere (a)
41, 4 (242, 13) venas .	uineas (ueneas M ¹ X)
41, 5 (242, 15) altitudinem .	altitudine
" (") pedum LX .	pedes sex (X)
" (242, 17) adaequaret .	aequaret (BM)
" (243, 18) superaret fon- tis fastigium	superare fontis fastigium posset (χBM)
41, 6 (243, 20) aquari .	aequare
" (243, 21) hominum .	hostium (a)
42, 1 (243, 1) cupas .	cuppas
" (") sebo .	seuo (a)
" (") scandulis .	scindulis
" (243, 2) eodemque .	eodem (Sβ)
42, 2 (243, 5) in .	ex
" (") existit .	exitit (ap)
42, 3 (243, 8) periculoso .	periculo
" (243, 9) iniquo .	om.
42, 4 (243, 10) excelso .	et excelso (a)
" (243, 11) magnusque .	magnus
" (243, 12) itaque .	ita (BM)
" (") prout erat .	poterat (X)
" (243, 13) testatior .	om.
43, 1 (243, 3) occupandorum	occupatorum
43, 4 (244, 9) amissa siti suorum	suorum amissa siti
" (244, 10) permanerent .	permanebant (c)
44, 1 (244, 1) lenitatem .	leuitatem
44, 2 (244, 9) indignitate .	indignatione
44, 3 (244, 14) committebat .	commendabat
" (") commoraturus	moraturus
" (244, 17) populo Ro- mano	populi romani (B ² M ²)
" (244, 18) vinctum .	om.
45, 1 (244, 2) que .	om.

MEUSEL	LOV.	MEUSEL	LOV.
45, 1 (245, 3) nullis . .	nulli (<i>Q^aSβ</i>)	48, 8 (247, 27) datis . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)
45, 2 (245, 4) redigit . .	redegit	48, 9 (247, 28) illud orat .	orat illud
„ (245, 5) virtutis . .	uirtutem	„ („) conspectum . .	conspectu
46, 1 (245, 2) gestas . .	geri (<i>a</i>)	48, 10 (247, 34) propterea .	<i>om.</i> (<i>Sβ</i>)
„ (245, 4) ipse . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)	„ („) L. Paulo, C. .	P. lentulo et
„ („) sed . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)	„ (247, 35) nullas res Gal-	nullas habet mag-
46, 2 (245, 7) sicuti . .	sicut	liae habet nopere galliae res	gestas (<i>BM</i>)
46, 3 (245, 10) cum praesidio	praesidio (equi-	magno opere	gestas
equitum	tum (<i>a</i>)	49, 1 (248, 1) in Belgio cum	cum in belgio (<i>Sβ</i>)
„ („) Narbonem .	narbonam (<i>χβ</i>)	„ (248, 2) nulli . .	nullam (<i>M</i>)
46, 4 (245, 13) < et Q. > Tul-	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)	„ (248, 4) decessum . .	discessu (<i>X</i>)
lio		„ („) suum . .	suo (<i>a</i>)
„ (245, 15) Turonis .	turonem ([<i>tor.</i> <i>BM^a</i>] <i>a</i>)	49, 3 (248, 9) nova . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>a</i>)
46, 5 (245, 19) ipse paucos	Paucos dies ipse	50, 1 (248, 1) in Italiam .	<i>om.</i>
dies	(<i>χBM</i>)	50, 2 (248, 7) Antonii .	M. Antonii
„ (245, 20) percurrisset	percurrisset	„ (248, 8) decedentis .	decentis
46, 6 (246, 24) his . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)	50, 3 (248, 12) atque . .	et
„ (246, 25) recepit hiber-	recepit hibernatque	50, 4 (248, 13) insequentis .	sequentis (<i>a</i>)
navitque	(<i>BM</i>)	„ (248, 14) petitione .	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)
47, 2 (246, 3) Atrebatium .	atrebatum ([<i>adr.</i> <i>BM^a</i>] <i>a</i>)	„ (249, 19) necessitudine .	consuetudine (<i>a</i>)
„ (246, 6) ne . .	<i>om.</i>	51-3 (249, 1-250, 9) Exceptus	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)
48, 2 (246, 6) saepius equites	eius saepius equi-	est . . . sed	
eius	tes	admonebantur	
48, 3 (246, 11) repente .	<i>om.</i> (<i>BMπ</i>)	53, 2 (250, 9) pararent .	sperarent (<i>a</i>)
„ (247, 12) imposita .	interpositam	54, 1 (250, 2) Cn. . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>Sβ</i>)
48, 5 (247, 17) medium femur	magnis uulneribus	„ (251, 3) duae . .	hae duae (<i>Sβ</i>)
eius magnis	[uiribus <i>a</i>] me-	54, 2 (251, 4) Pompeius .	cg. pompeius
viribus tra-	dium femur tra-	„ (251, 6) Caesaris .	caesari (<i>a</i>)
icit	icit uoluseni (<i>a</i>)	54, 3 (251, 9) in . .	ex
48, 7 (247, 22) graviter .	ac si proelio secun-	54, 4 (251, 12) C. . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>BM</i>)
	do grauiter ab eo	54, 5 (251, 16) et . .	<i>om.</i> (<i>a</i>)
	(<i>BM</i>)	55, 1 (251, 2) missas . .	remissas (<i>a</i>)
48, 8 (247, 24) suo dolore .	dolore suo	„ (251, 3) Parthicum bel-	bellum parthicum
„ (247, 27) facturum, quae	quae imperarit fac-	lum	(<i>Sβ</i>)
imperarit	turum	„ („) Pompeio .	gn. [Cn. <i>a</i>] pom-
			peio

[This collation has been made twice. In regard to a few readings, Mr. A. Hughes-Hughes of the British Museum kindly gave me his opinion, which confirmed my own.]

T. RICE HOLMES.

THE AUTHORSHIP OF THE *CULEX*.¹

THE object of the following paper is to examine in detail the relations between the contents of the poem called the *Culex* and the acknowledged writings of Virgil. The reader will find that these relations are more numerous and far more intimate than has hitherto been pointed out. They seem to warrant an inference as to the authorship of the poem, which in itself may claim high probability, and which when combined with the external evidence appears to the present writer to reach the level of a practical certainty. In what follows, all statements as to the readings of the manuscripts are based upon Professor A. E. Housman's paper on *The Apparatus Criticus of the Culex* (Cambridge Philological Society Transactions, Vol. VI, Part I, 1908), unless other authorities are expressly cited. I have followed Professor Ellis's text, except where Professor Housman's evidence makes some other reading more probable.

Before turning to the similarities in lines, phrases, and sequences of words between the *Culex* and the acknowledged writings of Virgil, it would perhaps be well to recount briefly the external evidence of the authorship of the poem, and any internal evidence bearing upon its date.

§ 1. *External Evidence of Authorship.*

(a) First we have the evidence of Martial XIV 185:

accipe facundi Culicem, studiose, Maronis,
and VIII 56:
protinus Italiam concepit et arma uirumque
qui modo uix Culicem fleuerat ore rudi.

(b) Suetonius in his *Life of Lucan* (ed. Reifferscheid, p. 50), gives indisputable evidence of the belief of the Neronian age 'Qui (i.e. Lucan) tantae leuitatis et tam immoderatae linguae fuit ut in praefatione quadam aetatem et initia sua cum Vergilio comparans ausus sit dicere "et quantum mihi restat ad Culicem?"'

¹ This paper is part of the results of a study of the *Appendix Vergiliana* pursued by me as Faulkner Fellow of the University of Manchester at Newnham College, Cambridge, and under the

guidance of Professor R. S. Conway, of Manchester, who asks me to state that he entirely accepts the conclusions to which I have been led.

(c) Statius in the *Silvae* II 7. 70 addressing Lucan :

tu Pelusiaci scelus Canopi
deflebis pius et Pharo cruenta
Pompeio dabis altius sepulchrum ;
haec primo iuuenis canes sub aevo
ante annos Culicis Maroniani.

(d) Further in the Life of Virgil attributed to Donatus we have a definite statement 'Deinde Catalecton et Priapeia et Epigrammata et Diras, item Cirim et Culicem cum esset annorum XVI, cuius materia talis est. pastor fatigatus aestu cum sub arbore obdormisset, et serpens ad illum proriperet e palude, culex prouolauit atque inter duo tempora aculeum fixit pastori. at ille continuo culicem attriuit et serpentem interemit, ac sepulchrum culici statuit et distichon fecit :

parue culex, pecudum custos, tibi tale merenti
funeris officium uitae pro munere reddit.'

Suet. Rel. (ed. Reifferscheid), p. 58.

These are the lines with which our poem ends. The latest estimate of the value of this evidence, with some discussion of the problem of the authorship as a whole, will be found in an article by Professor J. W. Mackail (*Virgil and Virgilianism*, *Classical Review*, XXII, p. 72 ; May, 1908), who leaves the question open, though manifestly inclining to a belief that Virgil wrote the poem.

§ 2. Evidence of Date.

Into the question of the date of the poem come firstly metrical considerations. The small number of elisions (about 12 in each 100 verses) has been adduced as a reason against assigning the poem to the period of Virgil's youth. Inferences of date from the number of elisions are, however, not trustworthy. Skutsch¹ points out that as early as 40 B.C. Horace wrote *Epode* XVI, in the hexameters of which (thirty-three in number) there is not a single elision.²

Secondly, there is the question of the identity of the Octavius to whom the poem is addressed (*Octaui uenerande*, ll. 1, 25). The evidence seems clearly to point to the conclusion that C. Octavius, afterwards Caesar Octavianus, is meant ; in that case the poem must be dated before 44 B.C., since in that year Octavius took the name of Octavianus,³ unless indeed it is maintained that the whole dedication was a pious and laborious fraud, written with the purpose of assigning the poem to that precise epoch.

§ 3. Some Striking Similarities.

We may next consider similarities of word or phrase, or sequence of words or sounds, in the *Culex* and in the *Eclogues*, *Georgics*, and *Aeneid*. Let us take first the most striking.

¹ Also Leo in his edition of the *Culex*, p. 16.

² For statistics of the number of elisions in different writers, v. Skutsch, *Aus Vergil's Frühzeit*, p. 130. For further evidence on this point, and on the correspondence of ictus and

accent in the last three feet of the hexameter, v. Professor W. R. Hardie's article in the *Journal of Philology*, Vol. XXX., No. 60, p. 266.

³ Skutsch, *Aus Vergil's Frühzeit*, p. 134.

(a) We have in the description of the snake, *Cul.* 179:

ardet mente, furit stridoribus, *intonat ore*,

and in *Aen.* VI 607 in a description of Tisiphone:

exsurgitque facem attollens, atque *intonat ore*.

It has been argued from this weaker use of *intonat* that we must place the *Culex* later than 44 B.C.; but Skutsch (*Aus Vergils Frühzeit*, p. 128) brings forward conclusive proof from Cicero of the early weakening of the force of *intonare*.

It would seem that the phrase *intonat ore* is not so good in its application to a snake as to Tisiphone, but we cannot conclude from that that the *Culex* took it from the *Aeneid*; it is equally probable that the author of the *Aeneid* took the phrase and improved it by a different application. (The reading *insonat* of the fifteenth-century Codex Corinianus seems hardly likely to be genuine.)

(b) *Cul.* 275

nec faciles Ditis, *sine iudice*, sedes.

According to Professor Robinson Ellis's statement the reading *Ditis* is given by all MSS. but one.

Aen. VI 431

nec uero hae sine sorte datae, *sine iudice*, sedes.

The phrase is sufficiently striking to make it probable that its occurrence in both poems is not a coincidence merely. The line in the *Aeneid* is undoubtedly more powerful. Aeneas has passed by Cerberus and come to the regions of the damned:

nec uero hae sine sorte datae, *sine iudice*, sedes.

quaesitor Minos urnam mouet; ille silentum

conciliumque uocat uitasque et crimina discit.

In the *Culex* the line occurs in the Orpheus episode (269 sqq.):

audax ille quidem, qui mitem Cerberon unquam
credidit aut ulli Ditis placabile numen,¹

nec timuit Phlegethonta furem ardentibus undis,

nec maesta obtenta Ditis ferrugine regna

ecfossasque domos ac Tartara nocte cruenta

obsita, nec faciles Ditis, *sine iudice*, sedes

iudice, qui uitae post mortem uindicat acta.

The thought is the same in both cases, a place in Tartarus, as in Elysium, must be earned; there is a judge even there.

Now, if it be acknowledged that the line in the *Aeneid* is the stronger, we have to ask why the author of the *Culex* should borrow merely to weaken what he takes. His own writing forbids our assuming that he would have been ignorant of the inferiority.

¹ This line we may, without hesitation, consider Virgilian.

(c) We come next to a passage in the *Culex* which has a distinct resemblance to two Virgilian passages :

Cul. 291 sqq.

sed tu crudelis, crudelis tu magis, Orpheu.
oscula cara petens rupisti iussa deorum.
dignus amor uenia, gratum, si Tartara nossent,
peccatum; meminisse grauest.

That is, Orpheus proved more cruel to Eurydice than the spirits whose treatment she has just experienced in the Underworld. The preceding lines are:

illa quidem nimium manis experta seueros
praeceptum signabat iter, nec rettulit intus
lumina nec diuae corruptit munera lingua.

L. 291 recalls lines in *Ecl.* VIII 49 sqq.:

crudelis tu quoque, mater.
crudelis mater magis, an puer improbus ille?
improbus ille puer. crudelis tu quoque, mater.

Again, the *Eclogue* gives the far more finished version of the two.

L. 293 recalls *Georgics* IV 489 (the subject is the same, the story of Orpheus and Eurydice):

ignoscenda quidem, scirent si ignoscere Manes.

The thought is the same; in the second case its expression is perfect. Would any borrower have spoilt its simplicity by multiplying words with no gain in thought?

(d) The connection between *Cul.* 58 sqq., *Georg.* II 458 sqq., and *Lucr.* II 14 sqq. is pointed out by Skutsch, *Aus Vergil's Frühzeit*, p. 129.

The three passages all deal with the joys of life in the country, and are very similar in construction.

(i) Each begins with an exclamation:

o miseras hominum mentes, o pectora caeca (*Lucr.*).
o fortunatos nimium (*Georg.*).
o bona pastoris (*Culex*).

(ii) All three continue with a *si* clause with reference to the evils of life in the city:

si non aurea sunt iuuenum simulacra per aedes (*Lucr.*).
sua si bona norint (*Georg.* II).
si quis non pauperis usum | mente prius docta fastidiat (*Culex*).

(iii) All turn in the second instance to the joys of a country life. Lucretius with a *cum* clause:

cum tamen inter se prostrati in gramine molli, etc.

The *Georgics* and *Culex* both with *at* :

at *secura quies et nescia fallere uita* (*Georg.*).

at *pectore puro*

saepe super tenero prosternit gramine corpus (*Culex*).

The three passages are very closely akin in spirit, although Virgil recalls Lucretius in but few single words or phrases (*aedibus*, *Georg.* II 462; *aedes*, *Lucr.* II 24; *mollesque sub arbore somni*, *Georg.* II 470; *prostrati in gramine molli*, *Lucr.* II 29).

The *Culex* recalls definitely two of Lucretius' phrases :

sub laqueare domus (*Culex*).

laqueata aurataque templa (*Lucr.*).

prosternit gramine corpus (*Culex*).

prostrati in gramine molli (*Lucr.*).

There can be no doubt that both the poet of the *Culex* and the poet of the *Georgics* are indebted to Lucretius.

Cul. 70, 71

florida cum tellus gemmantis picta per herbas

uere notat dulci distincta coloribus arua

seem to show some connection with Lucretius'

praesertim cum tempestas adridet et anni

tempora conspargunt uiridantis floribus herbas.

In one instance the *Culex* definitely recalls the *Georgics*.

Cul. 62

si non Assyrio feruent¹ bis lauta colore

Attalidis opibus data uellera

at once makes us turn to

alba neque Assyrio fucatur lana ueneno (*Georg.* II).

Although the second passage far transcends the first in strength and feeling, the two passages can hardly be independent. Note the precisely identical position of *Assyrio* and the negative before it, and the close metrical resemblance of the two lines.

§ 4. Resemblances to *Eclogue* VI.

There is a striking number of resemblances between the *Culex* and *Ecl.* VI.

Cul. I. Iusimus, Octaui, gracili modulante Thalia
recalls the first two lines of the *Eclogue* :

prima Syracosio dignata est ludere uersu

nostra, neque erubuit siluas habitare Thalia,

and the first line of the *Eclogue* further suggests *Cul.* 35, 36:

molli sed tenui decurrere carmina uersu

uiribus acta suis Phoebos duce ludere gaudent,

¹ *Feruent* is Professor Ellis's conjecture for the *fuert* of the MSS.

where, however, there is some doubt about the reading of l. 35; that given is Professor Ellis's.

Cul. 15 seu decus Asteriae seu qua Parnasia rupes,
recalls

Ecl. VI 15 nec tantum Phoebo gaudet Parnasia rupes.

Cul. 16 hinc atque hinc patula praepandit cornua fronte.

Ecl. VI 51 et saepe in leui quaesisset cornua fronte.

Cul. 26 sancte puer, tibi namque canit non pagina bellum.

Ecl. VI 12 quam sibi quae Vari praescipsit pagina nomen.

Cul. 81 non audas agnoui opes nec tristia bella.

Ecl. VI 7 tristia condere bella.

Cul. 100 compacta solitum modulatur harundine carmen.

Ecl. VI 8 agrestem tenui meditabor harundine Musam.

Compare also in the introductory quatrain to the *Aeneid* (which it is hard to deny to Virgil) gracili modulatus auena.

With *Culex* 134 quam comitabantur fatalia carmina quercus
and 143 ipsaeque escendunt ad summa cacumina lentae,
we may compare

Ecl. VI 28 tum rigidas motare cacumina quercus.

The *non tantum* of *Cul.* 117,

non tantum Oeagrius Hebrum

restantem tenuit ripis siluasque canendo,

resembles *Ecl.* VI 29 sq.

nec tantum Phoebo gaudet Parnasia rupes,

nec tantum Rhodope miratur et Ismarus Orphea.

Cul. 202 et piger aurata procedit Vesper ab Oeta
cum grege compulso pastor, etc.,

comes clearly into connection with

cogere donec oues stabulis numerumque referri

iussit et inuito processit Vesper Olympo (*Ecl.* VI 86).

We find both in this *Eclogue*, 62, 63 and in the *Culex*, 126 sqq. an allusion to Phaethon and his sisters, and (*Cul.* 252; *Ecl.* VI 79) to Procne and Philomela.

§ 5. Further resemblances to the *Eclogues*.

Resemblances to the other *Eclogues* in sound or meaning seem to be fairly numerous.

Cul. 76 illi sunt gratae rorantes lacte capellae

beside *Ecl.* X 77 ite domum, saturae, uenit Hesperus, ite capellae.

Cul. 112 quae gelidis bacchata iugis requieuit in antro

and *Cul.* 157 pastor ut ad fontem densa requieuit in umbra

beside *Ecl.* VII 10 et si quid cessare potes, requiesce sub umbra,
and *Aen.* VI 418 (Cerberus) personat, aduerso recubans inmanis in
antro

and the rather striking combination of *bacchari* with *gelidis ingis* will remind every lover of the *Georgics* of II 481 sqq.:

o ubi campi

Spercheosque et uirginibus bacchata Lacaenis
Taygeta! o qui me gelidis conuallibus Haemi
sistat et ingenti ramorum protegat umbra!

Cul. 146 at uolucres patulis residentes dulcia ramis
beside *Ecl.* I 1 Tityre tu patulae recubans sub tegmine fagi.

Cul. 153 argutis et cuncta fremunt ardore cicadis
beside *Ecl.* II 13 sole sub ardenti resonant arbusta cicadis
and *Georg.* III 328 et cantu querulae rumpent arbusta cicadae.

Cul. 159 anxius insidiis nullis sed lentus in herbis,
beside *Ecl.* I 4 nos patriam fugimus; tu Tityre lentus in umbra.

Cul. 204 cum grege compulso pastor duplicantibus umbris
beside *Ecl.* II 67 et sol crescentes decedens duplicat umbras.

Cul. 390 riuum propter aquae uiridi sub fronde latentem
beside *Ecl.* VIII 88 propter aquae riuum uiridi procumbit in ulua
and also beside *Georg.* III 13. See below, § 6.

Cul. 393 sqq. gramineam uiridi ut foderet de caespite terram
iam memor inceptum peragens sibi cura laborem
congestum cumulauit opus

beside *Ecl.* I 68 pauperis et tuguri congestum caespite culmen.

Such soft echoes of sound are peculiarly important; they would hardly occur to a mere imitator, but they might well linger in the mind of the poet who first conceived them. If Virgil did not write the *Culex*, it would seem that he must at all events have known it by heart for a long period of years.

Cul. 405 chrysanthusque hederaeque nitor pallente corymbo
and l. 144, which is somewhat similar in ending:

pinguntque aureolos uiridi pallore corymbos

beside *Ecl.* III. 39 diffusos hedera uestit pallente corymbos

show a striking resemblance.

§ 6. Resemblances to the *Georgics*.

Similarities to lines in the *Georgics* are also numerous.¹

Consider *Cul.* 20 et tu sancta Pales

beside *Georg.* III 1 Te quoque magna Pales.

Cul. 87. . . illi Panchaia tura

beside *Georg.* II 139 totaque turiferis Panchaia pinguis harenis.

¹ Some have been already pointed out. See § 3 (c) and (d); § 5 *Culex*, ll. 157 and 153.

Cul. 89 illi dulcis adest requies et pura uoluptas
libera simplicibus curis

beside *Georg.* II 467 at secura quies et nescia fallere uita.

Cul. 93 iucundoque liget languentia corpora somno
beside *Georg.* IV 252 tristi languebunt corpora morbo.

Leo, in his edition of the *Culex*, p. 47, compares

Cul. 101 sqq. tendit ineuctus radios Hyperionis ardor
lucidaque aethereo ponit discrimina mundo
qua iacit Oceanum flammis in utrumque rapacis

with *Georg.* III 368 sqq. tum sol pollentes haud umquam discutit umbras
nec cum ineuctus equis altum petit aethera, nec cum
praecipitem Oceani rubro lauit aequore currum.

The passage from the *Georgics* is again simpler and more pictorial; but the resemblance between the two is not very close.

Cul. 126 hospita dum nimia tenuit dulcedine captos
with *Georg.* I 412 nescio qua praeter solitum dulcedine laeti.

Cul. 136 illas Triptolemi mutauit sulcus aristis
with *Georg.* I 8 Chaoniam pingui glandem mutauit arista.

Cul. 196 sqq. horrida squamosa uoluentia terga draconis
atque reluctantis crebris foedeque petentis
ictibus ossa ferit

in the description of the death of the snake.

Georg. IV 300 huic geminae nares et spiritus oris
multa reluctanti obstruitur

in the death of the calf—*reluctanti* being in the same position in both lines.

Cul. 225 sqq. praemia sunt pietatis ubi, pietatis honores?
in uanas abiire uices, ex rure recessit
iustitiae prior illa fides

(where Leo reads *iustitia et*) has the same thought as *Georg.* II 473-4:
extrema per illos

Iustitia excedens terris uestigia fecit.

There are five passages in the first half of the *Aeneid* which are possibly recollections of this passage:

Aen. I 253 hic pietatis honos? sic nos in sceptris reponis?

Ib. 461 sunt hic etiam sua praemia laudi.

Ib. 605. si quid
usquam iustitia est et mens sibi conscia recti,
praemia digna ferant.

Ib. II 595 quid furis aut quonam nostri tibi cura recessit?

Ib. VI 878 heu pietas, heu prisca fides!

where *prisca fides* occupies the same position in the line as *illa fides* in the *Culex* passage.

In *Cul.* 231 sq. feror auia carpens
 auia Cimmerios inter distantia lucos

we have an assonance similar to that in *Georg.* II 238:

 auia tum resonant aibus uirgulta canoris.

Cul. 236 conati quondam cum sint rescindere mundum.

Georg. I 281 sq. et coniuratos caelum rescindere fratres.

 ter sunt conati imponere Pelio Ossam

and *Aen.* VI 582 sq. immania uidi

 corpora qui manibus magnum rescindere caelum
 adgressi.

Cul. 248 atque alias alio densant super agmine turmas.

Georg. I 276 ipsa dies alios alio dedit ordine Luna

and *Aen.* II 782 inter opima uirum leni fluit agmine Tiberis.

Note the close structural similarity—metrically an identity—of the last three feet of each of these three lines; the last, of course, may be in every other respect a purely accidental likeness.

Cul. 338 Hellespontiacis obiturus reddidit undis.

Georg. IV 111 Hellespontiaci seruet tutela Priapi.

Cul. 390 riuum propter aquae uiridi sub fronde latentem
 conformare locum capit impiger . . .

and l. 327 quem circum lapidem leui de marmore† formans

with *Georg.* III 13 et uiridi in campo templum de marmore ponam
 propter aquam.

Cul. 57 sqq. have already been fully discussed in § 3 (d).

§ 7. Resemblances to the *Aeneid*.

There are also a fair number of lines which recall lines in the *Aeneid*.

Cul. 33 non Hellespontus pedibus pulsatus equorum.

Aen. VI 591 aere et cornipedum pulsu simularet equorum.

Cul. 42 sqq. igneus aetherias iam Sol penetrarat in arces

 candidaque aurato quatiebat lumina curru

 crinibus et roseis tenebras Aurora fugarat

might perhaps have some connection with *Aen.* III 521:

 iamque rubescebat stellis Aurora fugatis.

Cul. 75 Tmolia pampineo subter coma uelat amictu.

Aen. VIII 33 eum tenuis glauco uelabat amictu.

and *Aen.* III 545 et capita ante aras Phrygio uelamur amictu.

Cul. 76 illi sunt gratae rorantes lacte capellae.

Aen. XII 512 suspendit capita et rorantia sanguine portat.

This use of *roro* with the ablative is found also in

Aen. VIII 645 et sparsi rorabant sanguine uepres

and XI 8 aptat rorantes sanguine cristas

and again in Quint. *Decl.* 4. 8 Quotiens, me hercule, haec uulnera et rorantia hostili cruore arma conspexi, animum supra necessitates erigo, supra fatum pono.

Cul. 85 aduersum saeuis ultro caput hostibus offert.

Aen. VI 291 Aeneas strictamque aciem uenientibus offert.

Cul. 158 mitem concepit proiectus membra soporem,
and even more 207:

languidaque effuso requierunt membra sopore
show likeness to

Aen. VIII 406 placidumque petiuit
coniugis infusus gremio per membra soporem.

Cul. 169 iam magis atque magis corpus reuolubile uoluens
with *Aen.* XII 238-9 talibus incensa est iuuenum sententia dictis
iam magis atque magis serpitque per agmina murmur.

Cul. 172 edita purpureo lucens maculatur amictu,
with *Aen.* III 405 purpureo uelare comas adopertus amictu.

Cul. 175. acrior instat
with *Aen.* X 657 nec Turnus segnior instat.
Cf. also *ib.* IX 350 feruidus instat.

Cul. 182. cui cuncta paranti
paruulus hunc prior umoris conterret alumnus
with *Aen.* X 554 tum caput orantis nequiquam et multa parantis
dicere deturbat terra.

Cul. 192 et ualidum dextra detraxit ab arbore truncum
with *Aen.* VI 141 auricomos quam qui decerpserit arbore fetus
(so Cod. Corsin.; but *truncum detraxit ab orno* is perhaps the better attested version of the line in the *Culex*).

Cul. 205 sqq. uadit et in fessos requiem dare comparat artus,
cuius ut intrauit leuior per corpora somnus
languidaque effuso requierunt membra sopore.
recalls *Aen.* IV 522-3:

nox erat et placidum carpebant fessa soporem
corpora per terras.

Cul. 211 sq. quis, inquit, meritis ad quae delatus acerbis
cogor adire uices
recalls *Aen.* I 8 sqq.:

quo numine laeso
quidue dolens regina deum tot uoluere casus
insignem pietate uirum tot adire labores
impulerit.

Cul. 215 uiscera Lethaeas cogunt tranare per undas,
recalls *Aen.* VI 461, 2:

sed me iussa deum, quae nunc has ire per umbras
per loca senta situ cogunt noctemque profundam.

Cul. 220, 221 Cerberus et diris latrantia rictibus ora
anguibus hinc atque hinc horrent cui colla reflexis
(horrent: this reading of Cod. Helmst. for the *arent* of the other MSS. seems
almost certain)

with *Aen.* VI 417 sqq.:

Cerberus haec ingens latratu regna trifauci
personat, aduerso recubans immanis in antro.
cui uates, horrere uidens iam colla colubris

The rhythm of the endings *cui colla reflexis* and *iam colla colubris* is identical.

Cul. 222 sanguineique micant ardorem luminis orbes
with *Aen.* X 396 semianimesque micant digiti ferrumque retractant,
both clearly a recollection of Ennius' *semianimesque micant oculi*.

Cf. also *Cul.* 237 et Tityos, Latona, tuae memor anxius irae.
and *Aen.* I 4 saeuae memorem Iunonis ob iram.

Here the transference of the adjective *memor* to *ira* is a perceptible gain in strength.

Cul. 240 ad Stygias reuocatus aquas. uix ultimus amni
restat nectareas diuum qui prodidit escas

strongly recalls *Aen.* VI 374:

tu Stygias inhumatus aquas amnemque seuerum
Eumenidum aspicias.

Cul. 259. auferor ultra
in diuersa magis distantia nomina¹ cerno
with *Aen.* II 734 ardentes clipeos atque aera micantia cerno.

Cul. 261, 2 obuia Persephone comites heroidas urget
aduersas praeferre faces.

with *Aen.* VII 337 (Juno's command to Allecto):

tu potes unanimos armare in proelia fratres,
atque odiis uersare domos; tu funera tectis
funereasque inferre faces, tibi nomina mille,
mille nocendi artes.

Note the corresponding position in the lines.

Cul. 315 ending oppositus contra Telamonius heros
with *Aen.* VI 451 quam Troius heros
ut primum iuxta stetit.

¹ The MSS. here vary between *nomina* and *numina*.

Cul. 322 sqq. hoc erat Aeacides uultu laetatus honore
 Dardaniaeque alter fuso quod sanguine campis
 Hectoreo uictor lustravit corpore Troiam
 with *Aen.* I 97 sqq. o terque quaterque beati
 quis ante ora patrum Troiae sub moenibus altis
 contigit oppetere! o Danaum fortissime gentis
 Tydide, mene Iliacis occumbere campis
 non potuisse tuaque animam hanc effundere dextra,
 saeuus ubi Aeacidae telo iacet Hector, ubi ingens
 Sarpedon, ubi tot Simois correpta sub undis
 scuta uirum galeasque et fortia corpora uoluit.

Cul. 354 sqq. immoriturque super fluctus et saxa Capherei
 Euboicas aut per cautes Aegaeaque late
 litora.

with *Aen.* XI 259 sqq. scit triste Mineruae
 sidus et Euboicae cautes ultorque Caphareus

Cul. 360 omnes Roma decus magni quos suspicit orbis.
 with *Aen.* VI 697, 8 medium nam plurima turba
 hunc habet atque umeris exstantem suspicit altis

where *suspicit* is in the same position in the line.

Cul. 378 cum mihi tu sis causa mali.
 with *Aen.* VI 93 causa mali tanti coniunx iterum hospita Teucris.

Cul. 394 iam memor inceptum peragens sibi cura laborem
 congestum cumulauit opus.

with *Aen.* IV 452 quo magis inceptum peragat lucemque re-
 linquat.

The list of parallels seems sufficiently striking to oblige us to assume either that the poet of the *Culex* knew Virgil's writings most intimately, and borrowed from them continually, but nearly always spoilt what he borrowed; or else that Virgil knew the *Culex* almost by heart at all periods of his poetical activity. The evidence in many cases, as we have seen, would point to Virgil as the borrower. The resemblances, further, are of such a kind as to give reasonable ground for maintaining that Virgil was merely reusing what was his own.

S. ELIZABETH JACKSON.

PADDINGTON AND MAIDA VALE HIGH SCHOOL.

Τῆς
 ὡς
 plural,
 then b
 ἡγγέλλ
 Lect. 4
 p. 297
 noticed
 didean
 the ren

Τῆς
 Οἱ
 ἐν τε
 οἰόμενα
 Τῆς
 more i
 appear
 observ
 Ruthen
 <πονη
 ἀπόβα
 graphy
 gives t

Τῆς
 κα
 ἀπέδοσ
 is little
 right;
 But on
 πόλιν
 memor
 As a c
 Herwe

TEXTUAL NOTES.

I.

THUCYDIDES iii. 51. 4.

ὥς . . . ἐξεργάσατο of MSS. is generally corrected to the third person plural, but it would be more like Thucydides to write ἐξείργαστο: this would then be another instance of the corruption of pluperfects, such as ἡγγέλλετο into ἡγγέλλετο and the like, of which many instances are given by Cobet in *Nov. Lect.* 422, *Var. Lect.* 253. In the old edition of Poppo, 1826, vol. ii. pt. ii. p. 297, ἐξήργαστο is given as contained in *Cod. Bas. ex emend.*, but it is not noticed by Poppo-Stahl or recent editors. Ὡς with the pluperfect is Thucydidean: Mr. Marchant on ii. 59 refers to iii. 23, 1; 26, 4; 27, 1; 69, 2. See the remarks of Poppo in vol. i. part ii. p. 44 sq. on this MS.

II.

THUCYDIDES iv. 32. 1.

Οἱ δὲ Ἀθηναῖοι τοὺς μὲν πρῶτους φύλακας, οἷς ἐπέδραμον, εὐθὺς διαφθείρουσιν ἐν τε ταῖς εὐναῖς ἔτι καὶ ἀναλαμβάνοντας τὰ ὄπλα, λαθόντες τὴν ἀπόβασιν, οἰομένων αὐτῶν τὰς ναῦς κατὰ τὸ ἔθος ἐς ἄφορμον τῆς νυκτὸς πλεῖν.

This is Mr. Stuart Jones' text; Hude with MSS. καὶ λαθόντες: but the more important thing is the construction of λαθόντες τὴν ἀπόβασιν. There appears to be no grammatical justification for the ordinary translation 'unobserved in the landing,' as Hude saw, when he gave <ἐς> τὴν ἀπόβασιν. Rutherford was on the right track when he suggested λαθόντες τὴν ἀπόβασιν <ποησάμενοι>. But a correction easier palaeographically is λαθόντες τὴν ἀπόβασιν <ποιούμενοι>, οἰομένων κ.τ.λ. This is an easy example of lipography. The tense of the participles presents no difficulty; indeed ποιούμενοι gives the required sense better than the aorist.

III.

THUCYDIDES vi. 62. 4.

καὶ τὰνδράποδα ἀπέδοσαν, καὶ ἐγένοντο ἐξ αὐτῶν εἴκοσι καὶ ἑκατὸν τάλαντα. ἀπέδοσαν is an old crux, and ἐγένοντο is not free from difficulty. There is little doubt that ἀπέδοσαν is wrong and that Bekker's conjecture ἀπέδοντο is right; but it is not at first sight easy to discover the cause of the corruption. But on looking at § 3 we shall at once see it: καὶ ἀνδραποδίσαντες τὴν πόλιν παρέδοσαν Ἑγεσταίοις, παρεγένοντο γὰρ αὐτῶν ἱππῆς: the memory of the five spaced words in the previous sentence led the writer astray. As a corollary, ἐγένοντο may without hesitation be corrected to ἐγένετο, and so Herwerden wrote, on the general principle which now arises for consideration.

It may be observed that this passage afforded one of the two hitherto unquestioned examples in Thucydides of a plural verb with a neuter plural, the other being v. 26. 2. The five other examples are regarded as very doubtful by modern editors. v. 75. *Κάρνεια ἐτύγχανον ὄντα* has been corrected even by such cautious editors as Mr. Stuart Jones and Hude; that corruption is due to the diplography of —ον—: the other places where festivals are mentioned in Thucydides have the singular verb: viii. 9. 1. *Ἰσθμια ἂ τότε ἦν*, viii. 10. 1. *Ἰσθμια ἐγένετο*. i. 126. 5. for *ἐπῆλθον Ὀλύμπια*, *ἐπῆλθεν* is now adopted with MSS. authority by these editors. In v. 26. 1. Herwerden and Mr. Stuart Jones adopt *ἔτη τὰ ξύμπαντα ἐγένετο* for *ἐγένοντο* of CG.; in vi. 13. 1. Göller's conjecture *ἐλάχιστα κατορθοῦνται* for MSS. —οῦνται is adopted by Mr. Marchant. In viii. 10. 1. *ἐν δὲ τούτῳ τὰ Ἰσθμια ἐγένετο, καὶ οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι (ἐπηγγέλθησαν γὰρ) ἐθεώρουν ἐς αὐτά, οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι* and not *Ἰσθμια* is the subject to *ἐπηγγέλθησαν*. The only remaining place is v. 26. 2. *ἐς ἅλλα ἀμφοτέρους ἀμαρτήματα ἐγένοντο*. Badham suggested *ἀμαρτίαι* or *ἀμαρτιάδες*; here even Herwerden retains the plural: but special pleading has to be called in to justify it, and we may well ask whether it ought not to share the fate of the other examples, and submit to the correction *ἐγένετο*. See for the whole question Herwerden *Studia Thucydidea* p. 137.

IV.

TEBTUNIS PAPYRI, p. 3.

1. 1. *Ξουθὰ δ' ἐγγύφων' ὄρνεα*
 †*διεφεταν ἐρῆμον δρίος ἄκροισ ἐπὶ κλωσὶ*
πίτυος ἦμεν' ἐμινύριζ' ἐτιττύβιζεν.

In the first line Dr. Hunt tells me that Wilamowitz reads *λινύφωνα*, which even from the facsimile is almost preferable to *ἐγγύφωνα*.

The lines are Anacreontic and may be written thus, with an emendation in l. 2: *Ξουθὰ δὲ λινύφωνα | ὄρνεα διεφοῖτα | ἀν' ἐρῆμον δρίος, ἄκροισ τ' | ἐπὶ κλωσὶ πίτυος ἦμεν' | ἐμινύριζ' ἐτιττύβιζεν.*

διεφοῖτα ἀν' ἐρῆμον, that is, *διεφοῖτα <ἀ>ν'*, lipography of one letter: or perhaps *διεφοῖτα <τ' ἀν'>*.

φοιτᾶν is used of birds in β 182; Eur. *Ion* 156, *Hippol.* 1057.

τοὺς ἄνω

φοιτῶντας ὄρνεις πόλλ' ἐγὼ χαίρειν λέγω.

Blass gave *ἄκροισ <τ'>*: he saw that an imperfect at the beginning of the line was required, but his *διεπέτετ'* is metrically objectionable. The corruption *διεφετα* perhaps began with the mistake *διεφοτα*.

V.

TEBTUNIS PAPYRI, p. 3.

- Str. *ὦ φανεῖς χάρμα μοι*
φίλον, οτεμνηγας,
ὄτε δόρατι πολεμῖφ
τὰν Φρυγῶν

- 5 πόλιν ἐπόρθεις, μόνα
 τὰμὰ κομίσαι θέλων
 λέχεα πάλιν εἰς πάτραν.
 Antist. νῦν δὲ μούναν μ' ἀφείς
 ἄλοχον, ἄστοργ', ἄπεις,
 10 ἦν Δαναϊδᾶν λόχος
 ἔμολεν,
 ἧς ἔνεκα παῖδα τὰν
 ἄγαμον εἴλ' Ἀρτεμις,
 τὸν σφάγιον Ἀγαμέμνονι;

This seems to be the best arrangement of the lines.

In line 2 the Cretic metre may be restored by reading φίλον ὅτ' ἔμ' <ἔμ'> ἡγάπας: the resolved long syllable is frequent in this piece; cf. 3, 7, 14. *Hephaest.* ch. xiii.

In ll. 10 and 11, as the Editors have seen, a preposition is imperatively required: this may be given, and the metre restored at the same time, by <ἐπ>έμολεν. The writer of this Papyrus would appear to have been prone to lipography: see note iv, above.

In l. 14 τὸν is rightly deleted by Grenfell and Hunt.

VI.

OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, vol. iii. p. 72.

No. 425. l. 8 sqq.

πελάγους καὶ Νείλου γονίμου.

The metre of all the other lines is

≈ - ≈ - - - - ; a cretic in place of a dactyl in the latter part of this line only seems improbable.

The character of the handwriting and the inferior spelling point, in the Editors' judgment, to the piece being a copy for a school exercise. καὶ Νείλου may well be another mistake due to the recollection of καὶ Νειλῶται in l. 4, and we may conjecture here

πελάγους Νείλου τε γονίμου.

VII.

FAYŪM TOWNS PAPYRI, p. 85.

l. 16.

τὴν νηχομένην σε . . μν [. .] . [.] α

Grenfell and Hunt say that the doubtful σ may be δ, and that ω may be read in the place of the doubtful μ. That will permit us to propose δ' ἐρύων, which with their suggestion τρίχα gives a good sense.

J. U. POWELL.

ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE, OXFORD.

ΟΡΩ ΜΕΝΟΣ ΠΙΝΕΟΥΣΑΝ

(SOPH. *El.* 610).

No tragic poet uses the phrase μένος πνέουσας, except Aeschylus, who employs it in describing the Erinyes, not a Greek maiden. Similarly Homer of his 'Mut-schnaubende' heroes and of the savage steeds of Diomed. Hence, in the Sophoclean passage, some scribe may have mistaken the familiar ΜΕΝΟΠΙΝΕΟΤΑΝ for the more unusual ΜΕΝΕΙΟΤΝΟΤΑΝ. Initial C attached itself to the preceding word, and ΤΝΟΤΑΝ became ΠΙΝΟΤΑΝ, which was promptly changed to πνέουσας.

All difficulties vanish in this puzzling passage, if we adopt the reading suggested. The chorus is speaking of Electra: ὀρῶ μένει χρωμένην· εἰ δὲ σὺν δίκη χρήται, οὐδὲν φροντίζει. Her mother takes up 'φροντίδα,' not in answer to a criticism of the chorus (as some scholars believe), but in reply to her daughter's final declaration: σχεδόν τι τὴν σὴν οὐ κατασχύνω φύσιν. This κατασχύνω is an echo of Clytemnestra's previous αἰσχύνειν (518), κακοστομοῦμεν (596), ἀναιδείας πλέαν (607). Now the chorus, in 610-611, is reproving the undutiful daughter, who is speaking αἰσχύνης ἄτερ, as her mother maintains (615); and Electra, in her rejoinder, shows that she understands the reproach, and she defends herself by contending that she has αἰσχύνῃ, in spite of her mother's belief: her mother's shameful deeds force her to act as though she had none. Cp. Clytemnestra's outburst in 622, ὦ θρέμμι' ἀναιδές. Consequently, in the passage under discussion, the chorus simply avers that Electra is acting ἀναισχύντως, ἀδίκως, that is, οὐ σὺν δίκη μένει ξύνεστιν. Having lost all self-control, she is acting ἔξω δίκης (*Fr.* 226); whether she be right, of this (τοῦδε) she takes no thought.

The common form of the phrase in the *sermo familiaris* was ἐν δίκη, which was also employed in tragedy (*Fr.* 673), as well as simple δίκη (*Eur. Fr.* 834); but σὺν δίκη was not unusual (*Aesch. Eum.* 610). Both idiom and sense point to the fact that ξύνεστιν here harks back to something beyond σὺν δίκη.

For the expression μένει ξύνεστι we may compare *O. T.* 303 νόσφ' σύνεστιν, η 270 ξυνέσεσθαι διζυῖ, *Eur. Heracl.* 996 συνοικίην φόβφ. But συνεῖναι is συζῆν, and συζῆν is χρήσθαι (*Dem.* 1. 14; *Ar. Ran.* 959; *Plut. Mor.* 383 B). Hence μένει συνοῦσαν = μένει χρωμένην. Cp. *O. T.* 1241 ὀργῇ χρωμένη *Antiph.* 5. 91 ὀργῇ χροσαμένους.

J. E. HARRY.

UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI.

M
for Zep
the or
another
Flora,
trodden
Venus.
above:
sion is
Spring
quibus
quibus
well), s
path th
least n
of one
W
... op
ante, 'i
to objec
quite su
In the
is not t
preclud
suppose
ch. 93,
natura,
but her
followin
world.'
parallel
detach
would b

NOTE ON LVCRETIVS, BOOK V., LL. 737-740.

It uer et Venus, et Veneris praenuntius ante
pennatus graditur, Zephyri uestigia propter
Flora quibus mater praespargens ante uiui
cuncta coloribus egregiis et odoribus opplet.

MUNRO adopts the emendations *veris* for *Veneris* (l. 737) and *Zephyrus* for *Zephyri* (l. 708), making Zephyrus the 'winged harbinger of Spring.' As to the order of the procession, Munro takes one view in his translation and another in his notes: according to the latter it is 'Zephyrus, Spring, Venus, Flora,' the flowers springing up where Spring, Venus, and Zephyrus have trodden: according to the translation it is 'Flora, Zephyrus, Spring, Venus.' Duff, in his edition, adheres to the MSS. reading as printed above: *Veneris praenuntius pennatus* is Cupid, and the order of the procession is 'Zephyrus, Flora (his wife), Cupid, Spring and Venus (or Venus and Spring).' With this reading and interpretation, which are probably right, *quibus* goes in construction with *praespargens*: Munro, reading *Zephyrus*, takes *quibus* with *uestigia propter*, 'in whose tracks' (perhaps with *praespargens* as well), so that with his reading his note is right and his translation—'along the path they tread mother Flora straws all the way before them'—is wrong, or at least not so probable, as *quibus propter uestigia* would be more naturally said of one following behind.

What is to be made of the construction of *praespargens ante uiui cuncta . . . opplet*? Munro's translation is vague, and he has no note on it: Duff says *ante*, 'in front of them,' *uiui cuncta*, 'the whole path.' This seems to be open to objection on several grounds. First, and perhaps most important, *ante* is quite superfluous with *praespargens*: indeed it is perilously near to nonsense. In the second place *uiui cuncta* is questionable Latin for 'the whole path': it is not to the point to quote *caerulea mundi, ultima caeli* and the like, as *cuncta* precludes the idea of a 'partitive' genitive. The grammarians quote two supposed samples of this *cuncta* with a partitive genitive: (1) Sallust, *Jugurtha*, ch. 93, *quo cuncta gignentium natura fert*, but here *gignentium* depends on *natura*, not on *cuncta*: (2) Horace, *Odes* II. 1 23. *cuncta terrarum subacta*: but here *cuncta terrarum* does not mean *cunctas terras*, as is proved by the following words—*praeter atrocem animum Catonis*—but 'all things in the world.' Finally, if Lucretius had meant *uiui cuncta* to go together in this unparalleled and strained use, he would surely not have gone out of his way to detach *cuncta*, rhythmically and by its position in a new line, from *uiui*, but would have omitted the superfluous *ante* and ended his line with *cuncta uiui*.

For all these reasons it seems preferable to take *uiai* with *ante*, interpreting *ante uiai* as 'the path in front': *uiai* is now a partitive genitive, as in Ennius.

quo uobis mentes . . . sese flexere uiai ?

Ante uiai would be the Greek τὸ πρόσθεν τῆς ὁδοῦ, without emphasizing the substantival character of the expression: it is not much more difficult than, nor very different from, such phrases as *postea loci*. At any rate its difficulty seems to be less than that of *uiai cuncta*, and it will be seen at once that *ante uiai* is not superfluous with *praespargens* in the same sense in which the bare adverb *ante* is superfluous. *Cuncta* must then be taken as the object of *opplet*, 'fills the whole scene,' 'fills all around': cf. in the same book (l. 1376-7), *ut nunc esse uides uario distincta lepore | omnia*. The rhythm of the two last lines gains enormously by this interpretation: *cuncta* takes its proper place in the alliterative juxtaposition with *coloribus*, and the line has a dignity and sweetness in keeping with the beauty of the picture.

H. WILLIAMSON.

MANCHESTER.

Th
the me
summa
or a si
of the l
then re
Ag
just ex
suspect
years, l
or laud
the cor
molem
the mo

VA

Th
which o
by Vari
other p
suppose
Cranes
epicure
diction
I
W. A.
above.

SOME 'VEXED PASSAGES' IN LATIN POETRY.

ENNIVS, *Ann.* 411 (Vahlen), 435 (L. Müller):

Reges per regnum statuasque sepulcraque quaerunt,
Aedificant nomen: summa nituntur opum ui.

The passage is thought to refer to the efforts of the Macedonians to honour the memory of their dead king. Who are meant by *reges* is not at all clear, and *summa nituntur opum ui*, as we may infer from other passages where the same or a similar expression is used, can hardly refer to anything but the labour of the hands. Probably we ought to read *regis*, i.e. *Philippi*. The lines will then refer to the work of the people.

Again, *aedificant nomen* is not in keeping with the rest of the passage, as just explained, and the expression is so strange that it has generally been suspected. Vahlen actually proposed the monstrosity *aeuificant* in his early years, but has now grown wiser: *augificant* (a verb found in *Enn. Scaen.* 103) or *laudificant* would certainly be better. But as the passage obviously refers to the construction of monuments, it is probable that Ennius wrote *aedificant molem* or (if we press the plural meaning of *sepulchra*) *moles*, the former being the more likely.

VARRO, *Sat. Men.*, ap. Non. 314 M (p. 492 Lindsay):

Vbi graues pascantur atque alantur pauonum greges.

This line comes from the *Gerontodidascalus*, and seems to belong to a passage which denounced the growing luxury and gluttony of the Romans. It is cited by Varro as a proof that *grauis* is used in the sense of *multus*, but neither the other passages quoted by him nor ordinary common sense will allow us to suppose that *graves* ever meant *multi*. It is easy to conjecture *grues* for *graves*. Cranes as well as peacocks were dainty fare to the palate of the Roman epicure (see *Hor. Sat.* II. 8. 87 and other passages cited in the Latin dictionaries).

I may add that when I submitted the above line to my colleague, Mr. W. A. Bain, he independently made the same emendation as I have suggested above.

CATVLLVS, II.

It is a bold thing to attempt a new interpretation of this *carmen uexatissimum*, but as it seems possible by a very slight change in the reading and some alteration of the ordinary punctuation to get a reasonable meaning for the poem, I venture to print the lines with the corrections I would suggest:

Passer, deliciae meae puellae!—
 Quicum ludere, quem in sinu tenere,
 Quoi primum digitum dare adpetenti
 Et acris solet incitare morsus,
 Cum desiderio meo nitenti 5
 Carum nescio quid libet iocari:
 Et solaciolum *subit* doloris;
 Credo. ut cum grauis acquiescit ardor,
 Tecum ludere sicut ipsa possem
 Et tristis animi leuare curas! 10

If *sunt* were written for *subit*, the change to *sui* would be almost inevitable: for other instances of emendations based on the substitution of *u* for *b*, see Munro, *Criticisms and Elucidations of Catullus*, p. 149 (new ed.). *Credo* at the beginning of v. 8 is full of bitterness. According to the interpretation proposed, the meaning of vv. 7-10 is as follows: 'And then some gentle relief for her pain steals over her; I well believe it. Would that I, when the wildness of my passion abates, could play with thee as thy mistress does, and lighten my heart's bitter cares!' Catullus ironically envies Lesbia the power to find so simple a diversion and relief from her heartache.

LXIV. 285 sqq.:

Confestim Penius adest uiridantia Tempe,
 Tempe quae siluae cingunt super impendentes,
 †Minosim linquens †doris celebranda choreis.

This is another 'vexed' passage. Many attempts at emendation have been made. Friedrich strenuously supports Madvig's *Meliasin* for *Minosim*, but his arguments do not seem to do more than show that Madvig's conjecture is as plausible as any of the others. The fact, mentioned by Friedrich, that we do not find in the MSS. of Catullus any instance of the loss of the first syllable of a word at the beginning of a line, tells somewhat against the ingenious *Haemonisin* of Heinsius and similar conjectures. And even if we adopt one of these readings there remains the puzzling *doris*. This has been 'emended' in the wildest fashion (*uariis*, *solitis*, *diuis*, etc.). The old emendation *claris* ('clear-sounding') is favoured by Friedrich, but so familiar a word is not very likely to have been altered. I would suggest as a possible reading—

Maenasin Edonis linquens, celebranda choreis.

If *linquens* were accidentally omitted and then written above the line, it might easily, of course, have been introduced in the wrong place by a copyist. It is easy to see how a corruption like that of V could have arisen from the following :

linquens

Menasinedonis celebranda choreis.

donis would naturally be changed to *doris*, which was intended to mean, and might indeed mean 'Dorian' (= *Doriis*; for this form of dat. plur. see Friedrich *ad loc.*). The region of Mount Edonus was celebrated for its Bacchic rites, as many passages in the Latin poets show. The geographical confusion of localities in the northern part of Greece is too frequent to require illustration.

LVCANVS, V. 596 :

Inde ruunt toto congesta pericula mundo.
Primus ab oceano caput exseris Atlanteo,
Core, mouens aestus : iam te tollente furebat
Pontus, et in scopulos totas erexerat undas.
Occurrit gelidus Boreas pelagusque retundit,
Et dubium pendet tuento cui concidat† aequor.

Concidat in the last line seems impossible. *Concido* = 'fall down,' 'fall limp,' and is used of wind = 'fall' in Hor. C. I. 12. 30. It might also, no doubt, be used of the sea falling into a calm. But that is exactly what the sea does not do in this passage. The winds struggle for its possession; then

Scythici uicit rabies Aquilonis et undas
Torsit, et abstrusas penitus uada fecit harenas, etc.

Thus we must depart from the MSS., and adopt another reading. Ald. has *pareat*, which gives excellent sense, and is easily explicable on the supposition of a gloss *concedat*, afterwards altered to *concidat* and incorporated in the text. But if this reading be correct, it is strange that not one out of the great host of extant MSS. retains any trace of it. It is therefore safer, perhaps, to read *uentus qui concidat*, 'which wind is to fall' in the struggle. This gives the required meaning, and the corruption is easily accounted for.

VALERIUS FLACCUS, *Arg.* VII. 394 :

Iamque tremens longe sequitur Venus; utque sub altas
Peruenere trabes diuæque triformis in umbram,
Hic subito ante oculos nondum speratus Iason
Emicuit, uiditque prior conterrita uirgo.

The incident is the same as is related by Ovid, *Met.* VII. 74 sqq. :

Ibat ad antiquas Hecates Perseidos aras,
Quas nemo umbrosum secretaque silua tegebat.
Et iam fortis erat, pulsusque recesserat ardor,
Cum uidet Aesoniden, exstinctaque flamma reluxit.

Medea has at last summoned up courage to go to the grove in order to meet Jason. He, however, appears unexpectedly before she reaches the *nemus umbrosum*. Venus and Medea are walking hand in hand :

Dat dextram uocemque Venus blandisque pauentem
Adloquiis iunctoque trahit per moenia passu. (373 sq.)

Not till Jason appears does the goddess withdraw her hand from her companion's (*inde Venus dextrae delapsa tenenti*, 399). How, then, can she be said in l. 394 to be 'now following afar off'? Professor Bury, the editor of the *Corpus* text, understands the reference to be to the planet Venus. But surely this is impossible in such a context. The Venus of v. 394 must be the Venus of ll. 373 and 399; any other interpretation seems intolerably harsh and far-fetched, and Professor Bury would doubtless admit that his explanation was merely a refuge of the desperate. But why not read *nemus* for *Venus* in v. 394? With *Venus* mentioned so often in the context the corruption was easy and natural. *Sequitur*=*petit*, a meaning extremely common in poetry. The use of *trabes* and *nemus* in conjunction may have been suggested by Ovid, *Met.* XIV. 360, *densum trabibus nemus*.

W. B. ANDERSON.

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY,
KINGSTON, CANADA.

s

r
e
f
t
e
h
n
n
as
y.
d,

1. I

that I find
the same
worth w
meminisse
literary in
to *equal*
it clarified
we clarify
once soug
therein,
subjuncti
the cold p
(*ἡ ψυχικὴ*)
betray em
utne, egon
tone con
disavowal

2. I

tion of th
nomencla
separation
theory, an
The dative
way of co
much for
his modic
my Horat
saltibus et
a 'dative

¹ Here r
verbs of sep

² See an

³ It is a
separation.'

THE LATIN DATIVE: NOMENCLATURE AND CLASSIFICATION.

1. IT must have been shortly after I entered college in my middle 'teens that I first heard of the grammatical doctrine that psychological opposites take the same construction. As a mnemonic, alone, the doctrine is immensely worth while and practically helps with categories like *damnare*)(*absolvere*, *meminisse*)(*oblivisci*, *cedere*)(*resistere*, *similis*)(*alienus* (*dissimilis*)—which rouses a literary interest by recalling Thackeray's use of *different to* as a counter term to *equal to*, *similar to*, *like to*. And, to get back to grammar, for English folk it clarifies *prope ab* to counter it with *procul ab*.¹ By the doctrine of opposites we clarify even so elusive a matter as the 'subjunctive of repudiation' which I once sought to explain by partial obliquity (*Cl. Rev.* XI. 344 sq.), not mistaking therein, I am fain to believe, the valuable stylistic note of echo. In this subjunctive I now see a clear opposite to the concessive. It is a survival, on the cold page, of a speech form that owed its meaning to the speaker's mood (*ἡ ψυχὴ διαθείσας*), the pitch of his voice, all the things that manifest and betray emotion, and so far forth is 'polemic.' It is often introduced by *ut*, *utne*, *egone ut*, where *ut* is exclamatory and interrogative at once, and the tone converts the concessive to an anticoncessive, indicating repudiation, disavowal.²

2. I propose presently to apply the doctrine of opposites to the classification of the dative in Latin, but first a word of criticism directed toward a nomenclature widely prevalent in America. I refer to the term 'dative of separation,' a name which seems to me ill chosen as regards syntactical theory, and positively pernicious and misleading to the average Latin student. The dative is a person case, and separation³ from a person is a very inexact way of conceiving this relation of the dative. The learner is exposed to so much formal confusion between the dative and ablative that it seems a pity for his modicum of syntax to contribute to this confusion. To give an example, my Horace students, when set to construing *Carm.* II. 3, 17 *cedes coemptis saltibus et domo | uillaque*, have not infrequently told me that *saltibus* was a 'dative of separation.' If they had been taught that the dative was a person

¹ Here note Irish *fri* used with pronoun objects, now with verbs of association, and now with verbs of separation.

² See an interesting series of protesting subjunctives in Seneca, *Herc.*, 526 sqq.

³ It is a pity that (*ablativus*) *separativus* has been forsaken as a technical term for 'ablative of separation.'

case, or merely reflected on the form of *uilla*, they could not have made this mistake, but have I not noted that a really great grammar like Lane's fails to teach that the dative is a person case (see *Cl. Rev.* XIV. 318), and are not most of us aware how little reflection can be expected of students?

3. I have no desire to review American school grammars and point out that almost without exception they classify this ill-named 'dative of separation' as a dative of reference, but I may be permitted to note how this name has intruded itself (v. Gildersleeve-Lodge, school edition, § 345, Rem. 1) even into the grammar which, in its larger form, is my own *uade mecum*, to which I turn first when in syntactical doubt.

4. As regards the classification of this use of the dative let me take the example that I have actually gesticulated to my own pupils for more than a decade, *magister discipulo librum dat adimitque*. From this example I think that even dull students realize that whether the pupil receives or gives up the book he stands in an identical grammatical relation to the act and the actor, that, whether he is the receiver or the loser, he is equally the indirect personal object.¹ So, starting from this example, I develop the syntactical doctrine of opposites which seems to me of such importance that it deserves a general statement and illustration at the beginning of every text-book on syntax.

5. Another specific application I make of the example is to show that the indirect object is prevaillingly a person, and that the dative is, by and large, a person case.

6. If we do not teach that the dative is a case of the person we miss the opportunity to realize poetic personification in examples like the following: Horace, *Carm.* III. 8, 11, *corticem . . . demouebit amphorae*, wherein *amphorae* is the 'Little Brown Jug' of the song (cf. the *pia testa* of iii. 21; Page's note on the personification of the *amphora cessans* of III. 28, 8; *Κεκροτὶ παῖνε λάγυνε* of Anth. Pal. V. 134); *eripe te morae* (III. 29, 5), where *morae* is 'Procrastination.' Note also the personification of *speculum* 'mirror,' used as a *dativus indicantis* (see my note on *Mo.* 251, 645). We must not be led astray by the error inherent in the word personification, thanks to its derivation. Genetically considered, when our ancestors were in the animistic stage and peopled the world with vital stocks and stones, all nouns were proper names, a fact possibly to be reckoned with in the spread of the dative to its use as a case of general goal (§ 18). The personification of the rhetoricians is rather a repersonification. An example like *deus absceidit | terras Oceano dissociabili* (Horace, *Carm.* I. 3, 21) might be of the early type, while the *Amphorae* and *Morae* examples are certainly rhetorical.

7. I share, however, with the inventor of the name 'dative of separation'

¹ The illustration might also be used to show how *mutat* is sometimes 'gives' and sometimes 'gets,' sometimes 'sells' and sometimes 'buys'; and incidentally to show how Eng. *sells* 'delivers' is etymologically to be correlated

with *ἐλεῖν* 'to take.' The root developed as a description of an act of barter, wherein give-and-take is a reciprocal act. Observe how French *vendre* (from Lat. *reddere*) 'to deliver' has picked up an *n* from *prendre* (= Lat. *prendere*) 'to take.'

the fee
indirect
the 18
'dative
the usa
of the
and G
tion.
the ven
though
notion
I leave
of coll
reading

au
5, 15, a
squam
tunicas
we mus
is in ca
tum eri
uitam (P
(Plautu
omnem
manicas
rapiisse
fascisque
(ib. 128
cruento
habe (O
I, No. 8
9, 8);
(sc. Nar

8.
has any
are mor
given a
vulgaris
the com
of idiom

¹ Two
Latinum
Person lo
Basis Lat
glad to k
not earlie
view.

the feeling that it is convenient to have a specific name for this aspect of the indirect object, and I introduced in my edition of the *Mostellaria* (ad v. 235) the name of 'dative of the loser,'¹ while I term the opposite aspect the 'dative of the receiver.' I hope to show that my name is more suitable to the usage than the name I am here criticizing. The grammars—I still speak of the American school grammars, including works of the great range of Lane and Gildersleeve-Lodge—gives no adequate idea of the reach of this construction. Almost without exception they suppose that the fact of composition in the verb has some controlling influence on the case regimen. Even Lodge, though he knows better, makes concessions to the idea (§ 347, R. 5). This notion should disappear before the following collection of examples, in which I leave a few compound verbs. My collection was not made with the object of collecting, but contains only instances noted in the course of incidental reading. The examples are:

auferre:—hoc studium *mihi* . . mors abstulit (Catullus 68, 19; cf. 101, 5; 5, 15, and Friedrich's note); *qui tulit* (i.e. *abstulit*, sc. *aethera*, cf. Riese) *Archemoro* squameus anguis erat (Anth. Lat. I,² p. 107); <uannus> crassasque aufert *paleae* tunicas cortices (Varro, *Menip.* 578^b: *paleae* can hardly be genitive, though as dative we must recognize a hypallage and an approach to personification). **capere**:—ut *is* in cauea pignus capiantur togae (Plautus, *Am.* 68). **clepere**:—*cui* <quid> cleptum erit (Livy XXII. 10, 5, in an old formula).² **dirimere**:—hodie *tibi* dirimam uitam (*Test. Porc.*). **leuare**:—*uirgini* pauperulae . . me leuare paupertatem! (Plautus, *Ep.* 535); leuandum morbum *mulieri* uideo (ib. *Mi.* 1272); *his* leuabat omnem uolnerum metum nobilitas mortis et gloria (Cicero, *Tusc.* II. 59); *uiro* . . manicas . . leuari iubet (Virgil, *Aen.* II. 145). **rapere**: quom *Incuboni* pilleum rapuisset (Petronius 38, 8); *mercennario* Eumolpi nouaculum rapit (ib. 94, 12); fascisque pudet rapuisse *Catoni* (ib. 109, 46); rapuit deinde *tacenti* speculum (ib. 128, 4); et regem *uobis* pugna defendite raptum (*Aen.* XI. 156); montisque cruento | *uictori* rapuere suas (Lucan II. 156); sceptrum gener *socero* rapta Superbus habet (Ovid, *Fast.* VI. 600); ne *sibi* Gallorum raperetur pompa triumphi (Anth. Lat. I, No. 847). **tollere**:—ad tollendum *reipublicae* consulare imperium (Livy III. 9, 8); tollet nulla dies hanc *tibi* Roma notam (Propertius III. 11, 36); *cui* (sc. Narcisso) si tollis aquas, non est ubi saeuat ignis (Anth. Lat. I², No. 219).

8. These examples are sufficient to dispel the idea that this Latin usage has any dependence whatever upon the composition of the verbs. True, we are more familiar with *adimere*, *detrahere*, *eripere*³ than with the simple verbs given above, but the examples with *capere*, *clepere*, *leuare*, *rapere* (in the sermo vulgaris of Petronius) are at least as cogent. Suppose that in formal language the compound verbs are more frequent, it is a matter of stylistic adaptation or of idiom. It may be a question of the original meaning of the verbs of taking

¹ Two years earlier Arnold in his *Forum Latinum* (1900) used the term 'Dative of the Person losing,' for which he substituted in his *Basis Latina* (1908) 'Person Deprived.' I am glad to know that, quite as early as myself, if not earlier, he had reached the same point of view.

² Cf. *anulos* . . . *amicae* tuae inuolasti, Petronius, 58, 10.

³ The wide range of these verbs may be indicated by noting *absterrere alicui* (Lucretius IV. 1064, 1233), *abstinere alicui* (Livy I. 1, 1); cf. Lucretius II. 1003, coetum dissipat *ollis* 'scatters coherence from them'; IV. 378, *nigrasque sibi* abluit umbras.

away, many of which owe this sense to composition. We say in English 'to wrest a sword from some one,' but not 'to seize it from him.' We can say 'to take a sword from one,' but we probably more often say 'to take it away from him.' Delicate points of signification and rhythm lurk here, often beyond the plummet of any grammatical analysis. If we may judge from Petronius's usage (*rapere*), the dative with the simple verb may have characterized vulgar, or perhaps better, informal speech.

9. The analysis of the verb *adimere*, which I have never known anyone to make before, ought to take from any of us the notion that the dative of the loser therewith depends in some mystical way on the *ad-*, for the truth is that *ad-* is absolutely without relation to the indirect object, the person concerned, but is directed entirely upon the subject.¹ This makes of *adimit* a virtual middle or reflexive, a somewhat more vigorous *δέχεται*, as it were. It is instructive to note that the *alicui aliquid* construction is found with *δέχεται* also, e.g. in Homeric *Θέμιστι δὲ καλλιπαρῆφ' | δέκτο δέπας* 'from Themis, the fair-cheeked, she took the cup' [Butcher and Lang also render by 'took'].

10. The dative of the loser seems to me so important a factor in the classification of the dative case that the first example to be cited by every grammar for the usage as indirect object ought inevitably to be of the type of Plautus, *Cap.* 1027—*ut istas compedis | tibi adimam, huic dem*, cf. also *qui tibi me dederat, idem et ademit: Amor* (Anth. Lat. I², No. 451, 6). This example warrants the immediate subdivision of the indirect object into a receiver and a loser, and prompts the teacher to explain the doctrine of the grammatical identity of opposites.

11. It is the shortest of steps to advance from the receiver to the person benefited, and from the loser to the person injured, not losing sight, the while, of the relativity of loss and gain. On the way to the *datiuus commodi et incommodi* numerous examples will gain in clearness—at least they have for me in my constant effort to illustrate syntax by translation—if conceived as instances of the dative of the loser; e.g.:

tibi . . . linguam abscidam (*Am.* 556), *paedagogo tabulā disrumpit caput* (*Bacch.* 440), *misellae | ignes . . . edunt medullam* (*Cat.* 35, 14), *illi . . . dentes exciderunt* (*Mo.* 541), *quo i periit pudor*² (*Bacch.* 488) *perierunt credita menti* (*Cat.* 76, 9, ἀπὸ κοινοῦ), *salinum seruo opsignant cum sale* (*Persa.* 267), *ne tibi ieiuno lumina tentet amor* (*Anth. Lat.* I², No. 154, 4).

to wind up the list with a very general dative of disadvantage, which may rather designate the receiver. The stock example, *quoties iam tibi extorta est sica ista de manibus*, adds to the dative of loser *de manibus*, just as, in the example to be used presently, *mihi in mentem uenit, in mentem* is a second goal of motion after *mihi*. I will further add the confession that the name 'dative of loser' helps me to realize more clearly and concretely the rôle of the dative in such

¹ Here note the contrast between *sustinet* and our *upholds*: *su(b)s-* belongs more particularly to the subject, and *up-* to the object.

² This example aids me to comprehend

Lucretius III. 129, *uentus uitalis . . . nobis moribundos deserit artus*, as a sort of loose construction which may be rendered 'the breath of life, fails us—our dying members.'

exampl
Aen. X.

12.

an indi
it to be
case of
definite
translat
caught

13.

the fact
several
and Bru
category
truest in
Delbrück
dem der

14.

nature;
a high
dative t
further
point of
project
converge
Grundbeg
begriff is
thencefo
not to be
my coura
of the s
glottogon
conceive
there is n
ever isol
dissuade
back to a
as a 'tov
Delbrück
account

¹ The op
ing *i-* gives
(therefore
diphthong o

examples as *crux alicui fuit* (cf. *quae [uerba] tuto tibi magna uolant*, *Aen.* XI. 381).

12. I have assumed in the two last paragraphs that the dative started as an indirect object, and if this is not the only possible starting-point I believe it to be the correct one. By a happy accident the Latin name is *dativus*, the case of the *donatus*, of the 'givee,' to use a term of legal cant, uncouth, but definite, explicit, unmistakable. We need not discount *dativus* as being a mere translation of the Greek name, ἡ δοτική, for Pāṇini also, over in India, has caught the same point in his *saṁ-pra-dānam*.

13. The name *dativus* seems to me in absolute and inevitable accord with the facts gained from the parallel study of the syntax of the dative in the several members of the Indo-European group; see Delbrück in the *Grundriss* and Brugmann in his synopsis thereof (*Kvg.*). They set down as their first category the dative with verbs of giving. Nor, in my opinion, is the best and truest inference from the facts of usage any abstraction like Gaedicke's (ap. Delbrück, *Gr.* III.¹ § 68) : <Es> trat in dem Dativ derjenige Substantivbegriff, dem der Verbalbegriff galt oder nachdem er sich *hinneigte*.

14. Comparative syntax rarely leads us further than to statements of this nature; such and such a usage (of the dative, say) was certainly (better, with a high degree of probability) proethnic. As for the glottogonic localistic dative theory of which Delbrück avows his repentance, it never involved a further leap into the dark than the explanation, accepted by him, from the point of view of *die geistige Auffassung*. In either mode of explanation we project lines back through the dark, past the reach of the light of usage, to converge on a focus we thereafter regard as the point of origin and dub a *Grundbegriff*; and, after getting our point named, forget that the *Grundbegriff* is an *a posteriori* of our own devising, and unconsciously interpret it thenceforth as a source, an *a priori* belonging to the nature of things. I try not to be frightened by names, and I have never said charms nor whistled up my courage against the glottogonic goblin lest I be counted out of the number of the servants of the linguistics. I can at this moment call to mind no glottogonic explanation to which I attach final and absolute value, but I can conceive of such explanations coming into general acceptance. But certainly there is no evidence to prove that an actual portion of the dative suffix was ever isolated and had actual local meaning¹: which need not, in a free world, dissuade supporters of the localistic theory from projecting facts of usage back to a starting-point in a 'direction of motion,' from interpreting the dative as a 'towards' case. This they will continue to do, in spite of the force of Delbrück's counter-argument that one does not, from this starting-point, account so well for the dative as a person case. To me Delbrück's reason

¹ The optional omission of the locative ending *i-* gives it much the look of being a separate (therefore separable) deictic particle. In the diphthong of the personal dative ending we may

be reckoning with an element originally emotional. [The diphthongizing effect of emotion on vowels in Sicily (see Schneegans ap. Wechsler, *Lautges.*, p. 181 fn. 2) is no assumption.]

is convincing, while Deecke's¹ localistic theory (in his *Latein. Grammatik*) fails to account adequately for the usage of the indirect object.

15. Notwithstanding his recognition that the dative is, in all probability, a person case, Delbrück seems not to have made the most of that fact. True, it is for this reason, and because the terminal dative, save where the terminus is a person, is not Vedic, that he forsakes the localistic explanation; but he nowhere asks himself with what personal relation the dative started. Perhaps because the answer to this question is, at the best, but a guess. But the guess may be true; and whether genetically true or false, true for the teacher and true for the student if it greatly advantages the classification of the facts of usage. I do not aspire to the abstraction of a *Grundbegriff*, but I think I may be in the range of fact, when I somewhat arbitrarily conclude that the dative, in its primary function, designated the 'givee' (the recipient, receiver), shortly extended to the opposite aspect as the designation of the 'takee' (the loser). This amounts to little more than saying that the dative is the (person) case with '*dare*.'

16. As Delbrück's position and the comparative treatment of syntactical problems is before us, let me state in passing my impression that, as regards the case relations, at least, we are brought, by long parallel statements of the usage of the cognate languages, not appreciably nearer to the ultimate origin of case constructions. As for the dative, the Latin usage is substantially identical with the usages of the cognate tongues, and so fully representative that, broadly speaking, the Latin dative presents a true picture of the pro-ethnic dative at the time of the upbreking of the primitive stock. Accordingly, if, in making a classification of the Latin dative, we hit upon a starting-point adequate to the explanation of Latin usage, that starting-point may well prove adequate to the explanation of the dative in general.

17. I would now seek to advance my classification from the dative of the givee to the dative of the (personal) goal. The examples I would choose to illustrate this shift would be of the phrase 'to send a present to some one,' as, for instance, in Plautus, *Mil.* 710, 713,

eos pro liberis habebo qui mihi mittunt munera:
ille miserrimum se retur minimum qui misit mihi,²

though *ad me mittere* is the commoner turn in Plautus. If it were *ad me* that we were analyzing we should not fail to note how *ad me* has come to be the equivalent of *meam domum*, and it is hardly more than accident that *mihi* did not also reach this localistic interpretation, out-right, of the (non-personal) goal of motion. Just as *mihi* is the (personal) goal of motion with *mittere*, so I interpret it in the phrase *mihi in mentem uenit*, where *mihi* and *in mentem* are both goals of motion. Similarly in *ad te Romam ueniam* we have two goals of motion. Nor is there any reason not to apply the

¹ I mention Deecke, *honoris causa*, as the representative of scholars before and since who champion the localistic theory.

² Note also on Pompeian amphorae the dative of the consignee (Man-Kelsey's *Pompeii*², p. 507).

same e
menti
alicu
goal of

18.

the dat
tion to
libation
think o
upon th
(cf. II.
Kpovion

debi
cinevi
No. 409,
aris (II

wherein
terminal

19.

with the

mult
te Mor
older in

(Aen. II.
in it ca

20.

of the bo

bracc
comae
miser oscu

21.

on-locati
humi an
do not d
became i
replaces
shows th

22.

and then
the on-lo

¹ Structu
AJP. 31, p
valid for §
² With r

same explanation to *illi in oculos inuolare* (Terence). It were well besides to mention here that *mittere* may also mean 'to fling, hurl, throw,' and in *alicui hastam mittere*, *alicui* is still the receiver, as well as the personal goal of motion: cf. *vulnera fert uiris* (Tib. I. 1. 76).

18. Out of the dative as a personal goal of motion developed the use of the dative as a general terminal case (locatival), whence its gradual assimilation to the locative (as in the infinitive, see § 22). Here I think of sacrifice, libation, song, directed to the Sky and Earth, to Fire, and to the hearth. I think of the Vedic libation to Agni, poured into the fire, of the wine poured upon the hearth in honor of *Ἑστία* (cf. hym. Hom. 29. 6), or upon the earth (cf. *Il.* 7, 480, *οἶνον . . χαμάδης χέον . . Κρονίωνι*, where *Γαίῃ* might replace *Κρονίωνι*). Latin examples are:

debita reddet . . focis (Tib. IV. 2, 24), *reddite corpus humo* (Prop. III. 3, 7, 25), *cineri quae dedat odores* (Tib. I. 1, 76), *cineri | munera mitte meo* (Anth. Lat. I², No. 409, 2); cf. *proelia seuit humo* (Prop. III. 11, 10) and *tuis humiles aduoluumur aris* (III. 17, 1),

wherein, as in *iam satis terris niviis . . misit*, the dative is an almost colourless terminal.

19. We may also get good results by starting with *mittere*, etc., construed with the dative of a person-place, but here *mittere* is only *dare* writ large:

multos Danaum demittinus Orco (Aen. II. 398), *mittis leto* (Accius: better were *te Morti misero*, Cap. 691; cf. Hor. S. II. 3, 197, *mille onium insanus Morti dedit*, older in type than *Amph.* 809 *haec me . . . ad Mortem dedit*, *quem . . . demisere neci*¹ (Aen. II. 85), *Romanis de muro² manus tendebant* (Caesar)—but almost pure goal in *it caelo clamor* (Virgil).

20. The following—shall I call it synecdochic—group of examples (parts of the body) is also instructive:

brachia . . . cervici . . . dabat (Hor. C. III., 9, 2), *seu dederat sanctae spicea sertae comae* (Tib. I. 10, 22), *perfusa unguenta capillis* (Prop. II. 4, 5), *foribus miser oscula figit* (Lucr. IV. 1179).

21. For the approximation of the terminal dative (or to-locative) to the on-locative a single pair of contrasting examples will suffice, viz: *sua iecit humi arma* (Ovid), confronted with *nudus humi iacet* (Lucretius). I, for one, do not doubt that *humi* = *χαμ-αι* was originally a dative (to-locative), but that it became in Latin an on-locative. So in English 'falls on the ground' sometimes replaces 'falls to the ground.' Cf. *mihi in mentem est* (Bacch. 161) which shows the influence of *in mentem uenit* on *in mente (animo) est* (Petronius).

22. Against the postulated development from the givee to the personal and thence the general goal (locatival, or to-locative), which further tended to the on-locative, the localizers might plead that the divine person-places—let

¹ Structurally *neci* might be an infinitive, [see AJP. 31, p. 408, § 17, a], and the example is valid for § 23, below.

² With relations reversed, *Romanis ad muros*

manus tendebant is normal Latin, but I take it that *ad muros* is an ethnic replacement (see § 28) of a proethnic type of goal dative still preserved in *muris adequitare* (§§ 27, 29).

us take Zeus: *Diuus* (*sub diuo*) for a type—were places before they were persons. Here grammatical arguments will not lie, but a strong point is made when it is urged that the infinitive is a dative or, as I prefer to call it, a locative, and expresses the goal of motion (§ 27). In the analysis of the forms we must not underrate the fact that Greek has dative infinitives in *-μεναι* beside the locatives in *-μεν*, while in Latin the dative (in *-i*) is allocated to the passive, and the locative (in *-si* > *-re*) to the active. The sparse representation of the locative in Sanskrit has over-persuaded Delbrück, who unduly minimizes the rôle of the locative in the proethnic type (IV. § 148), and declares that the locative has been towed in the wake of the dative—to which we may just as well add, ‘or conversely.’ The convergence of the locative toward the locative (§ 21) would certainly have provoked the converse charge. So in Sanskrit the *on* or *in* locative has become a *to* locative, cf. the phenomena attested in Greek *ἐν*(*eis*, Lat. *in* c. abl.)(c. accus. In modern English *there* and *where* (locatives) have been ousting *thither* and *whither* (terminals). For one of the Latin dative idioms called ‘of purpose,’ *mihi cordi est*, English turns like ‘is *on* my heart,’ ‘has *at* heart’ suggest a locative as the original conception (replaced subsequently by a locative=dative), while ‘lays *to* heart,’ ‘takes *to* heart’ attest the possibility of a dative original. In truth, so wide is the border of debatable territory between the *to* and *on* locatives that one might despair of establishing any line of demarcation.

23. But we can clearly pass from the givce to the terminal and purpose infinitive as is shown in the following example, *hominem... pistori dabo* (*Epid.* 121). Here *pistori* does not appreciably differ from *in pistrinum* in the following passage, *te in pistrinum scis actutum tradier* (*Most.* 17), and *pinere* would yield much the same sense (cf. colloquial *da biber*¹). The example of *pistori* (dat.) throws light on the origin of the Vedic infinitives *dhartāri* ‘sustinere,’ *vidhartāri* ‘donare,’ locatives of the agent stem in *-tar-* (= Lat. *-tor-*). We may make this analysis more real, perhaps, by extending our illustration to verbs of motion, and, as the Latin infinitive has become a mere formula. I will take Vedic examples from Whitney’s list (*Gram.* § 982); *tān ūpa yāta pībadhyai—eos* (sc. *quasi haustus*) *ad uenite bibere*. Here we may realize that *pībadhyai* is a non-personal goal of motion by bethinking ourselves of turns like ‘he went to a candy-pulling,²—a corn-husking,—a log-rolling,—a speaking,—the hunt.’ In all these cases (assuming for the fourth instance an habitual speaker) it is an almost inevitable inference that the subject, the goer, went to do a part in the activity designated; but his purpose is merely an inference from the context, not a part of the inherent content of the ‘to.’ So, if we had in Latin *mihi praesidio uenit*, as we do have *mihi auxilio uenit*, we

¹ Also cf. the Homeric infinitive of purpose with *διδωμι*. Vedic examples show *dhā-* ‘facere,’ rather than *dā-* ‘dare.’ Proethnic usage with the root of *dare* is beyond question. Note how the examples of §§ 18-20 reveal the development of the goal-dative from the dative with *dare*.

² This type of noun is as old as the Rig Veda, cf. I. 14, 1, *ā... sōmapitaye yāhi* ‘unto (the) soma-drinking come’; V. 35, 6, *tvām... hūvante vājasātaye* ‘te uocant praedicationi,’ and may be there regarded as infinitival (cf. Arnold, KZ. 37, 431).

might in
meaning
‘strongh
fn. In
In turns
its deve
general
develop
us in lit
Cicero
tempus d
proverb
non omni
24.
spicari’,
use of th
the Lat
Vedic g
the purp

25.
been ma
to the
Sanskrit
veniently
[?fidem]
the dative
Latin, v
alicui
Harpers
gratias a
fer mi a

26.
tives had
verbs of
a ucul
lean (bo
mittere.

27.
¹ In suc
dative by
the subdivi
‘for’ case
but it is far
justified: th
hesitate bet
tation, but

might interpret *mihi* as the personal goal of motion, and *praesidio*, if meaning 'garrison', as conceivably a second personal goal, but if meaning 'stronghold' as a local goal. On the infinitive of purpose with *dare* see § 23, fn. In Latin the dative of purpose became a highly productive construction. In turns like *auxilio venire*, *odio esse*, *uitio uertere* we may still realize its development from action nouns, dative infinitives which have become general to-locatives, while in *mihi cordi esse* there was a somewhat different development (see § 22). In a detailed examination of the turns preserved to us in literature many a special explanation would suggest itself. Thus when Cicero says *philosophiae, Quinte, semper uaco*, *uaco* is shorthand for *tempus dare paratus sum*. In the highly elliptical situation that gave rise to the proverbial *non omnibus dormio* the situation prompts the interpretation *non omnibus accessum dormiendo do*.

24. The following example, *kavīṇr icchāmi saṁdṛṣe* 'poetas cupio conspicari', also lends itself to an interesting interpretation. In view of the Slavic use of the dative with verbs of wishing (cf. Delbrück, *op. cit.*, § 131, end) and the Latin use of *cupere* and *nolle* mentioned above, we might interpret the Vedic group as a condensation of *poetas cupio* and *cupio spectaculo*. Here the purpose infinitive becomes the complementary.

25. In the explanation of the dative with intransitives not enough has been made of the evidence of comparative etymology. I here refer particularly to the usage of *credit*, a verb whose etymology is entirely transparent in Sanskrit, where we find an instance like the following (which may be conveniently turned up in Walde, *Wört.*, s.v. *credo*), *ṣṛād a sma i dhatta* (= *cor* [?] *fidem*) *illi facite*; for the verb cf. Plautine *nomen alicui facere*, wherein the dative is transparently the dative of the receiver. Similar usages occur in Latin, where *opem alicui tulerunt* entirely explains the case-relation in *alicui opitulati sunt*. So Ennius's *tibi gratulor* (further instances in Harpers' Dictionary, s.v. *gratulor* II) is sufficiently explained by the locution *gratias alicui referre*; and *alicui auxiliari*, *subsidiari* are made clear by *fer mi auxilium* and *alicui insidias dare*, *ponere*, *tendere*, etc.¹

26. Let these illustrations suffice to show that the dative with intransitives had, in all probability, its origin in a simple dative of the receiver. For verbs of obeying (yielding) and its opposite, resisting, the analysis of *auscultare* yields interesting results, for *auscultare alicui* means 'to lean (bow > lend) ear to one,' and the dative is as simple as with *dare* or *mittere*.

27. With other intransitives as, for example, *appropinquare* and its

¹ In such collocations we often render the dative by 'for,' and it cannot be questioned that the subdivision of the dative into a 'to' and a 'for' case is convenient for English speakers, but it is far from certain that the subdivision is justified: thus in *mihi mittit munera* we have to hesitate between 'to' and 'for' in our interpretation, but we do not know that in the dative

the Romans differentiated between 'to' and 'for,' at least before the time of grammatical sophistication. The same remark may be made regarding *ad* 'to' and 'for.' In an example like *cape tibi hanc [pallam]*, *Men.* 202, *tibi* is plainly an indirect object from the giver's point of view, while from the taker's point of view *cape tibi* is a 'middle' ('*mihi accipiam*').

opposite, *cedere* (= *uitare*), I interpret the dative as originally one of the (personal) goal of motion. Similar is *adequitare muris* (see § 19, fn., and § 29). Gothic has gone even further and from the dative of 'approximation' developed one of 'contact,' which it uses with the verb *tēkan* 'tangere'; cf. in Latin the dative with *haerere*, *iungere*, *miscere*. We say to pluck *at* and, colloquially, to finger *at*.

28. The rule for the dative with compound verbs has long seemed to me a regular lazy-bed for grammarians; for pupils a very opiate and narcotic to reflection. I feel perfectly safe—even when a scholar like Lejay explains away the locative usage of *terrae* by an appeal to composition (see *Mém. Soc. Ling.* 12, 85 sqq.)—in making the statement that, in the last analysis, no verbal idea represented by any member of this group would, if exhibited out of composition, fail to allow the dative. This is not to assert that the dative is equally germane to all of the fifty verbs, say, that might be adduced for this group. Nor is my conception of syntactical development of that nature. I rather think that if we grant that some half-dozen of this group were innately apt for the dative construction, its further extension was due to the semantic enlargement of the six till they encroached on the meanings of the other dozens and infected them with their syntax. It is thus that Vergil (cf. also CIL. 10, 7457) at last gives to *superare* the construction, as well as the meaning, of *superstitem esse*. And we may trust, I doubt not, to the historical insight of Quintilian, who seems to hint (IX. 3, 1) that the dative with this group was a productive and growing construction. On the other hand, as compound verbs are often more archaic in signification than their simples, witness *adimit*(*emit* and *amputat*)(*putat* (v. AJP. 26, 188), the dative of goal with compounds may be an older construction than the preposition phrases with simple verbs: no preposition phrase of the goal can be proethnic.

29. At any rate, we might submit the group to a little formal classification, say according to the meaning of the simplex. Thus, taking the long and useful alphabetical list Lodge has compiled for the Gildersleeve-Lodge Grammar (§ 347 R. 2), seventeen are verbs of motion and the dative will have started as the (personal) goal of motion; ten are verbs of (physical) inclination (e.g. *accumbere*, *annuere*), contact (*inhaerere*, cf. *miscere* etc. above), touch (*obtingere*); fourteen are compounds of *esse* (*nasci*, *stare*, *sedere*, *sistere*, most of which have become in effect verbs of motion (*aduenit* : *adest* : : *mihi in mentem uenit* : *mihi in mentem est*¹), approach, contact; the three verbs *arridere*, *illudere*, *obstrepere* may all be regarded as extensions, step by step, of *ridere*, but *alicui illudere* may well be explained by *alicui ludos facere* (in *Most.* 427; see my note); as for *assentiri*, *consentire*, *congruere*, the construction may have been imitated from *ait* 'assents' as in *Ru.* 427, *uel tu mi aias* (= say yes, grant) *uel neget*; of the remaining verbs, *inhiare* 'to gape at' may be compared with *cupere* (cf. *cui qui nolunt*, Cic. *Fam.* I. 1, 3), and *inseruire* with *seruire*, while *succrescit* is a variation for *succedit*, and *accedere* is *addi*.

¹ Cf. I have *been* to town in English.

30.
receiver
Cf. also
students
by 'I ha
it to ex
(Horace
Bear';
his boar
cervice p
traitrous
consciou

31.
to the fu
with uid
intransit
itself to
the Lati
tive to δ
ing the c
p. 410).
to my m
giving.
non-mat

32.

33.
jections,
back to t

UNIVE

¹ The
another in
² See

30. That the dative of possessor is an outgrowth from the dative of receiver seems to me clear: *nobis poma sunt data* readily yields *nobis poma sunt*. Cf. also *quo i periit pudor* of the loser (§ 11). As a teacher, I have found students possessed of an almost invincible reluctance against rendering *est mihi* by 'I have,' a trick of translation so necessary for clearness that I often apply it to examples like the following: *nulla porticus priuatis excipiebat Arcton* (Horace, C. II. 15, 13) 'individuals had no [spacious] porches facing the Bear;' *cui paternum splendet in mensa salinum* (ib. II. 16, 12) 'who has on his board the polished salt-dish of his father;' *destrictus ensis cui super impia ceruice pendet* (ib. III. 1, 17) 'who has a drawn sword hanging down o'er his traitrous¹ neck'; *consentive animum nobis in corpore cernis* 'we have in our body a consciously-acting mind, as you see' (Lucr. III. 169).

31. It is more difficult to account for the passage of the dative of the givee to the function named 'datiuus iudicantis.' To explain this function I begin with *uidetur* and not with *est*. Semantically analyzed, *uidetur* seems to me the intransitive to *monstrat*, and *δοκεῖ* the intransitive of *δείκνυσσι*. But *δοκεῖ* lends itself to at least a partial etymological analysis: it looks like an intransitive to the Latin causative-transitive *docet*, and may be, etymologically, an intransitive to *δείκνυσσι*—if we start from a base $\text{D}\ddot{\text{E}}(\text{Y})\ddot{\text{K}}$ -, which is one way of expressing the cognation in diagrammatic form (cf. on this group Pedersen in KZ. 38, p. 410). With *δείκνυσσι* and the verbs of 'showing' in general the dative stands, to my mind, in as simple a functional relation as the dative with the verbs of giving. This dative arose to indicate the 'showee,' the receiver of something non-material.

32. In tabular form my classification of the dative results as follows:

DATIVVS §§ 12-13.

§§ 4, 5, 12 'givee' (receiver)) ('takee' (loser) §§ 4, 5, 7-10.

moralized as 'person benefited') ('person injured' § 11

datiuus commodi (*incommodi*

§§ 25-26, with intransitives

generalized as *datiuus possessiuus* § 30

datiuus iudicantis § 31

localized as § 17 personal goal

§ 18 terminal

§ 21 locatival

§ 22 infinitive of goal

§ 23 „ „ purpose

§ 24 „ „ complement.

§§ 27-29, with compounds.

33. The *datiuus ethicus* (pronominal) and the (Latin) dative with interjections, though admitting of derivation from the *datiuus commodi*, perhaps go back to the infancy of speech, when all our language was a cry.²

EDWIN W. FAY.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS.

¹ The adjective *impia* seems to have been chosen to convey the tyrant's reluctance to behold another in his seat even when put there in derision by himself.

² See note 1 on p. 189.

SUMMARIES OF PERIODICALS.

LITERATURE AND GENERAL.

American Journal of Philology. Vol. 32. No. 1. 1911.

Vahlen's Ennius, Charles Knapp. *The Indo-European Palatals in Sanskrit*, Leonard Bloomfield. *The Introduction of Masks on the Roman Stage*, Catharine Saunders. *K and Π forms in the Early Ionic Poets*, T. Hudson Williams. Reviews. Bywater's *Aristotle on the Art of Poetry*, Mitchell Carroll. Petersen's *Greek Diminutives in -ov* and Bender's *Suffixes -mant and -vant in Sanskrit and Avestan*, Franklin Egerton. Obituary Notice of S. H. Butcher, the Editor.

Atene e Roma. Nos. 143-144. Nov.-Dec., 1910.

Vita romana antica, A. M. Pizzagalli. *Note di letteratura omerica I.*, N. Terzaghi. *Il mantello celeste*, R. Pettazzoric. *Appunte di critica antica*, U. Galli. *L'elegia di Ovidio in morte di Tibullo*, C. Morelli.

Nos. 145-6. Jan.-Feb., 1911.

La Commedia greca nuova, N. Terzaghi. *Notizia di Studi relativi alla vita de Fidìa*, P. Ducati. *Nota di metrica barbara carducciana*, A. Gaudiglio. *A proposito delle versioni paleoslave di testi greci*, G. Ciardi-Dupré. *Il Re Pausania nei Politici di Aristotele*, V. Costanzi. *Dai Dittirambi*, di F. Nietzsche. Notices: *Greek Papyri Brit. Mus.*, Vol. IV.; *Anthologie populaire de la Grèce moderne* (Pernot); *Nuova rappresentanza vascolare del mito di Oreste*, Vittorio Macchioro.

No. 147. Mar., 1911.

Di alcuni scritti recenti sulla questione Ippocratica, Tocco. A review of the works of Littré, Diels, Gomperz, etc. *Le serenate presso i Romani*, Fabbri. An interesting contribution. Camille Sourdillé: (1) *La durée et l'étendue du voyage d'Herodote en Égypte*; (2) *Herodote et la Religion d'Égypte* (E. Pistelli).

Berliner philologische Wochenschrift.

(The works mentioned in this summary are favourably reviewed.)

1911. 7 Jan. *Aristotelis Πολιτεία 'Αθηναίων*, post Fridericum Blass ed. Th. Thalheim (Hude). L. Hindenlang, *Sprachliche Untersuchungen zu Theophrasts botanischen Schriften* (Crönert). *Pausaniae Graeciae descriptio*, with apparatus criticus and German commentary, ed. H. Hitzig and H. Bluemner. Vol. III. in two parts, completing the work. Highly praised, especially for the topographical and archaeological notes (Schenkl). C. Saunders, *Costume in Roman Comedy* (Wessner). 'Useful.'

14 Jan. W. A. Baehrens, *Panegyricorum Latinorum editionis nouae praefatio maior* (Klotz). P. Stengel, *Opferbräuche der Griechen* (L. Ziehen). 'Indispensable'.

H. Knoke
(Teubner)
Menander,

21 Jan.
Quellen d.
Evangelium
and the tv
Programm

28 Jan.
volume o
gelesen h
steckt.'
Catilinari
Bronzeindu
in Latin;

4 Fe
(Raeder).
Gaertring
Préface d

11 Fe
mann). I
M. Heine
H. N. Fe
Highly pr

18 Fe
mentationes

25 Fe
Anonymi d
work; Sch
Geschichte
ιστορία τῆς

4 Mar
(third edit
Eratosther
Geschichte

11 Mar
und Meta
historische
Ciceronische
Pelargikon
selbstständ
aphaeresi (M

18 Mar
(Maas).
einverstand
S. Eusebi
H. von Ar
Kultur der
contributes
Latin. Th
only of fut

H. Knackfuss, *Das Rathaus zu Milet* (Borrmann). *Menandrea*, ed. Alfr. Koerte (Teubner). 'The most complete text of M.; over 1,900 lines.' *Four Plays of Menander*, ed. with notes, etc., by Edward Capps (Ginn) (Hense). 'Useful.'

21 Jan. R. Wünsch, *Die Zauberinnen des Theokrit* (Rannow). B. Weiss, *Die Quellen der synoptischen Überlieferung*. E. Wendling, *Die Entstehung des Marcus-Evangeliums* (Preuschen). R. Friebe, *De Dictyis codice Aesino* (Meister). Note.—This and the two following numbers include a list: *Deutsche Dissertationen und akademische Programme*: 1908-9.

28 Jan. E. Diehl, *Pompejanische Wandinschriften und Verwandtes* (Hosius). A volume of the 'Kleine Texte' edited by Lietzmann. 'Wer die 60 Seiten durchgelesen hat, hat mehr natürliche Menschlichkeit erfahren, als in allen Reden Ciceros steckt.' P. Varese, *Cronologia Romana*. I. (Lenschau). R. Wirtz, *Beiträge zur Catilinarischen Verschwörung* (Bardt). H. Willers, *Neue Untersuchungen über die römische Bronzeindustrie* (Schuchhardt). *Scriptor latinus* (Gustafsson). A monthly magazine in Latin; highly praised.

4 Feb. *Platons Symposion*, erkl. von A. Hug. 3. A. bes. von H. Schöne (Raeder). A. Klotz, *Cäsarstudien* (Meusel). L. Jalabert, *Épigraphie* (Hiller v. Gaertringen). *Miniatures de l'octateuque grec de Smyrne*. Edition phototypique. Préface de D.-C. Hesselring (Gerland).

11 Feb. *Altchristliche Texte* bearb. von C. Schmidt und W. Schubart (Lietzmann). *Procli Diadochi in Platonis Cratylum commentaria*. Ed. G. Pasquali (Diehl). M. Heinemann, *Epistulae amatoriae quomodo cohaereant cum elegiis Alexandrinis* (Jacoby). H. N. Fowler and J. R. Wheeler, *A Handbook of Greek Archaeology* (Blümner). Highly praised.

18 Feb. C. Ritter, *Platon*. I. (Pavlu). Very high praise. M. Sjögren, *Commentationes Tullianae* (Klotz). Fr. Leo, *Der Monolog im Drama* (Schroeder).

25 Feb. E. C. Marchant, *Xenophontis opera*. IV. (Thalheim). R. Schneider, *Anonymi de rebus bellicis liber* (B. A. Müller). Discusses at length the date of this work; Schn. would assign to Middle Ages, reviewer to fourth century. E. Meyer, *Geschichte des Altertums*. I. 2, 2nd edn. (Lenschau). Στ. Α. Ξανθοῦ διδῆς, 'Ἐπίτομος ἱστορία τῆς Κρήτης (Weil).

4 March. Eduard Schwartz, *Charakterköpfe aus der antiken Literatur*. Series I (third edition); Series II including Diogenes and Krates, Epicurus, Theocritus, Eratosthenes, St. Paul (Peter). K. J. Neumann, *Entwicklung und Aufgaben der alten Geschichte* (Bauer).

11 March. K. Wunderer, *Polybios-Forschungen*. III (Blümner). Gleichnisse und Metaphern bei Polybios, nach ihrer sprachlichen, sachlichen und kulturhistorischen Bedeutung bearbeitet. K. Busche, *Beiträge zur Kritik und Erklärung Ciceronischer Schriften* (Ammon). On *Verr.* IV, V and *Caecina*. A. Köster, *Das Pelargikon* (Pfuhl). A long account, with some criticism of K.'s 'durch und durch selbstständige Beobachtungen und Gedanken.' O. Brinkmann, *De copulae est aphaeresi* (Maurenbrecher).

18 March. *Euripidis cantica fragmento Grenfelliano adiecto digessit Otto Schroeder* (Maas). A long detailed criticism. 'Grundsätzlich bin ich mit dem meisten einverstanden, wenigstens insofern, als ich nichts Besseres vorzutragen weiss.' S. Eusebii Hieronymi Opera. I 1: *Epistularum*, p. 1. Rec. I. Hilberg (Tolkiehn). H. von Arnim, *Die europäische Philosophie des Altertums* (=griechische Philosophie). Kultur der Gegenwart, T. I, Abt. V. S. 115-287 (Schmekel). J. H. Schmalz contributes a paper on the use of the fut: ptcple. act. in early and in classical Latin. There is no example of the attributive use in early Latin; in classical Latin only of *futurus* (and once of *uenturus*). Of the predicative use with other verbs than

sum (expressed or understood) the only example in early Latin is *prodeunt dissuasuri* in C. Gracchus (Gellius XI 10, 4). Here *prodire* is used in a special 'forensic' sense, and is almost equivalent to *esse*. The same is true of *adesse* in Cicero's *adest laturus* (*Verr.* I 56). In *Att.* VIII 9 *quid agenti? quid acturo?* Cicero is imitating the Greek construction. The participle is used more freely by Sallust and Asinius Pollio (who has the first example of the abl. abs.), in the bell. Afr. and the letters of Brutus, and so the way is prepared for Livy. S. Reinach, *Répertoire de Reliefs Grecs et Romains*. I (Sauer). 'A useful reference book.' A. Frickenhaus, *Griechische Vasen aus Emporion* (Brueckner).

25 March. *Herakleitos von Ephesos, griechisch u. deutsch von H. Diels*. 2 A. (Lortzing). Ph. E. Legrand, *Daos. Tableau de la comédie grecque pendant la période dite nouvelle* (Süss). High praise.

1 Apr. M. Pohlenz, *Vom Zorn Gottes*. Eine Studie über den Einfluss der griechischen Philosophie auf das alte Christentum (Capelle). A. Struck, *Makedonische Fahrten*. II (Gerland). G. Rodenwaldt, *Die Komposition der pompejanischen Wandgemälde* (Rostowzew), 'im ganzen scharfsinnig förderlich und nützlich.'

8 Apr. C. Brück, *De Ovidio scholasticarum declamationum imitatore* (Atzert). W. S. Teuffel, *Geschichte der römischen Literatur*. Sechste Auflage neu bearbeitet von W. Kroll u. F. Skutsch. Volume II from 31 B.C. to 96 A.D. (Hosius). 'Überall zeigt sich die bessernde Hand.' 'Die Philologen können sich freuen, neben dem grossen, alles ausführlich darstellenden Schatz auch dieses nicht versagende Kursbuch zu besitzen.'

15 Apr. C. Rothe, *Die Ilias als Dichtung* (Hennings). Very high praise. G. Herbig, *Tituli Faleriorum ueterum, linguis Falisca et Etrusca conscripti* (Jacobsohn). R. Klusmann, *Bibliotheca scriptorum classicorum*. I, 2 (Fuhr). C. Reinhardt, *De Graecorum theologia capita duo* (Gruppe). Ch. J. Ogden, *De infinitiui finalis uel consecutiui constructione apud priscos poetas Graecos* (Eberhard). E. A. Sonnenschein, *The Unity of the Latin Subjunctive: A Quest* (Lattmann). Praises highly, but disagrees with the main contention; full discussion.

29 Apr. E. Arndt, *Das Verhältnis der Verstandeserkenntnis zur sinnlichen in der vorsokratischen Philosophie* (Lortzing). Fr. Merbach, *De Epicuri Canonica* (Brieger). H. Ahlquist, *Studien zur spätlateinischen Mulomedicina Chironis* (Schmalz). The review gives valuable information (supplementing Schmalz' Syntax⁴) on the popular language of the fourth and fifth centuries. R. Beer, *Monumenta palaeographica Vindobonensia*. Lief. 1 (Weinberger). A. Deissmann, *Die Urgeschichte des Christentums im Lichte der Sprachforschung* (Eb. Nestle). A. Kannengiesser, *Ist das Etruskische eine hettitische Sprache?* I. Über das *vθ*-Suffix im Etruskischen und im Griechischen (K. Fr. W. Schmidt).

6 May. J. E. Harry, *Studies in Euripides. Hippolytus* (Mekler). Much praised. 'A valuable supplement to the commentary of Wilamowitz.' C. Ritter, *Neue Untersuchungen über Platon* (Pavlu). An appreciative sketch of the contents. O. Crusius, *Paroemiographica, Textgeschichtliches* (Cohn). *Griechische Papyri im Museum des Oberhessischen Geschichtsvereins zu Giessen*, hrsg. von E. Kornemann und P. M. Meyer. I, 1. 2 (Viereck). *T. Livi ab u. c. libri*. W. Weissenborns erklärende Ausgabe, neu bearb. von H. J. Müller. IX, 1. 2. 3. A. *T. Livi ab u. c. libri*. Ed. alt. quam curavit G. Heraeus. V. 1 (Zingerle). The new edition of the commentary (Bks. 39-42), especially the historical notes, praised. Heraeus takes up the work of the late Moritz Müller with Bks. 39-40. The Praefatio critica (16 pp.) praised. The reviewer, Anton Zingerle, died Dec. 1910. M. Radin, *The Legislation of the Greek and Romans on Corporations* (Thalheim).

13 May. *Pseudo-Augustini Quaestiones ueteris et noui testamenti*. Rec. A. Souter. H. v. Soden, *Das lateinische Neue Testament in Afrika zur Zeit Cyprians*. Ch. U. Clark, *Some Itala Fragments in Verona* (Weyman).

20 May. I. Ehlert, *De uerborum copia Thucydidea quaestiones selectae* (Hude). E. Preuschen, *Vollständiges griechisch-deutsches Handwörterbuch zu den Schriften des Neuen Testaments und der übrigen urchristlichen Literatur*. Lief. 4-7 (Helbing). 'The work is now complete. Useful in spite of some defects.' A. Schoenemann, *De Taciti Germaniae codicibus capita duo* (Wünsch). E. Müller, *De Graecorum deorum partibus tragicis* (Wecklein). K. Brugmann, *Der Gymnasialunterricht in den beiden klassischen Sprachen und die Sprachwissenschaft* (Niedermann). The reviewer urges the need 'dem Schüler nicht bloss Tatsachen zu vermitteln, sondern vor allen Dingen auch die Fähigkeit, diese Tatsachen zu beurteilen, ihre historischen und logischen Zusammenhänge, ihre physiologischen und psychologischen Ursachen zu verstehen.'

27 May. L. E. Lord, *Literary criticism of Euripides in the earlier scholia and the relation of this criticism to Aristotle's poetics and to Aristophanes* (Wecklein). 'Deserves notice.' L. Robin, *La théorie platonicienne de l'amour* (Schneider). 'Sehr beachtenswert.' E. Zeller's *Kleine Schriften* hrsg. v. O. Leuze. I. (Lortzing). Includes many valuable papers on Greek philosophy. J. W. H. Walden, *The universities of ancient Greece* (Blümner). 'Very welcome.' B. Schrader, *Die römische Campagna* (A. Curtius). This number includes a list (to be continued): *Deutsche Dissertationen und akademische Programme: 1909-10.*

Breslauer philologische Abhandlungen. XLII. 1910.

G. Pietoch, *De Choricio Patrocli declamationis auctore*. Although nearly all the MSS. (which are of fourteenth or fifteenth century) name Libanius as the author, the *Florilegium* of Johannes Georgides (not later than tenth century) quotes eight *sententiae* from the *Patroclus* as written by Choricus. Also the dialectic *πρὸς τὸν μεμψόμενον* (included by Matritensis N. 101 amongst works of Choricus) mentions a *Patroclus* written by the author, and its argument seems to agree with that of our *Patroclus*. As regards internal evidence, the work contains words, forms, and constructions frequent in Choricus, but rarely if ever found in Libanius: the evidence of the *clausulae* also favours Choricus. The declamation came to be assigned to Libanius because it was in fact planned as a continuation to Libanius' *Ἀχαιῶς ἀντιλογία* and written (like other works of Choricus) in imitation of Libanius.

Classical Philology. Vol. 6. No. 2. 1911.

Satura—the Genesis of a Literary Form, G. L. Hendrickson. *The Early Gold and Silver Manuscripts*, John M. Burnam. *Two Linguistic Tests of the Relative Antiquity of the Iliad and the Odyssey*, John A. Scott. *The De Compositione of Dionysius of Halicarnassus considered with reference to the Rhetoric of Aristotle*, H. P. Breitenbach. *Roman Prayer and its relation to Ethics*, Gordon J. Laing. *Studies in Greek Noun-Formation—Labial Terminations*, E. H. Sturtevant. Notes and Discussions. *Solon's Trochaics to Phokos*, Paul Shorey. *On a new Argive Inscription*, Carl D. Buck. *Latin ss instead of intervocalic r*, E. H. Sturtevant. Reviews.

Deutsche Literaturzeitung. 1911.

Mar. 25. G. Murray, *Euripidis Fabulae*, III. 'Conservative, but not servile' (Th. O. Achelis).

April 1. W. Geiger, *Elementarbuch des Sanskrit*. 'Has many advantages over other manuals' (M. Winternitz). E. Nachmanson, *Beiträge zur Kenntniss der altgriechischen Volkssprache* (M. Niedermann).

April 8. A. Shewan, *The Lay of Dolon*. 'A model of careful well-balanced method' (C. Rothe). Hans Lömer, *Römische Kultur im Bilde*. 'Extraordinarily good illustrations' (Fr. Pfister).

April 22. H. Brewer, *Die Frage um das Zeitalter Kommodians* (M. Manitius). H. F. Hitzig, *Die Herkunft des Schwurgerichtes im römischen Strafprozess* (P. Koschaker).

A suggestion that the Roman *quaestio perpetua* was based, not upon the *iudicium publicum* (as Mommsen believed), but on Greek models.

April 29. †H. Osthoff und K. Brugmann, *Morphologische Untersuchungen*. VI. Teil (A. Debrunner). Chiefly posthumous articles of importance by Osthoff. Otto Crusius, *Paroemiographica* (B. A. Müller). E. Löwy, *Die griechische Plastik* (Fr. Hauser). L. Friedländer, *Sittengeschichte Roms*, 8 Aufl. (O. Seeck). 'An old book which becomes new in each edition.'

May 6. W. Tatarkiewicz, *Die Dispositionen der Aristotelischen Prinzipien* (W. Nestle). 'An able examination of A.'s fundamental principles.' J. Menrad, *Der Urmithus der Odyssee* (E. Bethe). 'An unhappy revival of the solar-myth theory.'

May 13. Otto Rossbach, *T. Livi periochae* (A. M. A. Schmidt).

May 20. A. Leissner, *Die Platonische Lehre von den Seelentheilen* (N. Hartmann). 'Plato starts from the unity of the soul and proceeds to its division, till in his latest dialogues there is hardly a trace of the unity.' W. Wundt, *Kleine Schriften* (A. Messer). H. C. Lipscomb, *Aspects of the Speech in the later Roman Epic* (E. Bickel). 'In the later Epic speeches become fewer, longer, and more declamatory.'

May 27. Frz. Sandgathe, *Die Wahrheit der Kriterien Epikurs* (A. Schmekel). 'Valuable discussion of the φανταστική ἐπιβολὴ τῆς διανοίας and of πρόληψις.' A. Marty, *Zur Sprachphilosophie: die 'logische,' 'lokalistische' und andere Kasustheorien* (E. Utitz). 'Prefers the old division to that of Wundt, but holds that it is not deep enough. A book to which everyone interested in these questions must attend.' A. Meillet, *Einführung in die vergleichende Grammatik* (E. Hermann). 'A Frenchman understands how to make even a dull subject clear and interesting.' P. Varese, *Cronologia romana*, and O. Leuze, *Die römische Jahrzahlung* (G. Sigwart). 'Roman chronology is at last placed on a firm basis.'

June 3. H. Schöne, *Galenus de partibus artis medicativae* (W. Schonack). E. Martini, *Grundriss der Geschichte der römischen Literatur*. I. Teil (E. Bickel). 'Catullus is the most happily characterized.'

Göttingische gelehrte Anzeigen. 172. XII. 1910.

Gerhart Rodenwaldt, *Die Komposition der pompeianischen Wandgemälde* (Ernst Pfuhl). R. analyses 'Campanian and Roman paintings from 100 B.C. to 100 A.D.,' and tries to determine hence when and how far Greek painting attained to a proper 'Darstellung des Raumes.' R. wrong in assigning solution of the problem to the 'Wirklichkeitsinn' of the Romans, and denying it to the 'Illusionsfähigkeit' of the Greeks. That the Greeks had *solved*, not merely evaded, the problem is proved *inter alia* by Niobe group, stele from Pagasae, and Alexander mosaic. Χρήστος Τσουντας, *Αἱ προϊστορικαὶ ἀκροπόλεις Διμηνίων καὶ Σέσκλον* (Ernst Pfuhl). An account of Staïs and Tsuntas' discoveries (1901-2) in Thessaly at Dimini and Sesklo.

173. II. 1911.

S. Sudhaus, *Der Aufbau der plautinischen Cantica* (Fri. Leo), an exhaustive refutation of S.'s stanza (Stollen) theory. The true structure is according to metrical periods (ἀναβολαί). L. R. Farnell, *The Cults of the Greek States* (Ludwig Ziehen), very favourable on the whole. F. is unnecessarily averse to the Sondergötter theory. C. M. Clark, *Ammiani Marcellini Libri*, XIV.-XXV. (Fri. Leo), very favourable. Charles Plésent, *Le Culex, Étude sur l'Alexandrinisme latin*—Ders, *Le Culex, Édition crit. et explic.* (Fri. Leo). P. ignorant of Vollmer's work on the *Culex*, and supplies nothing new in text or commentary.

Mnemosyne. 39. 2. 1911.

P. H. Damsté, *Notulae criticae ad Silium Italicum* (from vol. 38): books 9-12. P. H. D., *Ad mensas paniceas*: for Virgil's tale (*Aen.* 3. 255 sqq.) cf. Kinglake's

Eothen,
Sen. ep.
M 276.
of E. St
on the
Terence
Ad scrip
tinued).
Alexand
faviscente.
922-935.

Mus

L'hi
Apologetic
Callimaque
Un tourna
favourabl
and of Me
Th. Sima
XV
Chris
sur une in
chameur
genus,' J.

Neue

E. Pl
notes, of t
'the last
review of

27. 4.

R. Bü
topography
connects th
he finds t
geological
the connex
μέσον στάς,
καθέξερ'.
Termeste,
Reviews o
Münchner a
of G. A. Ge
belge à l'Épo

Philolo

Fr. Zu
the signific
to Mitteis'
J. Baunack
tion as γε
NO. III.

Eothen, ed. 1905, p. xxx. I. van Wageningen, *Varia*: on Cic. *n. d. i.* §§ 25, 26, 80, Sen. *ep.* ii. 2, iv. 3, xv. 4, 6-7, Persius i. 67. J. v. L., *Ad Iliadis scholia A*: A 189, M 276. H. T. Karsten, *Donatiana et Terentiana*, mostly a long criticism of the view of E. Struck that parts of the Donatian commentary were drawn from Greek scholia on the corresponding plays of Menander; with discussions of some passages of Terence. J. v. L., *Ad hymn. Apoll.* 402. Read ἐπιφράσσαιτο φοβῆσαι. P. H. Damsté, *Ad scriptores Historiae Augustae*. J. J. Hartman, *Adn. crit. ad Plutarchi opera* (continued). Nicias, Crassus, Comp. N. et C., Agesilaus, Pompey, Comp. A. et P., Alexander, Caesar, Phocion, Cato minor. The same, *De Ovidio in exsilium proficiscente*. On the false geography of *Tr.* i, 10, 15-20, compared with *Apoll. Rhod.* i, 922-935.

Musée Belge. XV^{me} Année. No. 1. 15 Jan., 1911.

L'histoire dans les Discours de Cicéron, L. Laurand. *Index verborum quae Tertulliani Apologetico continentur*, Paulus Heuen. *Akontios et Kydippè: Nouveau fragment de Callimaque*, Paul Graindor. The fragment is accompanied by translations and notes. *Un tournant de l'histoire de la linguistique*, Antoine Gregoire. This article is a rather favourable criticism of *Programme et méthodes de la linguistique théorique*, by Sechehayé, and of Meillet's *Les dialectes indo-européens*. *Christophe de Longueil Humaniste (1488-1522)*. Th. Simar.

XV^{me} Année. No. 2. 15 April, 1911.

Christophe de Longueil Humaniste. Conclusion of the series of articles. *Remarques sur une inscription de Théanguéla*, Paul Graindor. *Belgicus color*, A. Counson. *Un charmeur de serpents arlonais à l'époque romaine*, J. P. Waltzing. *Syntaxe de 'hoc genus'*, J. P. Waltzing.

Neue Jahrbücher für das klassische Altertum, etc. 27. 3. 1911.

E. Pfuhl, *Die griechische Malerei*. A popular summary, with controversial foot-notes, of the history of ancient painting from the earliest times to Arnold Böcklin, 'the last perfecter of Greek painting in the world-historical sense.' E. Gerland, review of S. P. Lambros' *Ἀργυροπούλεια* (life and remains of Johannes Argyropoulos).

27. 4. 1911.

R. Büttner, *Der Schauplatz von Sophokles' Ödipus auf Kolonos*. A new study of the topography of the play, with a view to a recent paper by Svoronos. Inter alia, B. connects the χαλκόπους ὁδός with some μέταλλα χαλκοῦ (see the scholiast) of which he finds traces on the N.W. side of the hill. ἔρεισμι' Ἀθηνῶν may hint at the geological affinities of the hill with the Akropolis (cf. Plato *Kritias* 112) as well as to the connexion of both places with Athene and Poseidon. In 1595-6 read ἐφ' οὗ μέσον στάς, τοῦδε θοῦρκιον (τοῦ ὀρκίου) πέτρον, κοιλίης τ' ἀχέρδον καὶ λαίνον τάφον καθέξερ'. A. Schulten, *Termantia*. The Celtiberian town Termantia (Τερμησσός, Termeste, Termes), its history, its environs, and its Iberian and Roman remains. Reviews of C. F. Lehmann-Haupt's *Die historische Semiramis* (R. Stübe), of *Münchener archäologische Studien dem Andenken A. Furtwänglers gewidmet* (G. Weicker), of G. A. Gerhard's *Phoenix von Kolophon* (W. Capelle), and of A. Roersch, *L'Humanisme belge à l'Époque de la Renaissance* (L. Enthoven).

Philologus. Bd. LXIX. 4 Heft. 1910.

Fr. Zucker, *Zu den Klagschriften mit Schlussbitte um Registrierung*. Discusses the significance of the καταχωρισμός and ἀχθῆναι formulæ in the papyri with reference to Mitteis' article in 'Berichte der Sächs. Gesellsch. d. Wissensch.,' Bd. 62, pp. 61 sqq. J. Baunack, *Die Abkürzung γαι in argivischen Inschriften*. Explains the abbreviation as γεγόμενος ἀπ-ελεύθερος. E. Kalinka, *Zu Cäsars Schriften*. I. *Die Anticatones*.

NO. III. VOL. V.

O

Attributes only one Anticato to Caesar; the other (prior) was written by Hirtius. II. *Die Widmungsbrief vor dem viii. commentarius de bello Gallico*—Imperfectus commentarius ap. loc=incohatus de bello Alexandrino. III. *Der Titel des commentarius vom Krieg in der Provinz Africa*. Explains variant titles 'Africum' and 'Africanum,' as due to original 'liber belli Africae'. Th. Stangl, *Asconiana, Sprachliche und textkritische Untersuchungen*. Detailed investigations into A. in reference to Clark's Oxford text. W. Soltau, *Die Diktatorenjahre*. Attacks Leuze's theory, as put forward in the latter's 'Römische Jahrzahl' (1909). H. Uhle, Zu μ. 101 sq. ἀλλήλων really=τοῦ ἑτέρου, cf. Soph. Antig. 450 sqq., Oed. Col. 638. Hermann Kling, *Hilarius von Poitiers und Sallust*. Imitations of Sallust in Hilarius de trin. I. 1. G. Helmreich, *Gaitanus*—Γαῖτανος. Gaitano (lino) ap. Marcellus 8. 27, confirmed by Galen. *method. med.* xiv. 22, where it is said the βρόχοι γαῖτανοι come from Gaul and are sold in the Via Sacra. Gaitanus is naturally employed by the Gaul Marcellus, and survives in modern Greek γαῖτανοί=γαειτάνοι. O. Crusius, *Der gepeitschte Dämon*. C. comparing Tert. Apol. 15 sees in λαμβάνουσα τοὺς ἐκ τοῦ φωτοῦ λόγουν ἐμάστιζεν ἐαυτήν, ὡς δῆθεν τὴν 'Ἐκάτην ἐκ τοῦτου λυποῦσα (Paroemiographica, Sitzungsber. d. bayr. Academie 1910 iv. p. 54 sq.) an echo of an ancient mime, and refers to a modern parallel in Hebbel, *Genoveva* Act IV. Sc. 6.

LXX. Band, 1 Heft. March, 1911.

Wilh. Nestle, *Spuren der Sophistik bei Isocrates*. Traces the influence of Gorgias, Protagoras, Prodicus, and other fifth-century sophists on Isocrates, who also shows some knowledge of early Greek philosophy, and has affinities with Democritus. R. Hillebrandt, *Zu bekannten Stellen*. (i.) Hor. C. i. 3, 9, robur et aes triplex='block of oak overlaid with triple covering of brass.' (ii.) χαλκός='vessel of brass.' (iii.) *Aetna* 244, Saturni stella tenax: tenax is here active=ἐχενής. (iv.) *Aen.* ii. 409, passis crinibus 'by her hair.' (v.) Herod. v. 48: the significance of the name of Cleomenes' daughter. (vi.) E. 842, Περιφάντα πειλώριον: the proper name suggests the epithet. Fr. Zucker, discussion of inscription published in *Klio* x. 2. Karl Barwick, *Zur Servius Frage*. Discussion of scholia inserted in some MSS. into Servius' commentary to Virgil. These are the remains of an old Virgil commentary, probably first combined with Servius in the seventh century in Ireland. A. Semenov, *Zur dorischen Knabenliebe*. Controverses the views of Bethe in *Rhein. Mus.* lxii. p. 438. A. Ruppertsberg, *Εἰσπνήλας*. Also against Bethe. Miscellen.

Revue des Études grecques. Vol. XXIII. Nos. 103-104.

Allocution de M. Omont, Président de l'association. *Quelques observations sur la question de la Nationalité des anciens Macédoniens*, Gawril Kazarow. He holds that the Macedonians were not a pure race. They comprised pre-Indo-European, Thracian, Illyrian, and Greek elements. *Acontios et Cydippé*, A. Puech. Teub. translation and notes. *Corrections à une inscription de Delos*, Gustave Glot. 'It is necessary to correct M. Homolle's reading where the number of obols is concerned.' *La Sépulture de Marcellus à Athènes*, René Pichon. Bulletin Épigraphique. Comptes rendus bibliographiques.

Vol. XXIII. No. 105. Nov.-Dec., 1910.

Quelques conventions primitives de l'art grecque, an important contribution by W. Deonna. The writer shows that primitive art is founded on the simple geometrical figures. *Deux lettres inédites d'Ambroise Firmin Didot*. There is also an exhaustive 'Bibliographie annuelle des Études grecques,' by Ch. Em. Ruelle. Notices: Britsch's *La jeune Athènes* (L. Meridier); Diès' *Le cycle mystique: a study of pre-Socratic religious Theories* (Albert Rivaud); Diès' *La définition de l'être et la nature des Idées dans le 'Sophiste' de Platon* (Rivaud). An important contribution to the question of the development of Plato's Idealism. Foucart's *Les Athéniens dans la Chersonèse de Thrace au IV^e Siècle* (J. Carcopino); Mueller's *De Graecorum deorum partibus tragicis* (Emile Cahen); Tyrrell's *Essays on Greek Literature* (Paul Collart).

Rheinisches Museum. 66. 2. 1911.

W. Kroll, *Randbemerkungen*. Notes on Anaximenes, and on 'the Isocratean Anonymus'; criticisms of Süss's *Ethos* (Korax, *εἰκός*, Plato *Phaedr.* 276a, Gorgias, etc.); on Menander *περὶ ἐπιδεικτικῶν*; emendations of Seneca *qu. nat.* III pr. 4, 1. 18, 15. 3, 18. 3. L. Radermacher, *Eine Aristophanische Reminiszenz?* The relations between the scene in *Frogs* 549 sqq. (Herakles and the hostess) and a Slavonic tale; with other illustrations of the Herakles legend from modern folk-tales. W. Süss, *Theramenes der Rhetor und Verwandtes*. S. explains the language of Ar. *Frogs* 534-8 by reference to the rhetorical writings, *περὶ εἰκόνων*, *περὶ σχημάτων*, etc., ascribed by Suidas to Th., and examines the history of *σχῆμα*, a loan from gymnastics, as a term of rhetorical and literary criticism. H. Mutschmann, *Die Stufen der Wahrscheinlichkeit bei Karneades*. Of Sextus Empiricus' two accounts of the three grades, that which comes from Kleitomachos is to be preferred. A. Elter, *Epigraphica*. IG 12, 5 n. 225; IGA 370, 492, 412; CIA 1, 332; IGA 401 (the ways in which hexameters and pentameters are divided in inscriptions). A. Brinkmann, *Ein Brief Platons*. A defence of the authenticity of *ep.* 6. R. Philippson, *Zu Ciceros erstem Buche de Finibus*. Against H. Biglione's view that C. used his notes of the lectures of Zenon and Phaidros, and was guilty of misunderstandings, especially in §§ 31 and 69 sq. O. Leuze, *Das synchronistische Kapitel des Gellius* (N. A. xvii 21). L. distinguishes the sections drawn from Nepos, and from a source (possibly, but not certainly, Atticus' *liber annalis*) which used the Varronian chronology: these two sources G. never blends. A. Roemer, *Der angebliche Einheitlichkeits- und Gleichheitsfanatismus in der Homerkritik und Homerexegese Aristarchs*. A long paper (to be continued) arguing that the desire to force the poet into uniformity and consistency with himself at all costs is quite foreign to A. L. Radermacher, *Nachtrag zu Rhein. Mus. LXIII S. 551 ff.* A Westphalian analogue to the story (Athen. 281b, from the *κίθόδος* Ἀτρεΐδων) of Tantalos at the gods' table with the boulder hanging over his head, and to that of Damokles and the sword. F. Solmsen, *Noch einmal arkad. ἀφενδήων*. Cf. vol. 65, pp. 321, 325. S. defends the assumption of an Arcadian 1st sing. conj. in -ων.

Rivista di Filologia e d' Istruzione Classica. Vol. 39. No. 2. 1911.

Observations on the Priapea and Catalepton of Vergil, Massimo Lenchantin de Gubernatis. A collection of notes on the language and topics of the various pieces with a few conjectures. *For the Sicilian origin of Theognis* (a critical letter to G. Beloch), Umberto Mancuso. 'With the expunging of verses 773-788' [an insertion, the author of which was a native of Megara in Greece Proper] 'all disagreement over the age and country of Theognis loses its *raison d'être*.' *Critical notes on the Persae of Timotheos*, Giuseppe Fraccaroli. Observations on the text of various passages. E.g. in 4 ποί is retained and taken in the literal sense. In 40-41 <βιοτο> πῆδιος is proposed. *In Velleium Patervculum*, Ed. Aloysius de Stefani. Notes on II 4. 1, 24. 4, 39. 1. *On the fate of certain Latin texts*, Remigio Sabbadini. Contributions to the textual history of the following: Grillius on the *de Inventione*, Silius Italicus, Valerius Flaccus, Frontini *Epistolae*, Palaemonis de proprietate sermonis, Ciceronis Verrinae, Ciceronis Aratea, Plautus, Columella, Martialis, Petronius. *On two passages of the Bacchae of Euripides*, Achille Cosattini. 787 l. πείρω fr. πάσχω; 327 οὔτ'—νοσεῖς. The text is sound; φάρμακα may be either good or bad. *The Teubner edition of the Adnotationes super Lucanum* (Endt), Vincenzo Ussani. The importance of the scholia in this volume, which is an 'edition' only 'up to a point,' is insisted on. It is not clear how far Endt's view that the adnotations are derived 'ex Vaccae expositione' should be accepted. Reasons are given for supposing that in part at least these scholia may claim a high antiquity; there are no Christian allusions, and Nero's poetry is quoted on III 261. We need an edition of Lucan

which should give the scholia their proper weight, as, excepting the palimpsests, our MSS all go back to a recension of Carolingian times.

Wiener Studien. XXXII, 1.

Theodor Gomperz, *Zu Kallimachos*, pp. 1-3. Emends *Hymnus in Dianam* l. 121 to τὸ τρίτον αὐτ' ἐπὶ σὺν, τὸ δὲ τέτατον οὐκ ἐτι θῆρα; and *Kydippe* l. 39 to κούρην· πᾶν δ' ἀνετὼς ἐξεκάλυψεν ἔπος. id. *das Götterbruchstück des Protagoras*, pp. 4-6. The account given by Diogenes, IX. 51 is to be preferred to that of Eusebius or of Sex. Empiricus. A. Nathansky, *Des Aischylos Danaïs*, pp. 7-37. Attempts a reconstruction of the *Tetralogie*, mainly by inferences as to number of, and part played by, the chorus. A. Ledl, *Die Einsetzung des Rates der Vierhundert in Athen im Jahre 411 v. Chr.* pp. 38-54. Examination of the statements of Aristotle and Thucydides. The Council of the Four Hundred was not legally constituted, but self-appointed. T. Mesk, *Die Anklagerede des Polykrates gegen Sokrates*, pp. 55-84. Reconstruction of the speech. It was directed against the Socratic School, and had also the political object of justifying the condemnation of Socrates. Siegfried Mekler, *Zum Carmen de uiribus herbarum*, pp. 85-88. A comparison of Haupt's ed. (based on Hartel's collation) with the original in the Dioskorides Codex (Vienna). Paula Stephanie, *Zur Frage der Echtheit des Dialogs Senecas ad Polybium de Consolatione*, pp. 89-96. A comparison of this with four other dialogues of Seneca in respect of the use of certain pronouns and particles, strengthens the conclusions (favourable to the authenticity of the work) of Isleib. J. M. Stowasser, *Lexicalische Vermutungen zur lateinischen Anthologie*, pp. 97-111. Emendations. Id. *Der angeblich selbständige Genetiv des Gerundium*, pp. 112-116. Apparent examples are to be explained away by emendation, or by other methods of interpretation. Errors of scribes must not be taken for examples of vulgar Latin usage. Stephan Brassloff, *Sevirat und Vigintivirat*, pp. 117-21. The *triumviri capitales* could not be 'commended' by the emperor and therefore, like other officials similarly disqualified, could not become *seviri* before time of Severus Alexander. Johann Endt, *Ein Kommentar zu Lucan aus dem Mittelalter*, pp. 122-55. This commentary (in MS IV C 5 of Prague Univ. Library) gives the Lucan scholia of Vacca. It is clear that Vacca was the author of the scholia W¹C but not of those in AR¹. List of Vacca scholia in p. *Miscellen*. Kamillo Hatschek: In Soph. *Trach.* 596 for σκότῳ read κότῳ. L. Radermacher: In the Apokalypsis of Peter, 21, for αὐτῶν read χιτῶνα (χιτῶν?). Adolf Berger: In the *commentum Cornuti* the scholium on Persius, II. 14 was written probably in the third or fourth century. †J. M. Stowasser: *amuletum* is a derivative of *hāmulus*, (*h*)*āmūlētum*. Edmund Hauler: In Fronto (p. 162 l. 14 f. Naber) we must read *Accius*, Plautus . . . not <M>*accius* Plautus.

XXXII, 2.

Franz Stürmer, *Über einen Versuch der Wiederherstellung der Odyssee*, pp. 161-193. Unfavourable criticism of the second part of Schiller's *Beiträge zur Wiederherstellung der Odyssee*, Programm of the Gymnasium at Furth, 1908. Leonhard Siegel, *Zur pseudo-Xenophontischen 'Aθηναίων πολιτεία'*, pp. 194-199. I 2, ζητεῖ has fallen out after πλέον ἔχειν. I 5 read χρημάτων <τῶν ὑπαρχόντων> ἐνίοις τῶν ἀνθρώπων. I 14 for ὡς δοκοῦσι read δωροδοκοῦσι. ἐκπλέοντες, γιγνώσκοντες, ἰσχυροί are sound. L. Radermacher, *Kritische Beiträge*, pp. 200-207. Demosthenes, in *Midiam* § 52 read Ἡρακλεῖ <ἀλεξικάκῳ καὶ> Ἀπόλλωνι. *Amherst-Papyri* II no. 130 πλήν=πλεῖν=πλέον cf. δεῖν=δέον. Dionysius Hal. τέχνη περὶ ἐσχηματισμένων A, p. 297, 4 delete the second λέγει (λέγη). In the oracle published by Benndorf and Niemann, *Reisen im südwestlichen Kleinasien* p. 77 read in l. 10 ὁμοῦ δὴ λῶιον. In Heberdey-Kalinka, *Reisen im südwestlichen Kleinasien* II no. 70 βουκονιστήριον=βυκανιστήριον. Petronius, 57 stupes . . . in *erulia* is sound. For *in*+acc. cf. Tertullian *Spect.* 21 *ad cadaver exhorret*. Seneca *ep.* 51 *ad fin.* read *latronum more quos stilistas Aegyptii uocant*. Quintilian, *Inst.* 7, 2, 16 read *sumendum est enim ex his exemplis*. Hans Lackenbacher,

Zur Ko
came a
238.
Mesk,
cussion
Romulus
dem Mi
Jahre 3
Miszell
officers
Vorbild
der Cen
XXI. 8
dem San
S. 162 2

Wo
6 M

Papyri
literary

13
(R. W.

Deutsch
E. Dieh

stellung
20

anciens
Sulpicia

führung
(W. Ge

27
und Phä

(K. Bu
Minucii

A. S. Pe

3 A
baptizati

10
Thraken

(Harder)

ed. M. I

17
hardt).

J. Schro

Weisen.

geschichte
herausg.

24
Evans, A

von R. I

by F. P.

müller, A

Zur Komposition von Buch I des Lucrez, pp. 208-212. The verses I 951 sqq. originally came after II 332. Id. Zur Disposition und Quellenfrage von Lucrez IV 1-521, pp. 213-238. Lucretius used two sources, one for ll. 54-238, the other for ll. 239-521. Josef Mesk, *Die Überarbeitung des Plinianischen Panegyricus auf Traian*, pp. 239-260. Discussion of the nature and extent of the revision. R. Bitschowsky, *Zu den Fabeln des Romulus*, pp. 261-271. Interpretation. Johann Endt, *Ein Kommentar zu Lucan aus dem Mittelalter II* pp. 272-295. Wilhelm A. Bauer, *Die spartanischen Nauarchen der Jahre 397-395* pp. 296-314. I. Pharax 397/6. II. Pollis und Cheirikrates 395/4. *Miszellen* pp. 315-326: W. A. Bauer, ἐπιβάτης was a military title given mainly to officers in charge of troops on guard. N. Vulić, *Catullus LI Gedicht und sein Sapphisches Vorbild*, discusses meaning of ἱeros θέσις. Edmund Hauler, *zu den Lücken im Texte der Cena Trimalchionis*, deals with c. 26, 7; 27; 29. Karl Prinz, *zu Martial Spect. XXI. 8*: Anth. Pal. XI. 254 supports Housman's emendation. Johann Endt, *Aus dem Sangallensis 864 (zu den Adnotationes super Lucanum)*. Edmund Hauler, *Zu Fronto*, S. 162 Z 5 sqq. (Naber). Emendations.

Wochenschrift für klassische Philologie. 1911.

6 Mar. E. Rabel, *Die Verfügungsbeschränkungen des Verpfänders, besonders in den Papyri* (P. Viereck). Th. Fitzhugh, *The evolution of the Saturnian verse and The literary Saturnian*. I. *Livius Andronicus* (H. Draheim).

13 Mar. W. Kopp, *Geschichte der griechischen Literatur*. 7. Aufl. Von O. Kohl (R. Wagner). Fr. Cumont, *Die orientalischen Religionen im römischen Heidentum*. Deutsch von E. Gehrlich (W. Nestle). *Vulgärlateinische Inschriften*, herausg. von E. Diehl. Vol. 62 in Lietzmann's *Kleine Texte* (A. Stein). J. Ziehen, *Die Darstellung der Tiere in der antiken Kunst* (Fr. Harder).

20 Mar. G. Kazarow, *Quelques observations sur la question de la nationalité des anciens Macédoniens* (G. Wartenberg). A. Cartault, *Le distique élégiaque chez Tibulle, Sulpicia, Lygdamus* (J. Hilberg). *Seneca*, Ausgewählte moralische Briefe als Einführung in die Probleme der stoischen Philosophie, herausg. von P. Hauck (W. Gemoll).

27 Mar. A. Gruhn, *Der Schauplatz der Ilias und Odyssee*. 9. Heft. *Kyklopen und Phäaken* (C. Rothe). A. W. Verrall, *The Bacchantes of Euripides and other essays* (K. Busche). G. J. Laing, *Roman milestones and the Capita viarum* (A. Stein). *Minucii Felicis Octavius*, con introduzione e commento, di L. Valmaggi (C. Weyman). A. S. Pease, *A Harvard manuscript of St. Augustine* (C. Weyman).

3 April. H. Richards, *Aristophanes and others* (E. Wush). *Doctrina Jacobi nuper baptizati*, herausg. von N. Bonwetsch (J. Dräseke).

10 April. G. Wilke, *Spiral-Mäander-Keramik und Gefäßmalerei, Hellenen und Thraken* (O. Engelhardt). P. Germann, *Die sogenannten Sententiae Varronis* (Fr. Harder). *Palaeographica latina*. Exempla codd. Latinorum phototypice expressa, ed. M. Ihm. I. (C. Weyman).

17 April. A. S. Arvanitopoulos, *Μεγαρίκοι σκύφοι Φθιωτίδων Θηβῶν* (O. Engelhardt). V. Macchioro, *Nuova rappresentanza vascolare del mito di Oreste* (O. Engelhardt). J. Schroeder, *Quaestiones Donatianae* (K. Cybulla). H. H. Kritzinger, *Der Stern der Weisen*. Astronomisch-kritische Studien (F. K. Ginzel). *Quellenkunde zur Weltgeschichte*. Ein Handbuch, unter Mitwirkung von A. Hofmeister und R. Stübe, herausg. von P. Herre (Köhler).

24 April. *Die Anthropologie und die Klassiker*. Sechs Vorlesungen von A. J. Evans, A. Lang, G. G. Murray, F. B. Jevons, J. L. Myres, W. W. Fowler, herausg. von R. R. Marett, übersetzt von J. Hoops (E. Drerup). *Caesar's Gallic War*, transl. by F. P. Long (H. Meusel). 'Rather a paraphrase than a translation.' F. Teichmüller, *Das Nichthorazische in der Horazüberlieferung* (H. Röhl).

1 May. J. M. Edmonds, *The new fragments of Alcaeus, Sappho and Corinna* (J. Sitzler). *Stories from Ovid's Metamorphoses*, ed. by D. A. Slater (Fr. Pfister). J. Curle, *A Roman frontier post and its people. The fort of Newstead in the parish of Melrose* (A. Schulten).

8 May. O. Seeman, *Mythologie der Griechen und Römer*. 5. Aufl. von R. Engelmann (H. Steinberg). E. Gollob, *Medizinische griechische Handschriften des Jesuitenkollegiums in Wien* (R. Fuchs). J. G. Rolf, 1. *Vela cadunt* (Verg. *Aen.* III. 207). 2. *Largiter posse*, Caes. B. G. I. 18 (H. D.). G. C. Fiske, *Lucilius und Persius*. (R. Helm).

15 May. R. S. Bonner, *The Boeotian federal constitution* (Fr. Cauer). D. M. Robinson, *New Greek Inscriptions from Attica, Achaia, Lydia* (W. Larfeld). G. Treu, *Hellenische Stimmungen in der Bildhauerei von einst und jetzt* (O. Achelis).

22 May. E. Fehrle, *Die kultische Keuschheit im Altertum* (W. Nestle). J. Menrad, *Der Urmythus der Odyssee und seine dichterische Erneuerung: des Sonnengottes Erdenfahrt* (H. Steuding). R. C. Flickinger, *Scaenica* (H. Blümner). On the terminology of the ancient theatre. M. Heinemann, *Epistulae amatoriae quomodo cohaereant cum elegiis Alexandrinis* (Fr. Pfister). O. Th. Schulz, *Über die wirtschaftlichen und politischen Verhältnisse bei den Germanen zur Zeit des C. Julius Cäsar* (E. Wilisch). J. B. Bury, *The imperial administrative system in the ninth century; with a revised text of the Kleorologion of Philotheos* (F. Hirsch).

29 May. Brunn-Bruckmann, *Denkmäler griechischer und römischer Sculptur*, fortgeführt von P. Arndt. Lief. 121-126 (W. Amelung). I. M. Nistler, *Die Gedankenabfolge in der pseudo-Xenophontischen 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία und die Umstellungsversuche* (Fr. Cauer). H. Usener, *Das Weihnachtsfest*. Kap. I-III. 2. Aufl. (O. Stählin).

5 June. H. v. Pestalozza, *Homer's Frauengestalten* (T. O. Achelis). Brunn-Bruckmann, *Denkmäler griechischer und römischer Sculptur*, fortgeführt von P. Arndt. Lief. 121-126 (W. Amelung), II. Th. Fitzhugh, *The literary Saturnian. II. Naevius and the later Italic tradition* (Draheim). *Vettii Valentis Anthologiarum libri*, prim. ed. Guil. Kroll (R. Fuchs).

LANGUAGE.

Glotta. III Band, 2 Heft. 1911.

K. Witte, *Zur homerischen Sprache* (continuation). v. εἶσω c. accus. except *Od.* 7. 135; 8. 290, where it is combined with δώματος, which Witte explains as due to the analogy of δόμον 'Αἶδος εἶσω and 'Αἶδος εἶσω. vi. Cases of fluctuating gender, e.g. ὁ ἡ ἀήρ, often due to adjectives 'of two terminations.' vii. New inflections created metri gratia. viii.-ix. Influence of metre in retarding or accelerating phonetic change, with special reference to the bucolic diaeresis; the hexameters that have no b. d. represent a 'younger type' of verse, as the hex. is derived from two originally independent lines, viz. (1) a 'daktylischer Vierheber,' which was itself a combination of three meters — — — — | — — — — | — — — —, and (2) — — — —. Proof to be given in Witte's forthcoming *Untersuchungen zur Sprach- und Versgeschichte des griechischen Epos*. A. Körte, *Die Episynaloiphe*. Cases of elision at the end of a line. The writer is wrong when he says, 'Das Wort ἐπισυναλοιφή fehlt in den Wörterbüchern'; Sophocles (Gk. Lex. Rom. Byz.) gives it with a ref. to *Heph.* 4. 7, and a note on its use by Latin grammarians. P. Kretschmer, *Griechisches*. i. Hyagnis. ii. *Die Weihinschrift von Ligurio*; attempts at explanation, ΗΕΠΙΡΟΡΟΕ = ἐμφρονροι. iii. βούλομαι not from βόλομαι but an -s- aor. subj. with ο from βόλομαι. P. Linde. Rejects the usual explanation of urnasier in *Tab. Iguv.* 5. as = urnariis, 'at the urn-festival' (Conway, Buck, etc.), and equates it with ordinariis, 'at the ordinary (regular)

meeting
Capt.
Pseud.
exx. in
in the
in favo
to prov
no ins
accepti
(cogna
district
which
market
on οἶκη
Paul's

In
W
Herma
E. Fra
sense a
ἀργυρίδ
implied
compar
Ionic);
(3) προ
verb πέ
inferred
it on a
busch, I
sense w
oppidum
Latin a
(-kes), '
ζομαι; c
Cretan)
and ὁ-αρ
'the pla
Thuc. 2.
elsewher
(1) ὄνα a
Elsass. [
gives a
Schwyze
254 is fo
Anz
Brug
gymnasi
his being
doctor w
with his
schaft w
the new

meetings.' E. Löfstedt, *Plautinischer Sprachgebrauch*. Discussions of *Amph.* 974, *Capt.* 416, *Cas.* 198, *Curc.* 260, *Epid.* 631, *Men.* 192, *Merc.* 51, *Most.* 198, 642, *Pseud.* 875. C. Weyman, *Lexikalische Notizen*; *carus* = 'loving'; *cumque* = *quandocumque*, exx. in support of *cumque*, *Hor. Od.* 1. 32. 15; *desputare*; *fulxi* as perf. of *fulcio* espec. in the phrase *fulxit honore*; *glisco*, 'I desire,' common in med. Latin, rejected by W. in favour of *gestio* *Stat. Theb.* 3. 71. S. P. Thomas, *Zu populus und populus*. Attempts to prove that *populus* = 'army'; his arguments are far from convincing, as he can give no instance in Latin, only 'indications' and 'supports.' Skutsch adds a note accepting the connection between the two words, but not T's explanation of the noun (cognate with *pellere*); he prefers to derive *populus* from *ploplos*, 'that which fills a district,' cf. *πλήρωμα*; *depopulor* and *populor*, 'strip a place of its inhabitants,' a meaning which adds point to the jest in *Caecilius* 13, '*iamdudum depopulat macellum*,' 'strips the market of its denizens.' Nicos Beis (a well-known writer in Modern Greek journals) on *οικητήριον*, 'grave,' common in Christian epitaphs. This use of the word was in Paul's mind when he wrote 2 Cor. v. 2.

Indogermanische Forschungen. XXVIII Band, 3 Heft. 1911.

W. Wundt, *Sprachwissenschaft und Völkerpsychologie*. A criticism of a speech by Hermann Paul (15 June, 1910) in which P. denied the existence of a *Volksseele*. E. Fraenkel, *Grammatisches und Syntaktisches*. Cases of words that lose their special sense and come to be applied loosely to a wider class, e.g. *ἵπποι βουκολέοντο*, *χρυσᾶι ἀργυρίδες*, *aedificare nauem*. Words in apposition or agreement with a noun, etc., implied in an adjective, e.g. *τῆς ἐμῆς ἐπισόδου, ὃν μήτ' ὀκνεῖτε* *Oed. Col.* 730. *οὐδέ* after a comparative = *ἤ*. Three forms from the Coan dialect in Hippocrates, viz. *οὐατα* (not Ionic); (2) *ὀρκίζειν* of which the only instance in Ionic comes from Halicarnassus; (3) *πριοῦν* = *πρίειν*. *ἐνπέλα* (Selinus), 'nahe dich,' an imperative from an athematic verb *πέλαμι*. A discussion of the Doric *αἰτοσαντοῦ*, etc. In *K.Z.* 43. 216. Fr. had inferred (from *πρέσβειρα*) a form *πρέσβων*; he has since had the satisfaction of finding it on an inscr. from Chios. Notes on syllabic liquids in S. Achaeian. E. Rodenbusch, *Präsentia in perfektischer Bedeutung*. The present tense is used with a perfect sense when something in the making is regarded as already made, e.g. '*muris oppidum cingit*,' 'the hill rises in the plain' (= 'is erect'): this is more common in Latin and German than in Greek. Brugmann, *Wortgeschichtliche Miscellen*. *ἀκρόμαι*, (-*κες*), 'I work with a sharp instrument'; *ἀ-* is the Schwundstufe of *ἐν* as in *ἀσπάζομαι*; cf. *Μαχάων*, 'healer with the knife.' *ὀπνίω* (*ὀπνισκω*) from *ὀπνυ-* (cf. *ὀπνιστής* Cretan) means 'look after the house with,' cf. Sanskrit *puṣḥ*: *ὀ* = 'with' as in *ὀ-ζυγες* and *ὀ-αρ-* (cf. *ἀραρεῖν*) in *ὀαρίζω*, etc. Heracl.-Sic. *ἄντομος* = 'border strip of land,' 'the place cut as a border,' orig. 'the cutting of the place,' cf. *τομή*, 'the cut end,' *Thuc.* 2. 76. *Intestinus* not connected with *intus*, as the *-tes-* grade does not occur elsewhere in adverbs, but = *entero-sto-inus*. *Etrusci* = Umbrian *etro-* 'other' + *us* = (1) *ōra* and *ūs-* in *tellūs* or (2) *rūs*; 'other land,' for which sense he aptly compares *Elsass*. [Add *Allo-broges*.] The resemblance to *Tusci* is only accidental. E. Hermann gives a brief summary of his investigation of close final syllables in Greek, and Schwyzer has a note on *Haplologie im Satzzusammenhang*; *βάλλ' ὄνυχας* *Hes. Scut.* 254 is for *βάλλον ὄνυχας*.

Anzeiger, 1 Heft.

Brugmann discusses his own pamphlet on the teaching of classics in German gymnasia; a plea for the scientific equipment of the teacher and the importance of his being in touch with the most recent discoveries in the subject he teaches. A doctor who does not keep himself abreast of medical research is not dealing fairly with his patients; the same applies to the teacher. A knowledge of *Sprachwissenschaft* would make the grammar lessons more interesting and effective. Reviews of the new journal, *Wörter und Sachen* (2nd. No.), by W. Foy; Ogden's dissertation on

The Final Infin. in Early Gk. Poetry, by Meltzer; Triandaphyllidis' *Lehnwörter der mittelhellenischen Vulgärliteratur*, by Hatzidakis; books by Pernot and Dieterich on Mod. Greek dialects, Buturas on the foreign element in post-classical Greek, R. Meister on a Cyprian ostrakon, by Thumb. There is also a very harsh but apparently just condemnation by Vasmer of a book in which Gleye endeavours to prove the Finnish-Ugrian relations of the Hittite language.

Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris. XVI. 4.

Étude de quelques correspondances de Sonores bantoues, L. Homburger. *Deux notes sur les formes verbales indo-européennes*, A. Meillet. *Sur l'emploi de l'infinitif au génitif dans quelques langues indo-européennes*, J. Vendryes. *Grec ἐλίκη 'Säule'*, E. Boisacq. *Des noms de l'abeille et de la ruche en indo-européen et en finnoougrien*, R. Gauthiot. *Notes de phonétique général*, L. Šcerba. *Une survivance remarquable dans le parler actuel de Kfür-Abida*, M. Féghali et A. Cuny.

XVI. 5.

Notes de phonétique (Afrique occidentale), E. Destaing. *Sur quelques présents en ā du verbe italo-celtique*, J. Vendryes. *Persica*, A. Meillet. *Apropos du nom de Zoroastre*, R. Gauthiot. *Nouvelle contribution à l'étymologie de explorare*, A. Cuny. *Φθία, Θέρταλος, Θερταλία*, A. Cuny. *Gaulois odocos, Latin ebulum. Sur un préfixe des langues caucasiennes du sud*, Th. Kluge. *La phrase nominale pure en arménien*, A. Meillet.

XVI. 6.

Recueil de mots Kurdes, H. Adjarian. *A propos de Cypriote μυγαλαθεω*, A. Meillet. M.'s view is that the ι represents a close ε partially nasalized by the preceding μ. *Les langues voltaïques*. A study of the languages around the Bight of Benin.

THE CLASSICAL ASSOCIATION

THE objects of the Classical Association are to promote the development and maintain the well-being of classical studies, and in particular (a) to impress upon public opinion the claim of such studies to an eminent place in the national scheme of education; (b) to improve the practice of classical teaching; (c) to encourage investigation and call attention to new discoveries; (d) to create opportunities for intercourse among lovers of classical learning.

Membership of the Association is open to men and women alike. The annual subscription is 5s. (life composition, £3 15s.), and there is an entrance fee of 5s. Members are entitled to a copy of the annual 'Proceedings' of the Association (post free) and of 'The Year's Work in Classical Studies.' They may also obtain the CLASSICAL REVIEW and CLASSICAL QUARTERLY at the reduced price of 7s. and 9s. a year respectively (post free).

Inquiries and applications for membership should be addressed to either of the Hon. Secretaries, Mr. J. H. Sleeman, The University, Sheffield, and Mr. M. O. B. Caspari, University College, London; or to the Hon. Secretary of any of the district Branches—viz., Miss M. S. Lilley, Girls' High School, Manchester; Mr. R. W. Reynolds, King Edward's School, Birmingham; Mr. K. Forbes, 135, Chatham Street, Liverpool; and Mr. E. P. Barker, 5, Park Avenue, Mapperley Road, Nottingham.

d
n
al
to
te

al
gs.
on
uin
7s.

of
Mr.
ny.
er;
oes,
ue,